Vital and Health Statistics

Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics: Numbers 111–120

Series 16: Compilations of Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics No. 12

Data in this report from health and demographic surveys present statistics by age and other variables on ambulatory medical care; nursing and related care homes; health promotion and disease prevention; aging in the eighties; and trends in smoking, alcohol consumption, and other health practices. Estimates are based on the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. These reports were originally published in 1985 and 1986.

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics

Hyattsville, Maryland December 1993 DHHS Publication No. (PHS) 94-1871

Copyright information

All material appearing in this report is in the public domain and may be reproduced or copied without permission; citation as to source, however, is appreciated.

ŧ

i.

١

ł

Suggested citation

National Center for Health Statistics. Advance data from vital and health statistics: numbers 111–120. National Center for Health Statistics. Vital Health Stat 16(12). 1993.

National Center for Health Statistics

Manning Feinleib, M.D., Dr.P.H., Director

Jack R. Anderson, Deputy Director

Jacob J. Feldman, Ph.D., Associate Director for Analysis and Epidemiology

Gail F. Fisher, Ph.D., Associate Director for Planning and Extramural Programs

Peter L. Hurley, Associate Director for Vital and Health Statistics Systems

Robert A. Israel, Associate Director for International Statistics

Stephen E. Nieberding, Associate Director for Management

Charles J. Rothwell, Associate Director for Data Processing and Services

Monroe G. Sirken, Ph.D., Associate Director for Research and Methodology

David L. Larson, Assistant Director, Atlanta

Contents

An Overview of the 1982 National Master Facility Inventory Survey of Nursing and Related Care Homes	No. 111
1984 Summary: National Hospital Discharge Survey	No. 112
Provisional Data from the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement to the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January-March 1985	No. 113
Prevalence, Impact, and Demography of Known Diabetes in the United States	No. 114
Aging in the Eighties: Preliminary Data from the Supplement on Aging to the National Health Interview Survey, United States, January–June 1984	No. 115
Aging in the Eighties, Age 65 Years and Over and Living Alone, Contacts with Family, Friends, and Neighbors: Preliminary Data From the Supplement on Aging to the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January–June 1984	No. 116
Office Visits to Orthopedic Surgeons, National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: United States, 1980-81	No. 117
Trends in Smoking, Alcohol Consumption, and Other Health Practices Among U.S. Adults, 1977 and 1983	No. 118
Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Provisional Data from the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January–June 1985	No. 119
Physiotherapy Office Visits: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: United States, 1980-81	No. 120



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 111 • September 20, 1985

An Overview of the 1982 National Master Facility Inventory Survey of Nursing and Related Care Homes

by Al Sirrocco, Division of Health Care Statistics

Introduction

This report describes the 1982 National Master Facility Inventory Survey of nursing and related care homes. The numbers of homes, beds, residents; and employees are distributed by such characteristics as ownership groups, bed size groups, and geographic regions. Additional topics covered in this report include survey procedures, imputation procedures, and definitions.

The National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) first conducted the National Master Facility Inventory (NMFI) Survey in 1963 and continued it in 1967, 1969, 1971, 1973, 1976, 1978, 1980, and 1982. Prior to 1978, the survey included hospitals, nursing and related care homes, and other types of inpatient health facilities including, for example, homes for the blind, deaf, mentally retarded, and mentally ill. The 1978, 1980, and 1982 NMFI surveys collected data only on nursing and related care homes. Data for hospitals are now obtained directly from the American Hospital Association. For convenience, this report will use the terms "nursing and related care homes" and "nursing homes" interchangeably.

The NCHS definition of nursing homes has remained the same for each of the NMFI surveys. Basically, the definition states that a home must maintain three or more inpatient beds, and, at a minimum, must provide one or more personal care services (such as help with eating, walking, bathing, dressing, or shopping) and/or supervisory services to adults.

While this definition has remained unchanged, other factors have made it difficult, if not impossible, to stay within its boundaries. For example, thousands of board and care homes and residential care homes have emerged over the past 5 years primarily because of changes in Federal and State programs. These facilities vary from State to State not only by name, but also by services offered and people served. The individual State laws and regulations (or the absence of such) determine whether the State surveys these facilities and, therefore, whether the State includes them on the nursing home file that is sent to NCHS.

The NMFI included many of California's residential community care facilities (RCCF's) prior to 1980, but California was able to identify an additional 1,000 such homes in 1980 and 2,000 more in 1982, bringing the RCCF total to nearly 5,000. None of Michigan's adult foster care homes (AFCH's) was on the NMFI file prior to 1980, but in 1980 Michigan furnished NCHS with a file containing over 2,500 AFCH's. In 1982, NCHS attempted to survey these Michigan AFCH's, but of the nearly 2,250 still in business, approximately one-third did not respond and many others were found to be serving the mentally ill or the mentally retarded. It has also been discovered that many of the California RCCF's serve the mentally ill and mentally retarded. A facility that accepts only these types of residents is considered by NCHS to be out of scope for the nursing and related care home category, but a facility that accepts all types of residents (elderly, disabled, and so forth), but which currently only has mentally ill or mentally retarded residents, is considered to be a nursing or related care home (that is, in scope).

Because there was very little information available for the RCCF's and AFCH's, and because no accurate count can be made of the number actually out of scope, all 7,228 of these facilities are presented in this report. In all cases, they are shown separately.

The 1982 NMFI also included, for the first time, residential care homes in Florida and Kentucky. The 429 Florida adult congregate living facilities and the 373 Kentucky family care homes are defined in the Technical notes section. Because of the impact these figures have on the total number of homes in Florida and Kentucky, they have been combined with the residential facilities in Michigan and California so that they can be counted separately from the Florida and Kentucky nursing homes. Facilities meeting these definitions also exist in the other 46 States, but because they cannot be identified precisely as residential, they appear in the counts of nursing homes.

Starting in 1980, all identifiable hospital-based nursing homes were excluded from the survey. For the 1982 NMFI, the Health Care Financing Administration file of these hospitalbased facilities was obtained to more effectively remove these facilities from the NMFI file. At the same time, NCHS was able to get a more accurate count of these facilities. Some of the hospital-based facilities were out of scope for the NMFI (because residents were mentally ill or mentally retarded) and were, therefore, removed. The counts of hospital-based facilities that appear in this report reflect the deletion of these out-ofscope facilities.

The Health Care Financing Administration and the NMFI files both exclude those hospital-based facilities operated by the Veterans Administration. According to the Veterans Administration, there were approximately 100 such facilities with about 9,000 beds at the end of fiscal year 1981.¹ These counts do not appear in the tables of this report.

Finally, the tables also exclude the 238 nonresponding homes for which imputations from data of previous years were not possible. (There were 159 nonresponding homes for which imputations were made.)

Discussion

Facility characteristics

If the hospital-based facilities; the Michigan, California, Florida, and Kentucky residential care homes; and the nonresponding nursing homes are all added to the basic nursing home file, the 1982 number of nursing and related care homes totaled 26,817 (table 1). However, for purposes of this 1982 NMFI report, the discussion of facility, resident, and employee characteristics will exclude the hospital-based facilities and the nonresponding homes. It will center on the basic nursing home file and the four State residential files, which will be called "nursing homes" and "residential facilities," respectively.

Table 1 shows there were 17,819 nursing homes; their 1,508,732 beds were occupied by 1,378,702 residents at the time of the survey. The 8,030 residential facilities had an estimated 133,335 beds and 114,704 residents.

Table 2 shows that only 18 percent of the nursing homes had less than 25 beds, but an estimated 89 percent of the residential facilities had less than 25 beds. On the other hand, 76 percent of the nursing homes had between 25 and 199 beds, while only 10 percent of the residential facilities were in this group.

The remainder of table 2 shows distributions by ownership, region, and certification. Three-fourths of the nursing homes were under proprietary ownership. (Ownership information for the California and Michigan residential facilities was not generally available, so these facilities were imputed as proprietary). The West Region had less than half as many nursing homes and beds as either the North Central or the South Regions.

Table 1. Number of nursing and related care homes, beds, and residents, by type of facility: United States, 1982

Type of facility	cility Facilities Beds		Residents
		Number	
All facilities	26,817	1,700,744	1,546,406
Nursing homes Hospital-based facilities Residential facilities Nonresponding homes	17,819 ¹ 730 ³ 8,030 ⁵ 238	1,508,732 58,677 ⁴133,335	1,378,702 ² 53,000 ⁴ 114,704

¹Those skilled nursing facilities serving only the mentally ill or mentally retarded were removed from this file, which was furnished by the Health Care Financing Administration.

²Estimated.

³Includes California (4,985), Michigan (2,243), Florida (429), and Kentucky (373). ⁴Data were imputed for California (103,275 beds; 88,600 residents) and Michigan (16,725 beds; 13,950 residents).

⁵Includes only those nonresponding homes for which imputations could not be made (152 of these were Florida residential homes).

Regarding certification, about 40 percent of the nursing homes were certified as skilled (SNF), with the total beds in these SNF's representing nearly 57 percent of all nursing home beds. However, noncertified homes, which represented 27 percent of the nursing homes, had only 13 percent of the beds. This points to a tendency toward skilled homes being large and noncertified homes being small, as can be seen more clearly in table 3, which shows that skilled homes had an average bed size of about 122 beds, whereas the noncertified homes averaged about 31 beds.

The average bed size for all nursing homes was 84.7 (up from 83.1 in 1980); the occupancy rate was 91 percent, and the turnover rate was 7.7 admissions per 10 beds (both about the same as in 1980). Table 3 shows the average bed size, occupancy rates, and turnover rates in nursing homes by various characteristics of the home.

Not only did 76 percent of the homes have between 25 and 199 beds (table 2), but also the highest occupancy rates occurred for homes within this bed size range (table 3). The turnover rates in table 3 are highest in those homes with 50 to 299 beds, in those run for profit, and in those located in the West Region.

Figure 1 shows the number of nursing home beds per 1,000 population 65 years and over. The extended bars above the basic rates represent beds in residential facilities. The figure shows the strong impact the California residential care homes had on data for the West Region. The estimated 103,275 beds in these homes elevated the beds per 1,000 population figure more than 22 points.

Resident characteristics

Of the 1,378,702 nursing home residents in 1982, 990,601 (72 percent) could be put into age and sex groups. The remaining 388,101 residents were either in States for which age and sex information could not be obtained (Florida, Indiana, Michigan, Mississippi, and Missouri) or were in homes that did not furnish this information.

For those 990,601 homes with age and sex reported, 703,016 (71 percent) were female, 701,775 (71 percent) were 75 years and over, and 356,069 (36 percent) were 85 years and over (table 4). Table 4 also shows that the ratio of women

¹Office of Reports and Statistics: 1981 Annual Report. Veterans Administration. Washington, D.C.

З

Table 2. Number of total homes, nursing homes, and residential facilities and their beds, by selected characteristics: United States, 1982

	Tota	l homes ¹	Nursi	ing homes	Residen	tial facilities
Characteristic	Homes	Beds	Homes	Beds	Homes	Beds
			Nu	mber		
Total homes	25,849	1,642,067	17,819	1,508,732	8,030	133,335
Bed size						
3–9 beds	6,955	40,294	1,399	7,568	5,556	32,726
10-24 beds	3,450	58,104	1,855	31,807	1,595	26.297
25–49 beds	3,083	113,357	2,718	100,900	365	12,457
50–74 beds	3,465	207,608	3,332	199,915	133	7,693
75–99 beds	2,472	216,483	2,366	208,074	106	8.409
100–199 beds	5,293	690,262	5,094	665,769	199	24.493
200–299 beds	832	192,439	788	182,729	44	9.710
300–499 beds	241	86,560	212	76,618	29	9,942
500 or more beds	58	36,960	55	35,352	3	1,608
Type of ownership						
Government	1,111	112,553	916	110,964	195	1,589
Proprietary	21,132	1,194,000	13,410	1,066,181	7.722	127.819
Nonprofit	3,606	335,514	3,493	331,587	113	3,927
Geographic region						
Northeast	3,866	329,684	3,866	329.684	-	-
North Central	8,137	539,631	5,894	522,906	2.243	16,725
South	6,225	463,298	5,423	449,963	802	13,335
West	7,621	309,454	2,636	206,179	4,985	103,275
Certification ²						
Medicare or Medicaid (skilled nursing facility)	7,032	855,096	7.032	855.096	-	_
Medicaid (intermediate care facility)	5,564	442,277	5.564	442.277	-	-
Not certified	5,643	212,881	4,841	199.546	802	13,335
Uńknown	7,610	131,813	382	11,813	7,228	120,000

¹Excludes hospital-based facilities and nonresponding homes.

²Facilities certified as skilled nursing facilities and intermediate care facilities have been classified as skilled nursing facilities.

to men increases with age. Nursing homes had about the same number of men as women in the under 65 years age group, but more than $1\frac{1}{2}$ times as many women as men in the age group 65–74 years. This increase accelerated to almost three times as many women in the 75 to 84 year age group, and to almost four times as many women in the 85 years and over age group.

When age and sex were imputed for residents with unknown age and sex, an estimated 1,204,356 (87.4 percent) of the 1,378,702 residents in nursing homes were 65 years and over (see Technical notes). Of these 1.2 million residents, almost 34 percent were in the North Central Region; by comparison, of the 26.8 million people in the United States who were 65 years and over, 26 percent lived in the North Central Region (table 5). The most striking comparison is probably between the North Central and South Regions. Despite having 2 million more people 65 years and over, the South had 55,000 fewer residents 65 years and over in nursing homes than did the North Central Region.

When these figures are expressed as rates (number of residents 65 years and over per 1,000 population 65 years and over), the North Central Region had a much higher rate (58.6) than any of the other regions (Northeast = 44.0; South = 39.5; West = 35.9). These rates were for nursing homes only; however, considering the large number of Michigan residential facilities, the difference between the North Central rate and the

rates for the Northeast and South would be even greater if the residential facilities were included. The differences between the North Central and West Regions would be less because there were more residential facilities in California than in Michigan.

Employee characteristics

This section deals solely with full-time equivalent (FTE) registered nurses (RN's) and licensed practical nurses (LPN's). In computing the FTE's, the sum of the full-time employees was added to one-half the sum of the part-time employees. Because employee data were not available from all States, it was necessary to impute for missing data (see Technical notes).

In 1982 there were an estimated 64,315 FTE RN's and 86,044 FTE LPN's employed in nursing homes in the United States. The NMFI Surveys include in their counts only those employees who were on the staff payroll; they exclude those employed under contract. This must be taken into account when using these figures.

The rates per home, as expected, increase as the bed size groups get larger for both FTE RN's and LPN's (table 6). The government-operated homes had the highest RN and LPN rates, while the proprietary homes, in general, had the lowest such rates. Perhaps the main reason for this was that the government homes were the largest (121-bed average, 24 homes having Table 3. Average bed size, occupancy rate, and admissions per 10 beds (turnover rate) for nursing homes,¹ by selected characteristics: United States, 1982

Characteristic	Average bed size	Occupancy rate ²	Admissions per 10 beds ³
	Number	Percent	Number
All nursing homes	84.7	91	7.7
Bed size			
3-9 beds	5.4	88	4.7
10-24 beds	17.1	88	5.4
25–49 beds	37.1	91	5.9
50-74 beds	60.0	93	7.0
75–99 beds	87.9	93	84
100-199 beds	130.7	91	8.2
200-299 beds	231.9	91	8.1
300-499 beds	361.4	89	6.2
500 or more beds	642.8	87	4.9
Type of ownership			
Government	121.1	93	5.0
Proprietary	79.5	92	8.4
Nonprofit	94.9	90	6.2
Geographic region			
Northeast	85.3	94	7.1
North Central	88.7	91	6.8
South	83.0	90	7.2
West	78.2	92	11.5
Certification ⁴			
Skilled nursing facility	121.6	93	9.4
Intermediate care facility	79.5	90	5.8
Not certified	30.9	86	0.5
Unknown	41.2	92	5.8
			•

¹Excludes the 8,030 residential facilities, the 730 hospital-based facilities, and the 238 nonresponding homes.

²Number of residents divided by number of beds set up and staffed \times 100. ³Includes those nursing homes that reported admissions (14,518); excludes those that did not (3,301).

⁴Facilities certified as skilled nursing facilities and intermediate care facilities have been classified as skilled nursing facilities.



Figure 1. Beds in nursing homes and residential facilities per 1,000 population 65 years of age and over by region: United States, 1982

Table 4. Number of nursing home residents in homes¹ reporting age and sex of residents by age-sex groups and geographic region: United States, 1982

			Geographic		
Sex and age	All nursing homes	Northeast	North Central	South	West
			Number		
Residents ²	990,601	200,554	324,211	291,672	174,164
Males	287.585	54,172	99,754	82,529	51,130
Under 65 years	61.328	10,760	22,542	16,121	11,905
65–74 years	62,359	11,713	19,944	19,751	10,951
75–84 years ³	91.126	17,700	30,611	26,929	15,886
85 years and over ³	72,772	13,999	26,657	19,728	12,388
Females	703,016	146,382	224,457	209,143	123,034
Under 65 years.	63,343	11,189	22,866	17,925	11,363
65–74 years	101.796	19,413	30,555	35,208	16,620
75–84 years ³	254.580	52,422	79,127	80,302	42,729
85 years and over ³	283,297	63,358	91,909	75,708	52,322

¹Excludes the 8,030 residential facilities, the 730 hospital-based facilities, and the 238 nonresponding homes.

²Excludes residents in Florida, Indiana, Michigan, Mississippi, Missouri, and homes in any other States for which complete age and sex data were not available. ³Adjusted for States whose highest age group was 75 years and over. See Technical notes.

Table 5.	Estimated number and percent distribution of resident
populatio	on 65 years and over in general population and in nursing
homes ¹ l	by geographic region: United States, 1982

Region	Total pop 65 ye and o	ars	Estimated number of nursing home residents 65 year and over		
	Number in thousands	Percent	Number in thousands	Percent	
United States	26,826	100.0	1,204	100.0	
Northeast North Central South West	6,299 6,970 8,963 4,595	23.5 26.0 33.4 17.1	277 409 354 165	23.0 33.9 29.4 13.7	

¹Excludes the 8,030 residential facilities, the 730 hospital-based facilities, and the 238 nonresponding homes. ²See Technical notes for estimation procedures.

500 or more beds) and the proprietary homes were the smallest (79-bed average, 9 homes having 500 or more beds).

Although the Northeast had fewer nursing homes than the South, it had a much higher number of FTE RN's, resulting in RN rates nearly 2½ times higher than those for the South. On 5

the other hand, the Northeast had far fewer FTE LPN's than the South, resulting in lower LPN rates. There are nearly twice as many large (200 beds or more) SNF homes in the Northeast (Northeast = 271; South = 143) and a much higher number of less intensive (non-SNF) types of homes in the South (South = 3,789; Northeast = 2,151), facts that may explain the differences in the numbers of FTE RN's and LPN's in these regions.

When the FTE RN's were combined with the FTE LPN's, the Northeast was found to have a rate of 12.0 nurses per 100 beds (derived from table 6). The West was second with a rate of 11.5, followed by the South with 9.3 and North Central with 8.6. The North Central Region's low rate cannot be explained by a lack of SNF's or a lack of large SNF's, as was the case in the South and West. The North Central Region not only had more total SNF homes than the Northeast, 2,014 to 1,715, it also had more large (200 or more beds) SNF's, 312 to 271. Furthermore, it also had nearly four times as many intermediate care facilities as the Northeast, 2,437 to 645. Because these intermediate care facilities also require nurses, there appears to be either a lower ratio of nurses in the North Central Region or a higher reliance upon contracted nurses to fill nursing home needs.

Table 6. Estimated number¹ of full-time equivalent RN's and LPN's in nursing homes,² number of FTE employees per home, and number of FTE employees per 100 beds, by bed size, type of ownership, geographic region, and certification: United States, 1982

	Estimate	ed number of F	TE RN's	Estimate	Estimated number of FTE LPI			
Characteristic	Total	Per home	Per 100 beds	Total	Per home	Per 100 beds		
All nursing homes	64,315	3.6	4.3	86,044	4.8	5.7		
Bed size								
3–9 beds	63	0.0	0.8	114	0.1	1.5		
10-24 beds	876	0.5	2.8	1,208	0.7	3.8		
25–49 beds	3,767	1.4	3.7	5,332	2.0	5.3		
50–74 beds	7,673	2.3	3.8	10,967	3.3	5.5		
75-99 beds	8,687	3.7	4.2	12,750	5.4	6.1		
100–199 beds	28,832	5.7	4.3	39,147	7.7	5.9		
200–299 beds	8,371	10.6	4.6	10,529	13.4	5.8		
300–499 beds	3,696	17.4	4.8	3,846	18.1	5.0		
500 or more beds	2,350	42.7	6.6	2,153	39.1	6.1		
Type of ownership								
Government	5,958	6.5	5.4	7,558	8.3	6.8		
Proprietary	41,176	3.1	3.9	60,760	4.5	5.7		
Nonprofit	17,181	4.9	5.2	17,726	5.1	5.3		
Geographic region								
Northeast	21.215	5.5	6.4	18,626	4.8	5.6		
North Central	19.751	3.4	3.8	25,315	4.3	4.8		
South	12,253	2.3	2.7	29,567	5.5	6.6		
West	11,096	4.2	5.4	12,536	4.8	6.1		
Certification ³								
Skilled nursing facility	48,990	7.0	5.7	55,051	7.8	6.4		
ntermediate care facility	10,909	2.0	2.5	25,312	4.5	5.7		
Not certified	4,211	0.9	2.1	5,401	1.1	2.7		
Unknown	205	0.5	1.7	280	0.7	2.4		

¹See Technical notes.

²Excludes the 8,030 residential facilities, the 730 hospital-based facilities, and the 238 nonresponding homes.

³Facilities certified as skilled nursing facilities and intermediate care facilities have been classified as skilled nursing facilities.

Technical notes

All National Master Facility Inventory (NMFI) Surveys (of nonhospitals) through 1973 were conducted directly by the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) with the assistance of the U.S. Bureau of the Census. From 1976 through 1980 the Cooperative Health Statistics System (CHSS) was active. This system decentralized the responsibility for collecting data from the Federal to the State level. In 1976, 16 States within CHSS collected some or all of the NMFI data; in 1978, 26 States collected NMFI data; and in 1980, 38 States collected NMFI data. In each of these years, NCHS conducted surveys in non-CHSS States.

Although CHSS was not active in 1982, arrangements were continued with 35 States for obtaining their data. A number of these 35 States did not survey every type of nursing and related care home that NCHS includes in its universe. Where this occurred, NCHS surveyed the homes excluded from the State's survey.

The agency within the CHSS States that collected the NMFI data for NCHS was usually the licensing agency. Because their surveys were tied into licensing laws, there were differences in definitions, scope, and timing of the surveys among the CHSS States. Although NCHS included personal care homes, homes for the aged, rest homes, and so forth, some States did not license these types of homes and did not survey them.

The following imputations were made to the 1982 NMFI data:

- 1980 data were used for approximately 500 nursing homes in New York State.
- Little or no data were available for the 4,985 California residential community care facilities (RCCF's) and the 2,243 Michigan adult foster care homes (AFCH's). Although a number of these facilities served only the mentally ill or mentally retarded, there was not enough information available to identify and remove them. As a result, all RCCF's and AFCH's were kept, and beds and residents were imputed using 1980 averages.
- No resident or employee data were available for the 429 Florida adult congregate living facilities or the 373 Kentucky family care homes. Total residents were imputed by multiplying beds by 0.90 (the average occupancy rate for nursing homes).
- Registered nurse and licensed practical nurse data were imputed for Florida and Connecticut using 1980 data.
- In four States (Alaska, Minnesota, Montana, and Texas)

the highest resident age group was 75 years and over. Estimates for residents 85 years and over were obtained by subtracting 50 percent from the 75 year and over age group and adding the result to the 85 year and over group.

- The estimate of 1,204,356 nursing home residents 65 years and over was derived from known age distributions within each region, and from applying those percents to the residents in each region whose ages were unknown. Almost 866,000 residents were actual counts, while the remaining 338,000 were imputed.
- Some States issue a nursing home license and a residential license for the same facility. Whenever this occurred, it created duplicate homes but not duplicate beds. Every effort has been made to eliminate this duplication of the homes.

The final paragraphs of this report provide definitions of the terms used.

Nursing homes—These facilities have three or more beds and provide to adults who require it either (a) nursing care or (b) personal care (such as help with bathing, eating, using toilet facilities, or dressing) and/or supervision over such activities as money management, walking, and shopping.

Residential facilities—These facilities offer no nursing services and provide only personal care or supervisory care. For purposes of this report, only those residential facilities in Florida, Michigan, Kentucky, and California are included in this category. (Note: Facilities meeting this definition also exist in the other 46 States, but because they cannot be identified precisely as residential, they appear in the counts of nursing homes.

Adult congregate living facilities (Florida)—These facilities provide housing, food services, and one or more personal services to four or more adults who are not related to the owner.

Adult foster care homes (AFCH's) (Michigan)—These facilities provide ongoing supervision, but not continuous nursing care, to adults who are aged, emotionally disturbed, developmentally disabled, or physically handicapped.

Residential community care facilities (RCCF's) (California)—These facilities provide nonmedical care and supervision to the elderly, and to children who are either mentally disordered, developmentally disabled, or physically handicapped.

Family care homes (Kentucky)—These facilities are licensed but not certified. They generally have either two or three beds. (Those with two beds are out of scope for the NMFI and are not included in the survey.)

Symbols

- --- Data not available
- ... Category not applicable
- Quantity zero
- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Quantity more than zero but less than
 500 where numbers are rounded to thousands
- * Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality requirements



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

September 27, 1985 • Number 112

1984 Summary: National Hospital Discharge Survey

by Charles F. Dennison, Division of Health Care Statistics

Introduction

During 1984 an estimated 37.2 million inpatients, excluding newborn infants, were discharged from short-stay non-Federal hospitals in the United States. These patients were hospitalized an average of 6.6 days and used 244.7 million days of inpatient hospital care. Patients hospitalized during 1984 accounted for 159 discharges and 1,044 days of care per 1,000 civilian population.

These and other statistics presented in this report are based on data collected by means of the National Hospital Discharge Survey, a continuous survey that has been conducted by the National Center for Health Statistics since 1965. In 1984, data were abstracted from the face sheets of medical records of approximately 192,000 patients discharged from 407 short-stay non-Federal hospitals. A brief description of the sample design, data collection procedures, and estimation process, and definition of terms used in this report can be found in the section entitled "Technical notes." A detailed discussion of these items, as well as the survey form used to collect the data, have been published.^{1.2}

Coding of medical data for patients hospitalized is done according to the International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification³ (ICD-9-CM). Up to seven diagnoses and four procedures are coded for each discharge. Although diagnoses included in the ICD-9-CM section entitled "Supplementary classification of external causes of injury and poisoning" (codes E800-E999) are used by the National Hospital Discharge Survey, these diagnoses are excluded from this report. The conditions diagnosed and procedures performed are presented here by chapter of ICD-9-CM. Within these chapters, a few diagnoses and procedures or groups thereof also are shown. These specific categories were selected primarily because of large numbers of occurrences or because they are of special interest. Residual categories of the diagnostic and procedure classes, however, are not included in the tables. More detailed analyses of these data will be presented in later reports in Series 13 of *Vital and Health Statistics*.

Data highlights

Utilization by patient and hospital characteristics

The number, rate, and average length of stay of patients discharged from short-stay non-Federal hospitals are shown by selected patient and hospital characteristics in tables 1-3. The 37.2 million patients discharged from short-stay hospitals during 1984 included an estimated 14.9 million males and 22.3 million females. The rates per 1,000 population were 132 for males and 184 for females, making the rate for females about 39 percent higher than the rate for males. The number and rate of discharges are always higher for females than for males because of the large number of women in their childbearing years (15-44 years of age) who are hospitalized for deliveries and other obstetrical conditions. Excluding deliveries, the rate for females discharged was 152, or only about 15 percent higher than the rate for males.

The average length of stay was 7.0 days for males and 6.3 days for females during 1984. The length of stay for females was shorter than that for males primarily because the average length of stay of the 3.9 million women who were hospitalized for deliveries was only 3.4 days. The average length of stay for females who were not hospitalized for deliveries during 1984 was 6.9 days.

The number of discharges from short-stay hospitals by geographic region during 1984 ranged from 13.5 million in the South Region to 6.4 million in the West Region, and the rates per 1,000 population ranged from 169 in the South Region to 139 in the West Region. Regional differences in the number of discharges are accounted for mainly by variations in population sizes and to a lesser extent by variations in the discharge rates. This is apparent when number of discharges and rate of discharges are compared among the regions. Although the rate of discharges per 1,000 population was highest in the North Central and South Regions with no significant difference between them, the number of discharges and the civilian population in the South Region was about 35 percent higher than the North Central Region.

Average lengths of stay by geographic region were 5.7 days in the West, 6.1 days in the South, 6.9 days in the North Central, and 7.8 days in the Northeast.

Discharges from short-stay hospitals were about 40 percent male and 60 percent female in every hospital bed-size group; females with deliveries accounted for about 10.4 percent of all discharges regardless of hospital size. The average length of stay increased steadily from 5.3 days in the smallest hospitals (6-99 beds) to 7.5 days in the largest hospitals (500 beds or more) for all patients.

During 1984, voluntary nonprofit hospitals provided medical care to an estimated 25.7 million patients, or 69 percent of all patients hospitalized. Hospitals operated by State and local governments cared for 7.8 million patients, or 21 percent of all discharges, and proprietary hospitals operated for profit cared for 3.7 million patients or 10 percent of all discharges. Average lengths of stay were 6.8 days in voluntary nonprofit hospitals, 6.1 days in State and local government hospitals, and 6.2 days in proprietary hospitals.

Utilization by diagnosis

Diseases of the circulatory system ranked first in 1984 among the ICD-9-CM diagnostic chapters as a principal or first-listed diagnosis among patients discharged from non-Federal short-stay hospitals. These conditions accounted for an estimated 5.6 million discharges. Other leading ICD-9-CM diagnostic chapters were diseases of the digestive system (4.3 million discharges) and supplementary classifications (including females with deliveries) (4.3 million discharges). Over 38 percent of the patients discharged from non-Federal short-stay hospitals were included in these three ICD-9-CM diagnostic chapters.

The diagnostic categories presented in this report were selected either because they appear as principal or first-listed diagnoses with great frequency or because the conditions are of special interest. Although many of these categories (such as malignant neoplasms; psychoses; and fractures, all sites) are groupings of more detailed diagnoses, they are presented as single categories without showing all of the specific diagnostic inclusions.

The number and rate of discharges and average length of stay for each ICD-9-CM diagnostic chapter and selected categories are shown by sex and age in tables 4-6. The most common diagnostic category for all patients was females with deliveries. This was followed by the diagnostic categories heart disease and malignant neoplasms. Excluding females with deliveries, these last two non-sex-specific diagnostic categories were also the most common first-listed diagnoses for each sex.

The most frequent first-listed diagnoses for 1984 varied for the different age groups. For patients under 15 years of age, the most frequent diagnoses were acute respiratory infections, except influenza, and chronic disease of tonsils and adenoids. Excluding females with deliveries, the two most frequent diagnoses for patients 15-44 years of age were abortions and ectopic and molar pregnancies, and fractures, all sites. Patients 45-64 years of age were hospitalized most frequently for heart disease. The most common diagnoses for patients 65 years of age and over were heart disease and malignant neoplasms.

The average length of stay for all patients ranged from a low of 1.7 day for the diagnostic category chronic disease of tonsils and adenoids, 1.8 days for patients admitted for sterilization, and 2.3 days for the diagnostic category abortions and ectopic and molar pregnancies to a high of 15.8 days for the diagnostic category fracture of neck of femur. Although the overall average length of stay for females was shorter than that for males, females stayed in the hospital longer than males for many of the specific diagnostic categories shown in this report

The average length of stay increased with increasing age for most categories of diagnoses shown. Overall, the average length of stay ranged from 4.5 days for patients under 15 years of age to 8.9 days for patients 65 and over. By diagnosis, stays were highest (when compared with the average length of stay) for patients with fracture of neck of femur (15.8 days) and psychoses (14.5 days).

Utilization by procedures

One or more surgical or nonsurgical procedures were performed for an estimated 20.9 million of the 37.2 million inpatients discharged from short-stay hospitals during 1984. A total of 36.2 million procedures, or an average of 1.7 per patient who underwent at least one procedure, were recorded in 1984.

Procedures are grouped in the tables of this report by the ICD-9-CM procedure chapters. Selected procedures within these chapters also are presented by specific categories. Some of these categories (such as extraction of lens, open heart surgery, and hysterectomy) are presented as single categories although they may be divided into more precise subgroups.

When grouped by chapters, miscellaneous diagnostic and therapeutic procedures with 7.2 million procedures ranked first among the surgical and nonsurgical procedures performed during 1984. These were followed by operations on the digestive system with 5.9 million procedures performed. Other leading procedures were obstetrical procedures with 3.9 million procedures, operations on the musculoskeletal system with 3.7 million procedures and operations on female genital organs with 3.5 million procedures. Approximately two-thirds of all procedures performed in 1984 were included in these five ICD-9-CM procedure chapters.

The number and rate of all-listed procedures in 1984 for each ICD-9-CM procedure chapter and selected procedure categories are shown by sex and age in tables 7 and 8. Of the 36.2 million procedures performed during 1984, 14.4 million were for males and 21.8 million were for females. The corresponding rates per 1,000 population were 154 for both sexes, 127 for males, and 180 for females. Of the procedures shown in table 7, some common ones for males were endoscopies on the urinary system (natural orifice) and repair of inguinal hernia; the most frequently performed procedures for females were episiotomy and cesarean section. The rate of procedures per 1,000 population increased with advancing age from 39 for patients under 15 years to 378 for patients 65 years of age and over. The most frequently performed procedures for patients under 15 years of age were ton-sillectomy with or without adenoidectomy; for patients 15-44

TABLE 1. NUMBER OF INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS BY SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS: UNITED STATES, 1984 (DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS)

SELECTED CHARACTERISTIC	BOTH Sexes	MALE	FEMALE
		R OF PATIE	
TOTAL	37,162	14:899	22,263
AGE			
UNDER 15 YEARS	3,208	1,831	1,377
15-44 YEARS	14,533	4,305	10,228
45-64 YEARS	8,195	3,964	4,231
65 YEARS AND OVER	11,226	4,799	6,427
REGION			
NORTHEAST	7,408	3,086	4,321
NORTH CENTRAL	9,899	4,042	5,857
SOUTH	13,451	5,261	8,190
#EST	6,405	2,510	3,895
BED SIZE			
6-99 BEDS	5,972	2,352	3,621
100-199 BEDS	6,624	2,605	4,019
200-299 BEDS	6,361	2,614	3,747
300-499 BEDS	10,139	4,055	6,084
500 BEDS OR MORE	8,066	3.273	4,793
OWNERSH IP-			
NONPROFIT	25,651	10,208	15.443
STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT	7,849	3,218	4,631
PROPRIETARY	3,662	1+473	2,189

TABLE 2. RATE OF INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, BY AGE, GEOGRAPHIC REGION, AND SEX: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS)

AGE AND REGION	BOTH Sexes	MALE	FEMALE
		ATIENTS D	
TOTAL	158.5	131.6	183.6
AGE			
UNDER 15 YEARS	62.0	69-2	54.5
15-44 YEARS	132.2	79.6	183.1
45-64 YEARS	183.3	185.8	180.9
65 YEARS AND OVER	400.4	424-8	383.9
REGION			
NORTHEAST	149.3	130.5	166.4
NORTH CENTRAL	167.9	141.4	192.8
SOUTH	168.8	137.3	198.0
WEST	138.7	110.8	165.5

years of age, episiotomy and cesarean section; for patients 45–64 years of age, arteriography and angiocardiography, and cardiac catheterization; and for patients 65 years of age and over, extraction of lens, computerized axial tomography, and endoscopies of the urinary system (natural orifice).

TABLE 3. AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY FOR INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS BY SELECTED CHARACTERISTICS: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS)

SELECTED CHARACTERISTIC	BOTH Sexes	MALE	FEMALE
	AVERAGE LENG	TH OF STA	Y IN DAYS
TOTAL	6.6	7.0	6.3
AGE			
UNDER 15 YEARS	4.5 4.9 7.2	4.4 6.0 7.1	1.et 1.ei 7.e2
65 YEARS AND OVER	8.9	8.8	9.0
REGION			
NORTHEAST NORTH CENTRAL SOUTH	7.8 6.9 6.1 5.7	8.1 7.3 6.5 6.3	7.6 6.6 5.9 5.3
BED SIZE			
6-99 BEDS 100-199 BEDS 200-299 BEDS 300-499 BEDS 500 BEDS OR MORE	5.3 6.0 6.6 6.9 7.5	5.5 6.6 6.8 7.3 8.3	5.3 5.7 6.4 6.7 7.0
OWNERSHIP			
NUNPROFIT STATE AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT PROPRIETARY	6.8 6.1 6.2	7.2 6.6 6.5	6.5 5.7 6.1

Symbols

- --- Data not available
- ... Category not applicable
 - Quantity zero

1

N S F

- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Quantity more than zero but less than
 500 where numbers are rounded to
 thousands
- Figure does not meet standards of reliability or precision
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality requirements

TABLE 4. NUMBER OF INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, BY CATEGORY OF FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS, SEX, AND AGE: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS. DIAGNOSTIC GROUPINGS AND CODE NUMBER INCLUSIONS ARE BASED ON THE International classification of diseases, 9th revision, clinical modification)

		SI	EX		A	GE	
CATEGORY OF FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS AND ICD-9-CM CODE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	UNDER 15 YEARS	15-44 YEARS	45-64 YEARS	65 YEARS AND OVER
		NUMBER	OF PATIEN	S DISCHARG	ED IN THO	USANDS	
ALL CONDITIONS	37,162	14,899	22, 263	3,208	14,533	8,195	11,226
INFECTIOUS AND PARASITIC DISEASES	658	301	357	185	217	89	166
NEOPLASMS140-239	2,576	1,060	1,516	62	456	884	1,174
MALIGNANT NEOPLASMS	2,059 340	943 214	1,117	36 +	227 13	727 155	1,070
	234	*	231	*	33	101	100
ENDOCRINE, NUTRITIONAL AND METABOLIC DISEASES, AND IMMUNITY DISORDERS	1,139 593	427 238	712 354	78 23	273 143	314 199	474 227
DISEASES OF THE BLOOD AND BLOOD-FORMING ORGANS280-289	354	152	202	57	86	58	153
TENTAL DI SORDER S	1,690	875	815	50	966	413	261
PSYCHOSES	625 228 392	283 77 288	341 151 104	*7 *7 *	329 134 232	155 58 128	133 28 31
DISEASES OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND SENSE ORGANS	1,669	709	960	257	349	345	718
DISEASES OF THE CENTRAL NERVOUS SYSTEM	441 481	211 165	230 316	58	157 10	92 74	134 395
DISEASES OF THE EAR AND MASTOID PROCESS	321	158	162	152	60	56	53
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	5,593 266	2,856 110	2,737 155	35	531 48	1,762 115	3,265
HEART DISEASE391-392.0,393-398,402,404,410-416,420-429 ACUTE MYDCARDIAL INFARCTION	3,599	1,905	1,694	20	266	1,203	2,110
ATHEROSCLEROTIC HEART DISEASE	700 365	435 225	266 140	*	42 18	257 135	401 212
OTHER ISCHEMIC HEART DISEASE	969	535	434	*	74	422	471
CONGESTIVE HEART FAILURE	531 896	228 420	303 476	* *5	11 32	92 192	425 667
ISEASES OF THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	3,365	1,654	1,711	877	708	642	1,137
ACUTE RESPIRATORY INFECTIONS, EXCEPT INFLUENZA	449 327	207 142	243	199	86	65	99
PNEUMONIA, ALL FORMS	837 465	424 197	185 413 268	27.8 207 150	105 114 109	* 136 102	* 380 105
ISEASES OF THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	4,305	2,013	2,292	409	1,365	1,169	1,361
ULCERS OF THE STOMACH AND SMALL INTESTINE	327 266	179 108	148 158	* 17	81 103	98 85	146 61
APPENDICITIS	270	154	116	69	158	28	15
INGUINAL HERNIA	440 540	390 213	50 327	58 171	125	131 88	125 106
CHOLELITHIASIS	488	141	347	*	177	155	155
ISEASES OF THE GENITOURINARY SYSTEM	3,116 328	1,043 222	2,073 106	134 *	1,460 149	753 126	769 52
ABNORMAL VAGINAL BLEEDING626	254	•••	254	*	191	59	*
OMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY, CHILDBIRTH, AND THE PUERPERIUM	969 418		969 418	*6	960 413	*	••••
ISEASES OF THE SKIN AND SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE	568	263	305	60	205	147	156
ISEASES OF THE MUSCULDSKELETAL SYSTEM			,,,,		205		100
AND CONNECTIVE TISSUE	2,375	1,007	1,368	68	925	755	627
ARTHROPATHIES AND RELATED DISORDERS	536 509	207 288	329 221	19	161 271	-161 183	196 53
ONGENITAL ANOMALIES	317	174	143	166	81	43	26
ERTAIN CONDITIONS ORIGINATING IN THE							
PERINATAL PERIOD	167	88	79	166	*	-	*
YMPTOMS, SIGNS, AND ILL-DEFINED CONDITIONS780-799	520	245	276	93	209	140	79
NJURY AND POISONING	3,472	1,892	1,580	438	1,622	596	816
FRACTURE OF NECK OF FEMUR	1,114 244	541 64	573 179	146 *	387 11	161 25	420 205
	269	128	142	*	168	70	28
SPRAINS AND STRAINS OF BACK (INCLUDING NECK)							
SPRAINS AND STRAINS OF BACK (INCLUDING NECK)	270	157	112	68	141	25	35
SPRAINS AND STRAINS OF BACK (INCLUDING NECK)	270 315	157 230	112 86	68 45	141 204	25 42	35 25
SPRAINS AND STRAINS OF BACK (INCLUDING NECK)							

1/ FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS FOR FEMALES WITH DELIVERIES IS CODED V27, SHOWN UNDER "SUPPLEMENTARY CLASSIFICATIONS."

TABLE 5. RATE OF INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, BY CATEGORY OF FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS, SEX, AND AGE: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS, EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS, DIAGNOSTIC GROUPINGS AND CODE NUMBER INCLUSIONS ARE BASED ON THE International classification of diseases, 9th revision, clinical modification}

		S	EX			GE	
CATEGORY OF FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS AND ICD-9-CN CODE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	UNDER 15 YEARS	15-44 Years	45-64 YEARS	65 YEARS AND OVER
		RATE OF IN	PATIENTS D	SCHARGED P	ER 10,000	POPULATIO	N
ALL CONDITIONS	1,585.1	1,316.2	1,836.2	620.1	1,321.7	1,832.8	4,003.6
INFECTIOUS AND PARASITIC DISEASES	28.1	26.6	29.4	35.9	19.8	19.9	59.2
NEOPLASMS140-239	109.9	93.7	125.1	12.1	41.5	197.7	418.6
MALIGNANT NEOPLASNS	87.8	83.3 18.9	92-1 10-4	7.0	20.6	162.6 34.7	381.5
MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF BREAST	10.0	*	19.1	*	3.0	22.5	35.7
ENDOCRINE, NUTRITIONAL AND METABOLIC DISEASES, AND IMMUNITY DISORDERS	48-6 25-3	37.7 21.0	58.8 29.2	15.0 4.5	24.8 13.0	70.3 44.5	169.2 81.3
DISEASES OF THE BLOOD AND BLOOD-FORMING ORGANS	15.1	13.4	16.7	11.0	7.8	13.1	54.6
MENTAL DI SORDERS							
PSYCHDSES	72.1 26.6	77.3 25.0	67.3 28.2	9.6 *1.4	87.8 29.9	92.4 34.6	93-2 47-5
NEUROTIC AND PERSONALITY DISORDERS	9.7	6-8	12.4	*1.4	12.2	13.0	10.0
	16.7	25.5	8-6	*	21.1	28.6	11.1
DISEASES OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND SENSE ORGANS	71.2 18.8	62.6 18.6	79-2	49-7	31.7	77-2	256-1
CATARACT	20.5	14.6	19.0 26.1	11.1 *	14.3 0.9	20.7 16.6	47.9 140.7
DISEASES OF THE EAR AND HASTOID PROCESS	13.7	14-0	13.4	29.4	5.4	12.5	18.9
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	238.6	252.3	225.8	6.7	48.3	394.1	1.164.5
ESSENTIAL HYPERTENSION	11.3 153.5	9-8	12-8	*	4.4	25.6	36-3
ACUIE MYUCARDIAL INFARCTION	29.9	168.3 38.4	139.7 21.9	3.8 *	24.2 3.8	269.1 57.5	752.4
ATHEROSCLEROTIC HEART DISEASE	15.6	19.9	11.5	*	1.6	30.1	75.6
OTHER ISCHEMIC HEART DISEASE	41.3 22.6	47.3 20.1	35.8 25.0	*	6.7	94.3	168.0
CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASE	38.2	37-1	39-3	*0.9	1.0 3.0	20.6 42.9	151.7 237.9
DISEASES OF THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	143.5	146.1	141.1	169.6	64.4	143.6	405.4
ACUTE RESPIRATURE INFECTIONS, EXCEPT INFLUENZA	19.2	18.2	20.0	38.5	7.8	14.5	35.4
CHRONIC DISEASE OF TONSILS AND ADENDIDS	14-0 35-7	12.5 37.4	15.3 34.1	42.2 39.9	9.6	*	*
AST HMA	19.8	17.4	22.1	28.9	10.3 9.9	30.5 22.8	135.6 37.3
DISEASES OF THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	183.6	177-8	189.0	79.1	124.2	261.5	485.5
ULLERS UP THE STOMACH AND SMALL INTESTINE	13.9	15.8	12.2	*	7.3	21.8	51.9
GASTRITIS AND DUODENITIS	11.3 11.5	9.5	13.0	3-2	9-4	19.0	21.7
INGUINAL HERNIA	18.8	13.6 34.4	9.6 4.1	13.4 11.3	14.4 11.4	6.3 29.4	5.2 44.5
NONINFECTIOUS ENTERITIS AND COLITIS	23.0	18.8	27.0	33.0	15.9	19.7	37.9
	20.8	12.5	28.6	*	16.1	34.7	55.2
DISEASES OF THE GENITOURINARY SYSTEM	132.9 14.0	92+1 19+6	171-0 8-7	26.0 *	132.8 13.5	168.3 28.2	274.1 18.5
ABNORMAL VAGINAL BLEEDING	10-8	•••	21.0	*	17-3	13.3	*
COMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY, CHILDBIRTH,							
ABORTIONS AND ECTOPIC AND HOLAR PREGNANCIES	41.3 17.8	•••	79.9 34.5	*1.2 *	87.3 37.5	*	•••
ISEASES OF THE SKIN AND SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE680-709	24.2	23.2	25.1	11.6	18.7	32.8	55.7
ISEASES OF THE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM AND CONNECTIVE TISSUE							
	101.3 22.9	89.0 18.3	112-8	13.1	84-1	169.0	223.6
INTERVERTEBRAL DISC DISORDERS	21.7	25.4	27.1 18.2	3.7	14.6 24.6	.35.9 41.0	69.8 19.0
ONGENITAL ANOMALIES	13.5	15.4	11.8	32.1	7.4		
ERTAIN CONDITIONS ORIGINATING IN THE PERINATAL PERIOD	7-1	7+8		_		9.7	9.4
YMPTOMS, SIGNS, AND ILL-DEFINED CONDITIONS		-	6.5	32-1	*	-	*
	22.2	21-6	22.7	17.9	19.0	31.4	28.0
NJURY AND POISONING. FRACTURES, ALL SITES. FRACTURE OF NECK DE FEND	148-1 47-5	167.2	130.3	84-7	147-5	133.3	291.1
	10.4	47.8 5.7	47.2 14.8	28.3	35.2 1.0	36-0	149.6
INTRACRANIAL INJURIES (EXCLUDING THOSE WITH	11.5	11.3	11.7	*	15.3	5.5 15.7	73.2 9.9
	11.5	13.9	9.3	13.1	12.9	5.6	12.6
870-904	13.5	20.3	7.1	8.7	18.5	9.3	9.0
JPPLEMENTARY CLASSIFICATIONS	183.8	12.5	343.7	12.8	374.6	18.2	
PERSONS ADMITTED FOR STERILIZATION	4.8	*	9.2		10-1	18.2	15.0
	164.4		317.8	2.6	348.9	*	• • •

1/ FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS FOR FEMALES WITH DELIVERIES IS CODED V27, SHOWN UNDER "SUPPLEMENTARY CLASSIFICATIONS."

TABLE 6. AVERAGE LENGTH OF STAY FOR INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, BY CATEGORY OF FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS, SEX, AND AGE: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS. DIAGNOSTIC GROUPINGS AND CODE NUMBER INCLUSIONS ARE BASED ON THE International classification of diseases, 9th revision, clinical modification)

		s	EX		A	GE	
CATEGORY OF FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS AND ICD-9-CM CODE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	UNDER 15 YEARS	15-44 YEARS	45-64 YEARS	65 YEARS AND OVER
			AVERAGE LE	NGTH DF STA	Y IN DAYS		
ALL CONDITIONS	6.6	7.0	6.3	4.5	4.9	7.2	8.9
INFECTIOUS AND PARASITIC DISEASES	6.6	6.9	6.4	4.0	5.7	8-1	10.1
1EOPLASMS140-239	9.0	9.6	8.5	5.1	6.4	8.7	10.4
MALIGNANT NEOPLASMS	9.8 9.5	10.1 9.4	9.5 9.8	6.6 *	7.9 7.6	9•1 8.7	10.7 10.4
MALIGNANT NEOPLASM OF BREAST	8-3	*	8.3	*	6.9	7.7	9.4
ENDOCRINE, NUTRITIONAL AND METABOLIC DISEASES, AND IMMUNITY DISORDERS	7.6 8.2	7.5 7.8	7.7 8.5	4.7 5.8	5.7 5.9	7.4 8.2	9.4 9.9
DISEASES OF THE BLOOD AND BLOOD-FORMING ORGANS280-289	6.2	5.7	6.5	3.7	5.1	6.7	7.4
MENTAL DISORDERS	11.9	11.5	12.4	16.7	11.8	11.4	12.2
PSYCHOSES	14.5	14.0 10-1	14.9 11.7	*26.5 *22.2	14.5	14.3 10.0	14-1 8-4
ALCOHOL DEPENDENCE SYNDROME	10.6	10-1	11.2	****	10.6	10.0	13.3
DISEASES OF THE NERVOUS SYSTEM AND SENSE ORGANS	4-8 9-3	5.1 9.9	4.5 8.8	3.6 6.9	5.0 7.1	5.1 9.6	5.0 12.9
CATARACT	2.4	2.2	2.5	*	2.8	2.5	2.4
DISEASES OF THE EAR AND MASTOID PROCESS	3.2	3.2	3.1	2.6	2.7	3.5	4.9
DISEASES OF THE CIRCULATORY SYSTEM	8.2 5.6	7.9 5.4	8.6 5.8	6-3 *	6.2 4.4	7.5 5.1	9.0 6.8
HEART DISEASE	7.8	7.5	8-1	6.1	6.1	7.1	8.4
ACUTE MYOCARDIAL INFARCTION	10.0	9.5	10-8	*	8.3	9.5	10.4
ATHEROSCLEROTIC HEART DISEASE	7.2 6.0	7.2 5.8	7.3 6.3	*	5.4 5.0	6.4 5.7	7.9 6.4
CONGESTIVE HEART FAILURE	8.6	8.2	8.9	*	6.1	8.3	8.7
CEREBROVASCULAR DISEASE430-438	10.4	9.8	10.8	*8.5	11.1	10.3	10.4
DISEASES OF THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	6.0	5.9	6-1	3.4 3.2	3.9	6.8 5.8	8.9 7.1
CHRONIC DISEASE OF TONSILS AND ADENDIDS	4.6 1.7	4.3 1.6	4.8 1.7	1.6	3.9 1.7	9.0	*
PNEUMONIA, ALL FORMS	7-8 5-2	7.3 4.6	8.2	4.8 3.6	5.9	8.1 5.7	9.8 7.5
ISEASES OF THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	6.3	6.0	6.6	3.6	4.9	6.6	8.2
ULCERS OF THE STOMACH AND SMALL INTESTINE	7.4	7.5	7.3	*	5.2	7.1	8.8
GASTRITIS AND DUODENITIS	4.7	4.5	4.8	2.9	4.0	5.2	5.6
APPENDICITIS	5.1 3.8	4.9 3.7	5.4 3.9	4.6 1.9	4.7 2.9	6.8 4.0	9.0 5.2
NONINFECTIOUS ENTERITIS AND COLITIS	4.9	4.7	5.0	3.4	4.9	6.0	6.4
CHOLELITHIASIS	7.6	8.1	7.4	*	6.2	7.3	9.7
ISEASES OF THE GENITOURINARY SYSTEM	5-4 4-2	5.9 4.0	5.2 4.7	3.6 *	4.4 3.3	5.2 4.2	7.7 6.7
DISORDERS OF MENSTRUATION AND OTHER Abnormal vaginal bleeding	3.5	•••	3.5	*	3.4	3.9	*
OMPLICATIONS OF PREGNANCY, CHILDBIRTH,					. .		
AND THE PUERPERIUM	2.6 2.3	•••	2.6 2.3	*6.2 *	2.6 2.3	*	•••
DISEASES OF THE SKIN AND SUBCUTANEOUS TISSUE	8.0	7.8	8.1	4_0	6.0	8.5	11.7
DISEASES OF THE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM							
AND CONNECTIVE TISSUE	7.0 8.1	6.5 7.0	7.3 8.8	5.5 6.1	5.6 4.7	6.9 8.3	9.3 10.9
INTERVERTEBRAL DISC DISORDERS	7.6	7-0	8.3	*	7.1	7.9	9.0
ONGENITAL ANOMALIES	6.0	5.9	6.2	5.7	4-4	8.3	9.6
ERTAIN CONDITIONS ORIGINATING IN THE PERINATAL PERIOD	12.2	11-1	13.4	12.2		-	*
WMPTOMS, SIGNS, AND ILL-DEFINED CONDITIONS	4-1	4.0	4.3	3.1	3-6	4.7	5.6
NJURY AND POISONING	6.8	6.3	7.5	3.9	5.4	7.2	11.0
FRACTURES, ALL SITES	9.3	8.2	10.3	4.7	7.0	8.7	13.3
FRACTURE OF NECK OF FEMUR	15.8	16-1	15.6	*	17-5	13.6	15.9
SPRAINS AND STRAINS OF BACK (INCLUDING NECK)	6.4	6.0	6.8	*	6.1	7.1	7.5
INTRACRANIAL INJURIES (EXCLUDING THOSE WITH SKULL FRACTURE)	5.3	5.2	5.3	2.6 3.8	5.2	6.9 5.5	9.5 6.9
LACERATIONS AND OPEN WOUNDS	4.5	4.4	4.9				
UPPLEMENTARY CLASSIFICATIONS	3.4 1.8	3.7	3.4 1.8	3.3	3.4 1.9	4.0 *	5.0

1/ FIRST-LISTED DIAGNOSIS FOR FEMALES WITH DELIVERIES IS CODED V27, SHOWN UNDER "SUPPLEMENTARY CLASSIFICATIONS."

TABLE 7. NUMBER OF ALL-LISTED PROCEDURES FOR INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, BY PROCEDURE CATEGORY, SEX, AND AGE: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEWBORN INFANTS. PROCEDURE GROUPINGS AND CODE NUMBER INCLUSIONS ARE BASED ON THE International classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, clinical modification)

		SI	EX		A	GE	
PROCEDURE CATEGORY AND ICD-9-CM CODE	TOTAL	MALE	FENALE	UNDER 15 YEARS	15-44 YEARS	45-64 YEARS	65 YEARS AND OVER
		NUMBER C	F ALL-LIS	TED PROCEDU	RES IN TH	OUSANDS	
ALL PROCEDURES	36,155	14,359	21, 796	2.024	14,757	8,762	10,612
OPERATIONS ON THE NERVOUS SYSTEM	895	460	435	133	317	249	196
OPERATIONS ON THE ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	111	26	84	*5	45	43	18
OPERATIONS ON THE EYE08-16 EXTRACTION OF LENS13.1-13.6 INSERTION OF PROSTHETIC LENS (PSEUDOPHAKOS)13.7	1,347 506 455	518 175 159	829 331 296	59 *5 *	116 11 *5	258 81 73	914 409 375
OPERATIONS ON THE EAR	326 138	139 180 79	230 146 58	168 121	83 11	46 *	29
OPERATIONS ON THE NOSE, MOUTH, AND PHARYNX	1,331 235	658	674	351 *9	618 163	231 46	131 17
RHINOPLASTY AND REPAIR OF NOSE	348	111 148	124 200	224	118	*6	*
OPERATIONS ON THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	989 214	587 127	402 87	61 16	174 30	336 71	418 97
OPERATIONS ON THE CARDIOVASCULAR SYSTEM	2,101	1,248	853	91	303	832	875
35.53-36.2,36.9,37.10-37.11,37.32-37.33,37.5 DIRECT HEART REVASCULARIZATION	314 202	218 156	96 4 6	13 *	39 15	160 115	102 71
CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION	570 208	372 110	198 98	27 *	85 *7	288 35	171 164
OPERATIONS ON THE HEMIC AND LYMPHATIC SYSTEM	367	182	185	18	81	109	159
DPERATIONS ON THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	5,930 230	2,581 114	3,350 117	264 *8	1,994 45	1,591 75	2,081 102
OF INTESTINE. APPENDECTONY, EXCLUDING INCIDENTAL	257 294	118 160	138 134	* 70	37 177	76 30	139 16
HEMORRHOIDECTOMY	131	69	62	-	62	49	21
REPAIR OF INCUINAL HERNIA	485 469 308	145 411 40	339 57 268	* 65 *	183 130 185	155 136 56	145 138 64
DPERATIONS ON THE URINARY SYSTEM	1,886 774	1,149 536	737 238	89 26	392 123	530 208	875 416
DILATION OF URETHRA	164	91	74	14	34	45	71
DPERATIONS ON THE MALE GENITAL ORGANS	822 361 71	822 361 71	*** *** ***	103	113 * *10	195 83 11	410 275 *8
DPERATIONS ON THE FEMALE GENITAL ORGANS	3,532 498	•••	3, 532 498	14 *	2,616 298	641 155	261 44
BILATERAL DESTRUCTION OR OCCLUSION OF FALLOPIAN TUBES	488	•••	488	-	484	*	-
HYSTERECTONY	664 67	•••	664 67	*	416 66	188 ¥	60 •••
AFTER DELIVERY OR ABORTION	251 470	***	251 470	*	249 317	* 115	38
REPAIR OF CYSTOCELE AND RECTOCELE	172	***	172	-	59	67	46
JBSTETRICAL PROCEDURES	3,872	***	3,872	11	3,858	*	***
CESAREAN SECTION	1,861 813	***	1.861 813	*5	1,855 810	*	•••
OPERATIONS ON THE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	483 3,698	•••	483 1.905	*	481	*	 874
OPEN REDUCTION OF FRACTURE 76.77,76.79,79.2-79.3,79.5-79.6	436	1,793 234	202	249 32	1,594	980 70	143
OTHER REDUCTION OF FRACTURE76.70-76-71,76.73,76-75,76-78,79.0-79-1,79-4	241	131	109	71	82	35	52
EXCISION OR DESTRUCTION OF INTERVERTEBRAL DISC AND SPINAL FUSION	277	163	114	*	152	102	19
EXCISION OF SEMILUNAR CARTILAGE OF KNEE	136 164 184	91 85 53	45 79 130	* * *	82 70 *8	36 35 34	15 56 141
PERATIONS ON THE INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	1,785	681	1,103	112	743	501	428
MASTECTOMY85.4 Skin graft (Except Lip or Mouth)86.6-86.7	121 161	*6 101	116 60	* 15	16 68	50 37	54 40
ISCELLANEOUS DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES	7,163 1,091 421	3+474 530 230	3,689 561 191	294 46 14	1,710 247 126	2,216 297 136	2,942 502 145
ARTERIDGRAPHY AND ANGIOCARDIDGRAPHY USING CONTRAST MATERIAL	972 836	587 317	385 519	14 32	134 260	431 211	392 333
RADIOISOTOPE SCAN92.0-92.1	764	349	414	13	142	230	379

TABLE 8. RATE OF ALL-LISTED PROCEDURES FOR INPATIENTS DISCHARGED FROM SHORT-STAY HOSPITALS, BY PROCEDURE CATEGORY, SEX, AND AGE: UNITED STATES, 1984

(DISCHARGES FROM NONFEDERAL HOSPITALS. EXCLUDES NEMBORN INFANTS. PROCEDURE GROUPINGS AND CODE NUMBER INCLUSIONS ARE BASED ON THE International classification of diseases, 9th Revision, clinical modification)

		SE	x		A	GE	
PROCEDURE CATEGORY AND ICD-9-CM CODE	TOTAL	MALE	FEMALE	UNDER 15 YEARS	15-44 YEARS	45-64 YEARS	65 YEARS
	R	ATE OF ALL-	LISTED PRO	CEDURES PE	R 100,000	POPULATION	· <u>·</u> · · ···
ALL PROCEDURES	15,421.7	12,684.7	17,977.1	3,912.9	13,420.4	19,597.5	37,844.7
DPERATIONS ON THE NERVOUS SYSTEM	381.8	406.2	359.0	257.3	288.4	557.4	697.3
OPERATIONS ON THE ENDOCRINE SYSTEM	47.2	23.2	69.7	*9.3	40.8	96.4	64 . 2
DPERATIONS ON THE EYE	574.8	457.9	683.9	114.8	105.4	576.3	3,261.3
EXTRACTION OF LENS	215.8 194.0	154.6 140.2	273.0 244.2	*9.6	10.4 *4.4	180.2 164.3	1,458.5
DPERATIONS ON THE EAR	139.0 58.7	159-1 70-1	120.2 48.1	325.2 233.4	75.4 10.2	102.7 *	102.5
DPERATIONS ON THE NOSE, MOUTH, AND PHARYNX21-29 RHINOPLASTY AND REPAIR OF NOSE21.8 TONSILLECTOMY WITH OR WITHOUT ADEMOIDECTOMY28.2-28.3	567.8 100.3	581.1 98.1	555.5 102.3	678.9 *18.0 433.8	562.5 148.6 107.0	516.3 101.8 *12.3	466 .3 60 .1
	148.5	130.7	165.0				
DPERATIONS ON THE RESPIRATORY SYSTEM	421.7 91.3	518.5 112.5	331.4 71.6	118.5 31.8	157.9 27.2	751.2 157.8	1,490.3 346-5
DPERATIONS ON THE CARDIDVASCULAR SYSTEM	896-3	1,102.7	703.6	176.8	275.6	1,861.3	3,119.0
35.53-36.2,36.9,37.10-37.11,37.32-37.33,37.5 DIRECT HEART REVASCULARIZATION	134.0 86.3	192.7 137.7	79.3 38.2	24•3 *	35.8 13.9	358.9 257.7	363.(254.;
CARDIAC CATHETERIZATION	242.9	328-6	162.9	51.7	76.9	643.6	608.2
PACEMAKER INSERTION, REPLACEMENT, REMOVAL, REPAIR37.7-37.8	88-8	97.1	81-1	*	*6.8	77.8	586.0
OPERATIONS ON THE HEMIC AND LYMPHATIC SYSTEM	156.4	160.9	152.3	34.1	73.4	243.7	568.6
PPERATIONS ON THE DIGESTIVE SYSTEM	98.3	2,279.8 100.3	2,762.8 96.4	511.0 *16.4	1,813-3 40-7	3,558.4 168.4	7,422.2
OF INTESTINE	109.4 125.5	104-4 141.8	114.1 110.3	* 135.4	33.6 161.4	171-1 68-1	494 . 58 . (
HEMORRHOI DECTOMY	56.0	61.1	51.2	-	56-0	108.7	75.(518.(
CHOLECYSTECTONY	206.7 199.9	128.5 363.3	279.7 47.4	125.0	166.5 118.2	346.2 304.8	491.
DIVISION OF PERITONEAL ADHESIONS	131.3	35.6	220.7	*	168.7	124.6	226.
DPERATIONS ON THE URINARY SYSTEM	804-5	1,015.0	608.0	172.4	356.4	1,185.7	3,120.
ENDOSCOPIES (NATURAL ORIFICE)55.21-55.22,56.31,57.32,58.22 DILATION OF URETHRA	329.9 70.1	473.1 80.3	196-3 60-6	51.0 27.4	111.5 30.7	466.0 101.5	1,484.4
DPERATIONS ON THE MALE GENITAL ORGANS	350.5	726.0	•••	199.7	102.7	436.5 185.7	1,463.4 980.5
CIRCUMCISION	154.0 30.1	319.0 62.3	•••	81.1	*8. 7	24.8	*28 -
DPERATIONS ON THE FEMALE GENITAL ORGANS	1,506.7 212.5	•••	2,913.4 410.9	27.2 *	2,378.9 270.9	1,434.4 347.2	931. 158.
OF FALLOPIAN TUBES	208.0		402-1	-	439.8	*	
HYSTERECTOMY	283.4 28.6	•••	548-1 55-3	*	378-3 59-9	421 . 4 *	213.0
AFTER DELIVERY OR ABORTION	107.0 200.4	•••	206.9 387.5	*	226.2 288.1	* 257.1	134.4
REPAIR OF CYSTOCELE AND RECTOCELE	73.5	•••	142.2	-	53.7	149.6	165-6
DBSTETRICAL PROCEDURES	1,651.7	•••	3,193.7	20.4	3,508.8	*	
OR VACUUM EXTRACTION	793.8 346.6		1,534.8	*10-0	1,687.1	*	**
REPAIR OF CURRENT OBSTETRIC LACERATION	206.1	•••	670.3 398.5	*	736.5 437.2	•	•••
DPERATIONS ON THE MUSCULOSKELETAL SYSTEM	1,577.2	1,584.0	1,570.9	482.2	1,449.8	2,192.0	3,116.
76.74,76.76.77,76.79,79.2-79.3,79.5-79.6 OTHER REDUCTION OF	185.9	206.6	166.6	61.8	173.2	157.6	510.
FRACTURE	102.7	116-1	90.2	138-1	74.4	78.6	186.7
AND SPINAL FUSION	118.1 57.9	143-8 80-5	94-2 36-8	*	138-4 75-0	228.7 81.1	67.4 54.2
ARTHROPLASTY AND REPLACEMENT OF KNEE	69.9 78.3	75.0 47.1	65-0 107-5	*	63.7 *6.9	78.9 77.0	198.(501.(
DPERATIONS ON THE INTEGUMENTARY SYSTEM	761.3	601.9	910.1	216.9	675.5	1,121.5	1,527.
MASTECTOMY85.4 Skin graft (except lip or mouth)86.6-86.7	51.6 68.7	*4.9 89.5	95.3 49.2	* 29.9	14.9 61.7	112.6 83.8	193. 143.4
MISCELLANEOUS DIAGNOSTIC AND THERAPEUTIC PROCEDURES87-99		3,068.6	3,042.7	568.4	1,555.5	4,956.7	
COMPUTERIZED AXIAL TOMOGRAPHY87.03,87.41,87.71,88.01,88.38 PYELOGRAM	465.5 179.6	468.6 203.0	462.6 157.8	89.5 27.7	224.5 114.5		1,788.
ARTERIOGRAPHY AND ANGIOCARDIOGRAPHY USING CONTRAST MATERIAL	414.6	518.7	317.5	26.9	122.3		1,399. 1,186.
DIAGNOSTIC ULTRASOUND		280.3	427.7	61.8	236.5	472.0	

References

National Center for Health Statistics, W. R. Simmons: Development of the design of the NCHS Hospital Discharge Survey. *Vital and Health Statistics.* Series 2, No. 39. PHS Pub. No. 1000. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Sept. 1970.

²National Center for Health Statistics, E. J. Graves: Utilization of short-stay hospitals, United States, 1983, annual summary. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 13, No. 83. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85–1744. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, May 1985.

³U.S. Public Health Service and Health Care Financing Administration: International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 80-1260. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Sept. 1980. ⁴Data from the American Hospital Association 1983 Annual Survey: American Hospital Association, Chicago, Illinois.

⁵National Center for Health Statistics, M. G. Sirken: Utilization of short-stay hospitals, summary of nonmedical statistics, United States, 1965. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 13, No. 2. PHS Pub. No. 1000. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1967.

⁶National Center for Health Statistics, M. J. Witkin: Utilization of short-stay hospitals by characteristics of discharged patients, United States, 1965. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 13, No. 3. PHS Pub. No. 1000. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1967.

Technical notes

Survey methodology

Source of data

The National Hospital Discharge Survey (NHDS) encompasses patients discharged from short-stay hospitals, exclusive of military and Veterans Administration hospitals, located in the 50 States and the District of Columbia. Only hospitals with six or more beds and an average length of stay of less than 30 days for all patients are included in the survey. Discharges of newborn infants are excluded from this report.

The original universe for the survey consisted of 6,965 hospitals contained in the 1963 National Master Facility Inventory. New hospitals were sampled for inclusion in the survey in 1972, 1975, 1977, 1979, 1981, and 1983. In all, 553 hospitals were sampled in 1984. Of these hospitals, 86 refused to participate, and 60 were out of scope. The 407 participating hospitals provided approximately 192,000 abstracts of medical records. Table I provides a distribution of the current hospitals in the universe⁴ and NHDS sample of in-scope hospitals for 1984.

Sample design and data collection

All hospitals with 1,000 or more beds in the universe of short-stay hospitals were selected with certainty in the sample. All hospitals with fewer than 1,000 beds were stratified, the primary strata being 24 size-by-region classes. Within each of these 24 primary strata, the allocation of the hospitals was made through a controlled selection technique so that hospitals in the sample would be properly distributed with regard to type

ownership and geographic division. Sample hospitals were drawn with probabilities ranging from certainty for the largest hospitals to 1 in 40 for the smallest hospitals.

 Table I.
 Distribution of non-Federal short-stay hospitals in the universe and National Hospital Discharge Survey in-scope sample by geographic region and bed size of hospital: United States, 1984

Bed size of hospital	All regions	Northeast	North Central	South	West					
All sizes		Number of hospitals								
Universe Sample	6,023 493	902 115	1,704 140	2,291 164	1,126 74					
6-49 beds										
Universe Sample	1,372 39	78 6	415 11	535 13	344 9					
50-99 beds										
Universe Sample	1,467 62	160 10	427 14	611 30	269 8					
100-199 beds										
Universe Sample	1,398 93	203 16	373 22	571 43	251 12					
200–299 beds										
Universe Sample	733 72	196 18	177 21	237 20	123 13					
300-499 beds										
Universe Sample	715 119	176 37	202 35	224 25	113 22					
500-999 beds										
Universe Sample	309 95	78 23	101 34	105 29	25 9					
1,000 beds or more										
Universe Sample	29 13	11 5	9 3	8 4	1 1					

Sample discharges were selected within the hospitals using the daily listing sheet of discharges as the sampling frame. These discharges were selected by a random technique, usually on the basis of the terminal digit or digits of the patient's medical record number, a number assigned when the patient was admitted to the hospital. The within-hospital sampling ratio for selecting sample discharges varied inversely with the probability of selection of the hospital.

The sample selection and the transcription of information from the hospital records to abstract forms were performed by the hospital staff or by representatives of the National Center for Health Statistics or by both. The data were abstracted from the face sheets of the medical records. All discharge diagnoses and procedures were listed on the abstract in the order of the principal one, or the first-listed one if the principal one was not identified, followed by the order in which all other diagnoses or procedures were entered on the face sheet of the medical record.

Presentation of estimates

Statistics produced by NHDS are derived by a complex estimating procedure. The basic unit of estimation is the sample inpatient discharge abstract. The estimating procedure used to produce essentially unbiased national estimates in NHDS has three principal components: Inflation by reciprocals of the probabilities of sample selection, adjustment for nonresponse, and ratio adjustment to fixed totals. These components of estimation are described in appendix I of two earlier publications.^{5,6}

Based on consideration of the complex sample design of NHDS, the following guidelines are used for presenting NHDS estimates in this report:

- If the sample size is less than 30, the value of the estimate is not reported. Only an asterisk (*) is shown in the tables.
- If the sample size is 30-59, the value of the estimate is reported but should be used with caution. The estimate is preceded by an asterisk (*) in the tables.

Sampling errors and rounding of numbers

The standard error is a measure of the sampling variability that occurs by chance because only a sample, rather than an entire universe, is surveyed. The relative standard error of the estimate is obtained by dividing the standard error by the estimate itself and is expressed as a percent of the estimate. Relative standard errors for first-listed diagnoses and all-listed procedures are shown in table II. The relative standard errors for region and ownership of hospital are approximately 1¹/₂ times larger. The standard errors for average lengths of stay are shown in table III.

Estimates have been rounded to the nearest thousand. For this reason detailed figures within tables do not always add to the totals. Rates and average lengths of stay were calculated from original, unrounded figures and will not necessarily agree precisely with rates or average lengths of stay calculated from rounded data. Table II. Approximate relative standard errors of estimated numbers of first-listed discharges and all-listed procedures: United States, 1984

Size of estimate	First-listed diagnosis	All-listed procedures
5,000	16.0	17.1
10,000	12.8	14.0
50,000	8.1	9.2
100,000	6.8	7.8
500,000	4.8	5.7
1,000,000	4.2	5.1
3,000,000	3.5	4.3
5,000,000	3.2	4.0
10,000,000	2.9	3.6
20,000,000	2.7	3.3
30,000,000	2.5	
40,000,000	2.5	

Table III. Approximate standard errors of average lengths of stay by number of discharges: United States, 1984

	Average length of stay in days							
Number of discharges	2	6	10	20				
	Standard error in days							
10,000	0.7	1.2	1.7	2.2				
50.000	0.3	0.7	1.0	1.4				
100,000	0.3	0.6	0.9	1.2				
500,000	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.9				
1,000,000	0.2	0.5	0.8	0.7				
5,000,000	0.2	0.5	0.8					

Tests of significance

In this report, the determination of statistical inference is based on the two-tailed Bonferroni test for multiple comparisons. Terms relating to differences such as "higher" and "less" indicate that the differences are statistically significant. Terms such as "similar" or "no difference" mean that no statistically significant difference exists between the estimates being compared. A lack of comment on the difference between any two estimates does not mean that the difference was tested and found to be not significant.

Definition of terms

Hospitals and hospital characteristics

Hospitals—Short-stay special and general hospitals have six or more beds for inpatient use and an average length of stay of less than 30 days. Federal hospitals and hospital units of institutions are not included.

Bed size of hospital—Measured by the number of beds, cribs, and pediatric bassinets regularly maintained (set up and staffed for use) for patients; bassinets for newborn infants are not included. In this report the classification of hospitals b bed size reported by the hospitals is based on the number of beds at or near midvear.

Type of ownership of hospital—Determined by the organization that controls and operates the hospital. Hospitals are

NOTE: A list of references follows the text.

grouped as follows:

- Voluntary nonprofit—Hospitals operated by a church or another nonprofit organization.
- Government—Hospitals operated by a State or local government.
- Proprietary—Hospitals operated by individuals, partnerships, or corporations for profit.

Terms relating to hospitalization

Patient—A person who is formally admitted to the inpatient service of a short-stay hospital for observation, care, diagnosis, or treatment. In this report the number of patients refers to the number of discharges during the year including any multiple discharges of the same individual from one or more short-stay hospitals. Infants admitted on the day of birth, directly or by transfer from another medical facility, with or without mention of disease, disorder, or immaturity, are included. All newborn infants, defined as those admitted by birth to the hospital, are excluded from this report. The terms "patient" and "inpatient" are used synonymously.

Discharge—The formal release of a patient by a hospital; that is, the termination of a period of hospitalization by death or by disposition to place of residence, nursing home, or another hospital. The terms "discharges" and "patients discharged" are used synonymously.

Discharge rate—The ratio of the number of hospital discharges during a year to the number of persons in the civilian opulation on July 1 of that year.

Days of care—The total number of patient days accumulated at time of discharge by patients discharged from shortstay hospitals during a year. A stay of less than 1 day (patient admission and discharge on the same day) is counted as 1 day in the summation of total days of care. For patients admitted and discharged on different days, the number of days of care is computed by counting all days from (and including) the date of admission to (but not including) the date of discharge.

Rate of days of care—The ratio of the number of patient days accumulated at time of discharge by patients discharged from short-stay hospitals during a year to the number of persons in the civilian population on July 1 of that year.

Average length of stay—The total number of patient days accumulated at time of discharge by patients discharged during the year, divided by the number of patients discharged.

Terms relating to diagnoses

Discharge diagnoses—One or more diseases or injuries (or some factor that influences health status and contact with health services which is not itself a current illness or injury) listed by the attending physician or the medical record of a patient. In the NHDS all discharge (or final) diagnoses listed on the face sheet (summary sheet) of the medical record for patients discharged from the inpatient service of short-stay hospitals are transcribed in the order listed. Each sample discharge is assigned a maximum of seven five-digit codes according to ICD-9-CM.³ The number of principal or first-listed diagnoses is equivalent to the number of discharges.

Principal diagnosis—The condition established after study to be chiefly responsible for occasioning the admission of the patient to the hospital for care.

First-listed diagnosis—The coded diagnosis identified as the principal diagnosis or listed first on the face sheet of the medical record if the principal diagnosis cannot be identified. The number of first-listed diagnoses is equivalent to the number of discharges.

Procedure—One or more surgical or nonsurgical operations, procedures, or special treatments assigned by the physician to patients discharged from the inpatient service of shortstay hospitals. In the NHDS all terms listed on the face sheet (summary sheet) of the medical record under the captions "operation," "operative procedures," "operations and/or special treatment," and the like are transcribed in the order listed. A maximum of four procedures is coded.

Rate of procedures—The ratio of the number of all-listed procedures during a year to the number of persons in the civilian population on July 1 of that year.

Demographic terms

Age—Refers to the age of the patient on the birthday prior to admission to the hospital inpatient service.

Population—Civilian population is the resident population excluding members of the Armed Forces.

Geographic regions—One of the four geographic regions of the United States corresponding to those used by the U.S. Bureau of the Census:

Region	States included
Northeast	Maine, New Hampshire, Vermont, Massa- chusetts, Rhode Island, Connecticut, New York, New Jersey, and Pennsyl- vania
North Central	Michigan, Ohio, Illinois, Indiana, Wis- consin, Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, Nebraska, and Kansas
South	Delaware, Maryland, District of Columbia, Virginia, West Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, Georgia, Florida, Ken- tucky, Tennessee, Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Louisiana, Oklahoma, and Texas
West	Montana, Idaho, Wyoming, Colorado, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Nevada, Wash- ington, Oregon, California, Hawaii, and Alaska.

NOTE: A list of references follows the text.



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 113
November 15, 1985

Provisional Data from the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement to the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January–March 1985

The National Center for Health Statistics included a special supplement on health promotion and disease prevention as part of the 1985 National Health Interview Survey questionnaire. This report presents provisional findings from the first three nonths of data collection with that supplement.

The 1985 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement is designed to monitor progress toward one of the major initiatives of the Department of Health and Human Services. This initiative is described in the 1979 Surgeon General's Report on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, *Healthy People.*¹ In that report, broad goals were established for the improvement of the health of Americans. The 1980 Public Health Service report, *Promoting Health/Preventing Disease: Objectives for the Nation*,² details specific objectives necessary for attainment of those goals in each of fifteen priority areas. The target date for achieving the objectives is 1990. This 1985 supplement will be used for data collection again in 1990 for the purpose of monitoring progress achieved in the intervening five years.

The 1985 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement is devoted primarily to the collection of baseline data on the following topics: general health (including nutrition), injury control and child health, high blood pressure, stress, exercise, smoking, alcohol use, dental care, and occupational safety and health. Those topics were selected after consultation with the Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (Assistant Secretary for Health) as well as with the agencies designated by the Assistant Secretary for Health as having "lead" responsibility for implementing and monitoring progress toward achieving the 1990 objectives. Within each agency, subject matter experts also were consulted during the development of the questionnaire for the supplement.

This report presents provisional data, based on the first quarter of data collection, for selected items in the supplement. In most cases, the actual question asked of the respondent is shown on the table along with the response categories. In a few cases, there has been minor paraphrasing or combining of questions. Each question is referenced to the actual item number on the questionnaire.

In general, the items in the supplement are of the following two types: those related to individual health behaviors and those related to knowledge of health practices. Most of the questions on knowledge of health practices have answers that are currently presumed to be correct and are indicated in bold type in table 1. For some questions, references are provided for selected publications that present related data from previous data collection by the National Center for Health Statistics.

Estimated percents or percentage distributions are presented (table 1) for all persons 18 years of age and over and for four age groups and both sexes. Generally, except for the questions on knowledge of health practices where "don't know" is a legitimate response, "don't know" and other inappropriate responses were excluded from the denominator in the calculation of the estimates. The estimated population for each of the demographic categories is shown in table I to allow readers to derive a provisional estimate of the number of people in the United States with a given characteristic. However, the estimates presented in this report are provisional and will differ to some degree from estimates made using the final data file for the following reasons: (a) this report is based on data collected

¹Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and Surgeon General: Healthy People-The Surgeon General's Report on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention-Background Papers, 1979. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-55071A.

J.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service: Pro-.oting Health/Preventing Disease: Objectives for the Nation. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980.

during the first three months of 1985 rather than the entire calendar year and those items affected by seasonality (exercise, for example) are subject to significant change; (b) the data file was edited internally, but it was not edited with respect to the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) core demographic variables (such as age, sex, and employment status); (c) the simplified weighting procedure used was not adjusted to all factors normally used in the NHIS weighting procedure. A final weighted data file covering the entire calendar year of data collection will be available during 1986.

The following Federal agencies provided partial funding for the 1985 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement:

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health

Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism
National Institutes of Health National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Cancer Institute
National Institute of Dental Research National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
Health Resources and Services Administration
Centers for Disease Control Center for Prevention Services
Center for Infectious Diseases
Center for Health Promotion and Education

National Institute for Occupational Safety and Hea

Symbols

- ... Category not applicable
- 0 Quantity more than zero but 0.5 or less

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention. by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985

<Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general alifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)</p>

Section				Age				Sex		
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female		
				Percen	t of po	pulation				
	Tota!	100	100	100	100	100	100	100		
	GENERAL HEALTH HABITS									
1.	How often do you eat breakfast? ¹									
	Almost every daySometimes	55 19	42 27	46 22	62 16	87 6	55 20	56 19		
	Rarely or never	25	31	32	22	8	26	25		
N.2.	Including evening snacks, how often do you eat between meals? ¹									
	Almost every daySometimes	39 31	42 37	42 32	37 29	32 24	40 28	38 34		
	Rarely or never	30	21	26	35	45	32	28		
N.3.	When you visit a doctor or other health professional for routine care, is eating proper foods discussed?									
	OftenSometimes	10 16	7 18	8 15	13 16	11 15	8	11 18		
	Rarely or never	66	67	69	62	15 66	14 66	6 6		
	Don't visit for routine care	8	7	8	9	9	12	5		
N.5.	In your opinion which of these are the two best ways to lose									
	weight? Don't eat at bedtime	28	29	25	29	30	30	27		
	Eat fewer calories	75	70	77	79	73	70	79		
	Take diet pills Increase physical activity	1 73	2 84	1 81	1 66	1 53	1 74	1 73		
	Eat no fat	10	6	8	12	20	11	10		
	Eat grapefruit with each meal Don't know	5 7	5 3	3 5	0 7	7 15	5 8	4 6		
N.6.	Are you now trying to lose weight? ² (Yes)	37	35	41	41	25	27	46		
N.7.	Are you eating fewer calories to lose weight? ² (Persons trying to lose weight (yes) in N.6) (Yes)	82	77	84	87	77	77	85		
N.8.	Have you increased your physical activity to lose weight? ²									
	(Persons trying to lose weight (yes) in N.6) (Yes)	57	72	59	49	38	58	57		
N.9.	Do you consider yourself overweight, underweight, or just about right? (If overweight) Would you say you are very overweight, somewhat overweight, or only a little overweight? ^{2,3}									
	Verweight, Somemat overweight, of only a fittle overweight:	8	5	9	12	7	4	12		
	Somewhat overweight	17	13	19	22	14	13	21		
	Only a little overweight About right	20 48	18 56	22 45	21 41	20 52	19 56	21 42		
	Underweight	6	8	5	4	8	8	4		
N.10.	On the average, how many hours of sleep do you get in a 24 -hour period? ¹									
	Less than 7 hours	22	21	24	22	19	22	22		
	9 or more hours.	66 12	65 14	69 7	68 10	59 21	67 11	65 13		
1.11.	Is there a particular clinic, health center, doctor's office, or other place that you usually go to if you are sick or need									
	advice about your health? ⁴ (Yes)	78	70	75	82	88	72	82		
	About how long has it been since you had a Pap smear test? ⁵ (Females only)									
	Less than 1 year	44	59	47	36	23		44		
	1 year 2 years	18 10	16 7	22 11	19 12	14 12	•••	18		
	3-4 years	8	4	9	12	12	• • • • • •	10 8		
	5 or more years	12	3	9	19	25	•••	12		
	Never	7	12	2	5	15	•••	7		

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	GENERAL HEALTH HABITSCon.			Percen	t of po	pulation		
N.16a.	About how long has it been since you had a breast examination by a doctor or other health professional? ⁵ (Females only) Less than 1 year 1 year 2 years 3-4 years 5 or more years Never	49 18 10 7 8 8	59 17 7 4 3 10	50 22 11 8 7 2	45 18 10 8 12 6	39 14 11 7 15 15	· · · · · · · · · ·	49 8
N.165.	Do you know how to examine your own breasts for	88	87	02	00	90		00
N.16c.	<pre>lumps? (Females only) (Yes) About how many times a year do you examine your own breasts for lumps? (Females only) 12 or more times 7-11 times 2-6 times Once a year Never Don't know how to examine own breast</pre>	32 3 36 4 14 12	26 2 37 5 16 13	92 35 3 9 4 11 8	90 34 35 4 13 10	80 31 27 4 15 20	···· ··· ···	88 32 3 36 4 14 12
	INJURY CONTROL AND CHILD SAFETY AND HEALTH	16	15	0	10	20	•••	12
0.1a.	Have you ever heard about Poison Control Centers? (Persons in families with children under 10 years of age) (Yes)	91	89	93	80	68	88	
0.15.	Do you have the telephone number for a Poison Control Center in your area? (Persons in families with children under 10 years of age) (Yes)	61	57	66	48	13	58	63
0.3.	Have you heard about child safety seats, sometimes called car safety carriers, which are designed to carry children while they are riding in a car? (Persons in families with children under 5 years of age) (Yes)	98	98	98	100	100	98	99
0.4.	Did a doctor or other health professional ever tell you about the importance of using car safety seats for your children? (Persons in families with children under 5 years of age) (Yes)	45	50	41	33	18	37	51
0.10.	When driving or riding in a car, do you wear a seat belt ³ All or most of the time Some of the time Once in awhile Never Don't ride in car	30 18 16 36 1	30 19 16 34 0	34 18 15 32 1	29 18 16 36 1	26 14 15 42 2	29 16 16 37 1	31 19 15 34 1
	Does this home have any working smoke detectors? (Based on Items 0.11ac.) (Yes)	58	54	62	59	54	58	57
0.12a <i>.</i>	Do you know about what the hot water temperature is in this home? (Yes)	35	25	39	43	34	46	25
0.13.	In the past 12 months, have you (or has anyone in your household) used a thermometer to test the temperature of the hot water here? (Yes)	4	4	5	4	3	4	
0.14.	Above what temperature will hot water cause scald injuries? 127 degrees or less	14 2 21 63	20 3 16 61	16 3 20 61	. 11 2 27 60	6 1 20 74	16 3 31 49	12 2 12 74

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention. by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general alifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age			Sex	
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE			Percer	nt of po	pulation		
P.1.	I am going to read a list of things which may or may not affect a person's chances of getting heart disease. After I read each one, tell me if you think it definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting heart disease.							
	Cigarette smoking Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	91 3 5	94 3 3	93 3 4	91 3 6	83 5 12	91 4 5	92 3 5
	Worry or anxiety Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	85 8 7	84 11 5	87 8 5	86 7 7	80 6 14	84 9 7	86 8 7
	High blood pressure Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	92 3 6	93 3 4	94 2 4	92 3 5	83 4 13	91 3 6	92 2 6
	Diabetes Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	60 11 29	65 11 24	64 11 25	58 10 32	48 10 42	60 11 29	60 11 29
	Being very overweight Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	94 2 4	95 2 2	96 2 2	95 2 3	87 3 10	94 2 4	94 2 4
	Overwork Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	72 19 9	78 16 6	78 15 7	67 23 10	59 22 19	69 22 9	75 16 9
	Drinking coffee with caffeine Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	50 29 21	53 31 16	52 29 19	49 29 22	42 27 31	47 32 21	52 27 21
	Eating a diet high in animal fat Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	80 8 13	77 9 13	82 8 11	83 7 10	75 7 18	77 10 14	82 6 12
	Family history of heart disease Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	82 8 10	87 6 7	85 7 7	82 9 9	69 12 19	79 10 10	85 6 9
	High cholesterol Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	86 4 9	89 5 6	89 4 7	87 4 9	76 5 19	85 5 9	87 4 9
P.2.	The following conditions are related to having a stroke. In your opinion, which of these conditions most increases a person's chances of having a stroke? Diabetes	5 78 12	6 74 15	4 80 12	4 81 10	3 75 9	5 76 13	4 79
	High cholesterol Don't know	6	15 4	12 5	6	12	6	11 6
P.3.	Which one of the following substances in food is most often associated with high blood pressure? Sodium (or salt) Cholesterol Don't know	59 24 9 8	59 27 10 5	64 22 7 6	60 25 7 8	48 23 14 16	57 24 11 8	61 24 7 7

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age			Sex	
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE- Con.			Percen	t of po	pulation		
P.12a.	About how long has it been since you last had your blood pressure taken by a doctor or other health professional? ^{2,3} Less than 6 months 6-11 months 12 months to 23 months 24 months and over	54 19 14 13	50 21 16 13	48 21 15 15	57 16 14 13	70 14 7 9	49 18 16 17	60 10
P.12b.	Blood pressure is usually given as one number over another. Were you told what your blood pressure was, in numbers? (Persons with blood pressure checked within 24 months in 12a) (Yes)	70	67	71	72	68	72	68
P.14.	Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that you had high cholesterol? (Yes)	5	1	3	9	11	5	5
Q.1.	STRESS During the past 2 weeks, would you say that you experienced a lot of stress, a moderate amount of stress, relatively little stress, or almost no stress at all? A lot of stress	20 32 22	23 36 23 17	24 37 20	18 30 22	12 16 22	18 33 21	22 30 22
Q.2.	Almost none Don't know what stress is In the past year, how much effect has stress had on your health? A lot	25 2 13	17	18 1 15	28 2 14	46 5 9	26 2 10	24 ? 16
	Some Hardly any or none Don't know what stress is	31 54 2	33 53 1	35 49 1	29 55 2	21 65 5	28 61 2	33 49 2
Q.3a.	In the past year, did you think about seeking help for any personal or emotional problems from family or friends? (Yes)	17	25	21	11	4	12	20
Q.3b.	In the past year, did you think about seeking help for any personal or emotional problems from a helping professional or a self-help group? (Yes)	12	14	17	9	4	10	14
Q.4.	Did you actually seek any help? (Yes) From whom did you seek help? Family or friends Professional or self help group	8 7	14 8	9 11	4 6	2 3	5 6	10 9
	EXERCISE							
R.2a.	In the past 2 weeks, have you done any of the following exercises, sports, or physically active hobbies ⁶ Walking for exercise	40 11 26 9 5	43 24 39 11 7	39 12 28 9 5	39 4 18 8 3	41 1 12 5 1	38 15 26 9 5	43 8 26 9 4
R.3.	Do you exercise or play sports regularly? (Yes)	41	55	44	31	27	44	38
R.4.	<pre>For how long have you exercised or played sports regularly? Less than 1 year 1-2 years 3-4 years 5 or more years Do not exercise regularly</pre>	5 6 3 25 59	8 7 4 34 45	6 7 4 25 56	4 3 18 69	2 3 3 17 73	3 4 3 31 56	7 4 19 62

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

'ata are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general alifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	EXERCISE- Con.			Percer	nt of po	pulation		
R.5a.	Would you say that you are physically more active, less active, or about as active as other persons your age? ^{1,3} Is that (a lot more or a little more/a lot less or a little less) active? A lot more. A little more. About as active. A lot less. A lot less.	18 15 49 6 12	16 13 50 5 16	17 15 48 6 14	18 15 49 8 10	23 17 47 7 7	22 16 48 4 10	15 13 49 8 14
R.7a.	How many days a week do you think a person should exercise to strengthen the heart and lungs? Less than 3 days	6 40 38 16	8 54 32 6	7 48 34 10	5 32 44 20	3 16 45 36	6 41 38 15	6 40 38 16
R.7b.	For how many minutes do you think a person should exercise on each occasion so that the heart and lungs are strengthened? Less than 15 minutes	6 24 50 19	4 23 66 7	5 27 56 12	8 25 42 26	10 19 26 45	5 23 54 18	7 25 47 20
,7c.	During those (<u>number in 7b</u>) minutes, how fast do you think a person's heart rate and breathing should be to strengthen the heart and lungs? Do you think that the heart and breathing rate should be No faster than usual	4 44 36 1 16	3 45 46 1 5	2 43 44 1 10	4 44 30 1 21	8 45 11 0 36	3 43 38 1 15	4 45 33 1 17
	SMOKING							
	Cigarette smoking status (Based on Items S.1-3) Never Former Current (Includes unknown amount smoked) Less than 15 15-24 25 and over	45 25 30 9 12 8	56 14 30 12 13 5	41 23 36 10 14 12	37 32 31 7 13 10	48 36 16 6 7 3	36 32 33 9 13 10	54 18 28 10 12 6
S.3.	On the average, about how many cigarettes a day do you now smoke? ⁷ (Current smokers) Less than 15 15-24 25 and over	31 41 27	41 42 17	28 39 33	24 42 34	37 46 17	28 41 31	35 42 23
5.4.	Tell me if you think cigarette smoking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems?							
	Emphysema Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	92 2 7	91 2 7	94 2 4	92 2 6	88 1 11	92 2 6	91 2 7
	Bladder cancer Increases. Does not increase. Don't know/No opinion	35 25 40	41 30 28	33 30 37	34 21 45	31 14 55	37 24 39	34 • 25 40

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age			Sex	
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge		18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	SMOKING Con.		·····	Percen	t of po	pulation		
S.4.	Tell me if you think cigarette smoking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems?- Con.							
	Cancer of the larynx or voice box Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	88 3 9	92 3 5	92 3 6	86 3 10	77 4 19	87 4 9	د ع 8
	Cataracts Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	16 41 43	21 46 33	14 47 38	14 37 49	11 27 61	17 41 42	14 41 44
	Cancer of the esophagus Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	80 6 14	85 5 9	83 7 10	78 6 16	70 6 24	79 7 14	81 5 14
	Chronic bronchitis Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	87 4 9	90 4 6	89 5 6	86 4 9	77 5 18	86 5 9	87 4 9
	Gallstones Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	11 45 44	14 51 35	9 51 40	9 41 49	9 31 60	11 46 43	,
	Lung cancer Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	95 1 4	98 1 1	97 1 2	94 2 5	88 2 9	95 1 4	95 1 4
S.4.	Does cigarette smoking during pregnancy definitely increase, probably increase, probably not or definitely not increase the chances of(Persons under 45 years of age)							
	Miscarriage I nc reases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	74 12 14	79 10 10	70 13 17	• • • • • •	•••• •••	74 10 16	75 14 12
	Stillbirth Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	65 15 20	71 13 16	60 16 23	•••	•••• •••	64 13 23	67 16 17
	Premature birth Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	70 13 17	75 11 14	66 14 20	 	••••	65 13 22	75 12 13
	Low birth weight of the newborn Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	80 7 13	83 6 11	76 9 15	•••	••••	74 8 18	85 7 9
S.5a:	If a woman takes birth control pills, is she more likely to have a stroke if she smokes than if she does not smoke? (Persons under 45 years of age) More likely	65	67	63			56	74
	Not likely Don't know	6 29	6 27	6 31	•••	••••	7 38	6 21

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

'Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general ualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age			Sex		
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	FemaTe	
	ALCOHOL USE			Perce	nt of p	opulation			
T.1c.	Have you had at least one drink of beer, wine or liquor during the past year? ¹ (Yes)	66	76	74	62	41	77	57	
т.2.	In the past 2 weeks, on how many days did you drink any alcoholic beverages, such as beer, wine, or liquor?1,3 Did not drink in past year None 1-4 days 5-9 days 10-14 days	34 14 32 9 11	24 14 43 12 7	26 15 37 10 11	38 14 26 8 14	59 11 15 3 11	23 13 35 13 15	43 14 30 5 7	
т.з.	In the past 2 weeks, on the days that you drank alcoholic beverages, how many drinks did you have per day, on the average? ^{1,3} Did not drink in past year None 1 drink 2 drinks 5 or more drinks	34 14 16 16 13 8	24 14 11 17 19 14	26 15 18 18 14 8	38 14 18 15 10 5	59 11 16 8 3 2	23 13 15 18 17 13	43 14 16 13 9 4	
	Drinking Index (2-week daily drinking, based on items T.1-3) ⁷ Did not drink in past year None Light (.01 to .21 cunce absolute alcohol) Moderate (.22 to .99 cunce absolute alcohol) Heavier (1.00 cunces or more absolute alcohol)	34 14 24 20 8	24 14 27 26 9	26 15 28 23 7	38 14 22 17 9	59 11 14 10 6	23 13 23 27 14	43 14 24 15 3	
Т.6.	During the past 12 months, on how many days did you have 9 or more drinks of any alcoholic beverage? 1 or more days	13 7	26 15	13 7	7 4	2 1	23 14	5 2	
T.7.	During the past 12 months, on how many days did you have 5 or more drinks of any alcoholic beverage? ¹ 1 or more days 10 or more days	26 14	44 25	30 14	16 8	6 3	39 23	15 5	
T.8.	During the past year, how many times did you drive when you had perhaps too much to drink? 1 time	4	7	5	1	0	5	3	
T.9.	2 or more times Tell me if you think heavy alcohol drinking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems?	8	16	9	3	1	13	3	
	Throat cancer Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	39 35 26	39 42 19	36 40 24	39 31 30	46 17 38	38 38 24	40 31 29	
	Cirrhosis of the liver Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	95 1 4	96 1 3	97 1 2	95 1 4	89 1 10	95 1 4	95 1 4	
	Bladder cancer Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	66 11 22	73 12 15	68 13 19	64 11 25	55 7 37	67 12 21	65 11 24	

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section		Age					Sex		
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female	
	ALCOHOL USECon.			Perce	nt of po	opulation			
T.9.	Tell me if you think heavy alcohol drinking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems?Con.								
	Cancer of the mouth Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	31 37 32	30 45 25	27 43 30	32 31 36	38 20 43	29 42 30	5. 32 35	
	Arthritis Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	13 46 41	15 55 30	12 50 38	13 41 45	13 29 58	14 47 38	13 44 43	
	Blood clots Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	34 31 35	45 31 24	32 37 31	28 32 40	27 20 53	34 34 32	34 28 37	
T .9 .	Does heavy drinking during pregnancy definitely increase, probably increase, probably not or definitely not increase the chances of(Persons under 45 years of age)								
	Miscarriage Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	86 4 10	90 3 7	82 5 12	•••	···· ···	86 3 11	8	
	Mental retardation of the newborn Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	84 5 11	88 4 8	80 6 13	 	•••	82 6 12	86 5 9	
	Low birth weight of the newborn Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	85 4 11	87 4 9	82 4 13	•••	••••	81 5 14	88 3 8	
	Birth defects Increases Does not increase Don't know/No opinion	85 5 10	89 4 7	81 6 13	 	 	82 6 12	88 4 8	
T.10.	Have you ever heard of Fetal Alcohol Syndrome? (Persons under 45 years of age) (Yes)	58	55	60			52	63	
	DENTAL CARE								
J.1.	This next question is about preventing tooth decay. After I read each of the following, tell me if you think it is definitely important, probably important, probably not, or definitely not important in preventing tooth decay.								
	Seeing a dentist regularly Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	96 2 2	97 2 1	97 2 1	95 2 2	93 2 4	95 3 2	97 1 2	
	Drinking water with fluoride from early childhood Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	80 8 12	86 9 5	86 7 7	77 8 15	62 7 30	79 9 12	12	
	Regular brushing and flossing of the teeth Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	98 1 1	99 0 0	99 0 1	97 1 2	95 1 4	98 1 2	98 1	

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

ita are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general lifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section		Age					Sex		
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	All ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female	
	DENTAL CARECon-			Perce	nt of po	opulation			
U.1.	This next question is about preventing tooth decay. After I read each of the following, tell me if you think it is definitely important, probably important, probably not, or definitely not important in preventing tooth decayCon.								
	Using fluoride toothpaste or fluoride mouth rinse Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	90 4 6	97 2 1	94 3 3	86 5 9	76 5 19	90 4 7	90 3 6	
	Avoiding between-meal sweets Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	90 6 4	90 8 1	92 6 2	90 5 5	83 6 11	89 7 4	90 5 4	
V.2.	Now I m going to ask about preventing gum disease. In your opinion, how important or not important is each of the following in preventing gum disease?								
	Seeing a dentist regularly Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	96 2 2	97 2 1	97 2 1	95 3 3	92 2 6	95 3 2	96 1 2	
	Drinking water with fluoride from early childhood Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	66 17 17	74 18 8	67 19 13	62 17 21	54 10 36	64 19 18	67 15 17	
	Regular brushing and flossing of the teeth Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	96 1 3	98 1 1	98 1 1	95 1 3	92 2 7	96 1 3	97 1 2	
	Using fluoride toothpaste or fluoride mouth rinse Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	78 12 11	86 10 4	78 15 8	73 12 14	71 7 22	76 13 11	80 10 11	
	Avoiding between-meal sweets Important Not important Don't know/No opinion	81 12 7	84 13 3	81 13 5	80 11 9	78 8 14	80 13 7	82 10 7	
J.3.	In your opinion, which of the following is the main cause of tooth loss in children? Tooth decay Gum disease Injury to the teeth	58 8 30 4	54 8 36 1	57 7 35 2	61 9 26 4	60 9 18	55 10 31 4	60 7 30 4	
1.4.	Don't know In your opinion, which of the following is the main cause of	4	1	2	4	13	4	4	
	tooth loss in adults? Tooth decay Gum disease Injury to the teeth Don't know	40 55 2 3	40 55 3 1	37 60 2 1	40 55 2 3	44 43 3 10	41 53 3 3	38 57 2 3	
īa.	Have you ever heard of dental sealants? (Yes)	23	20	30	23	14	22	23	

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-March 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section and item number		Age						Sex	
	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female	
	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH			Perce	nt of po	opulation			
V.la.	In your present job, are you exposed to any substances that could endanger your health, such as chemicals, dusts, fumes or gases? ³ (Currently employed persons) (Yes)	36	36	37	34	18	45	24	
V.2a.	In your present job, are you exposed to any work conditions that could endanger your health, such as loud noise, extreme heat or cold, physical or mental stress, or radiation? ³ (Currently employed persons) (Yes)	37	36	41	33	15	43	29	
V.3a.	In your present job are you exposed to any risks of accidents or injuries? ³ (Currently employed persons) (Yes)	41	44	41	38	34	52	27	

¹National Center for Health Statistics, C. A. Schoenborn, and K. M. Danchik: Health Practices Among Adults: United States, 1977. Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics. No. 64. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 78-1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., Nov. 4, 1980.

²National Center for Health Statistics, A. J. Moss and G. Scott: Characteristics of persons with hypertension, United States, 1974. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 10, No. 121. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-1549. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1978.

³National Center for Health Statistics, C. A. Schoenborn, K. M. Danchik, and J. Elinson: Basic data from Wave I of the National Survey of Personnal Health Practices and Consequence, United States, 1979. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 15, No. 2. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 81-1163. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1981.

⁴National Center for Health Statistics, B. Bloom and S. S. Jack: Persons with and without a regular source of medical care, United States. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 10, No. 151. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85-1579.

⁵National Center for Health Statistics, A. J. Moss and M. H. Wilder: Use of selected medical procedures associated with preventive care, United States, 1973. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 10, No. 110. DHEW Pub. No. (HRA) 77-1538. Health Resources Administration. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Mar. 1977.

⁶National Center for Health Statistics, J. W. Choi: Exercise and Participation in Sports Among Persons 20 Years of Age and Over: United States, 1975. <u>Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics</u>. No. 19. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 78-1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., March 15, 1978.

⁷National Center for Health Statistics: Health, United States, 1984. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85-1232. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1984.

Technical notes

The National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) is a conous, cross-sectional, nationwide survey conducted by ousehold interview. Each week a probability sample of households is interviewed by personnel of the U.S. Bureau of the Census to obtain information on the health and other characteristics of each member of the household in the civilian noninstitutionalized population.

During the first quarter of 1985, the sample consisted of approximately 9,250 households. The total noninterview rate was about 4 percent—about 3 percent of which was due to respondent refusal and the remainder primarily due to an inbility to locate an eligible respondent at home after repeated

ls. Information was obtained for all household members for Ale core section of the questionnaire, although, for the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement, one adult per family was randomly selected as the respondent. This procedure resulted in an additional nonresponse rate of about 10 percent. About 8,350 supplements were completed. A description of the survey design, methods used in estimation, and general qualifications of the NHIS data is provided in *The National Health Interview Survey Design*, 1973–84, and Procedures, 1975–83 (see pp. 8–9).³ The estimates shown in this report are based on a sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population rather than on the entire population and are therefore subject to sampling error. Some tables in this report contain cells in which the estimate is small for a given characteristic. When an estimate or the numerator or denominator of a rate is small, the sampling error may be relatively high. The estimated population for each of the demographic categories presented in this report is given in table I. Approximate standard errors of estimates are shown in table II.

To expedite the early release of data from the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement, it was processed separately from the NHIS core questionnaire. Thus the supplement has not been linked as yet with the core data. In addition, since there were also major changes in the sample design in 1985, both the estimates of behaviors and knowledge and the standard errors of the estimates shown in table II are provisional and will be modified when the final estimates based on the linked core are released.

Table I. P	Provisional estimates o	of the civilian noninstitutionalized	population by a	ige and sex: United States, Janu	ary-March 1985
------------	-------------------------	--------------------------------------	-----------------	----------------------------------	----------------

			'ex					
Selected populations	All ages	18–29 years	30–44 years	45–64 years	65 years and over	Male	Female	
	Population in thousands							
Total adult population	170,302	48,524	50,463	44,476	26,839	80,461	89,840	
Females	89,840	24,856	25,882	23,284	15,818		89,840	
Population in families with children under 10 years of age	42,318	16,396	22,412	3,134	375	18,350	23,968	
Population in families with children under 5 years of age	26,064	13,256	11,425	1,251	132	11,634	14,430	
Currently employed population	105,292	33,333	40,089	28,887	2,983	57,938	47,355	

³National Center for Health Statistics, M. G. Kovar and G. S. Poe: The National Health Interview Survey Design, 1973–84, and Procedures, 1975–83. *Vital* and Health Statistics. Series 1, No. 18. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85–1320.

blic Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 35.

Table II. Standard errors, expressed in percentage points, of estimated percents for selected age and sex groups from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention: United States, January–March 1985

	Age						Sex		
Estimated percent	All ages	18–29 years	3044 years	45–64 years	65 years and over	Male	Female		
			Standard	error in perce	ntage points				
5 or 95	0.26	0.50	0.48	0.53	0.60	0.40	0.34		
10 or 90	0.36	0.69	0.65	0.73	0.83	0.55	0.47		
15 or 85	0.43	0.82	0.78	0.87	0.99	0.65	0.56		
20 or 80	0.48	0.92	0.87	0.97	1.11	0.73	0.63		
25 or 75	0.52	1.00	0.95	1.05	1.20	0.79	0.68		
30 or 70	0.55	1.06	1.00	1.11	1.27	0.84	0.72		
35 or 65	0.57	1.10	1.04	1.16	1.32	0.87	0.75		
40 or 60	0.59	1.13	1.07	1.19	1.36	0.90	0.77		
45 or 55	0.60	1.15	1.09	1.21	1.38	0.91	0.79		
50 or 50	0.60	1.15	1.09	1.21	1.38	0.92	0.7		


From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

February 12, 1986 Number 114

Prevalence, Impact, and Demography of Known Diabetes in the United States

by Thomas F. Drury, Ph.D., and Anita L. Powell, Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion

Based on information obtained from the 1982 National Health Interview Survey (NHIS), in 1982 there were approximately 5.8 million persons in the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States with known diabetes—a rate of 25.4 known diabetics per 1,000 population. Because these 1982 NHIS estimates of known diabetes are based only on a one-sixth subsample, this report presents more detailed information on the prevalence, impact, and demography of known diabetes in the United States based on three one-third subsamples of the NHIS sample for whom diabetes information was collected during the 1979–81 time period. A brief description of the procedures used is given in the Technical notes section of this report.

Variations in the prevalence of known diabetes

Known diabetes is relatively more common among older persons (figure 1). Even after taking this age differential into account, known diabetes is also proportionately more common among females, black people, the less educated, and those with low family incomes. Central city residents have a higher rate of known diabetes than metropolitan area residents outside the central city. Among the regions, the South has the highest rate of known diabetes; the West, the lowest. Table 1 shows average annual estimates of the prevalence of known diabetes by age and selected characteristics for 1979–81. Table 2 shows the rates of known diabetes per 1,000 population during this same time period.

Impact

Table 3 summarizes four different sets of indicators of the impact of diabetes. While only about 15 percent of the general

population is limited in their activities due to one or more chronic conditions or impairments, over half of all known diabetics are so limited (table 3), and this higher likelihood of limitation of activity among diabetics is only partially due to the older ages of diabetics (table 4). For about 3 out of 10 diabetics their diabetes is either the main or secondary cause of some activity limitation (table 3). About 13 percent of diabetics stayed in bed all or most of the day for one or more times during the past 12 months.

As a group, diabetics averaged about 20.8 restricted activity days per year due to their diabetes, but only about 6.4 bed disability days and 3.1 work-loss days because of their diabetes. However, the small number (13 percent) of all diabetics with one bed disability day or more in the past year averaged substantially higher rates of bed disability days (41.2 per person per year).

Virtually all known diabetics have seen a physician at some time in their lives for their diabetes, and better than four out of five (87 percent) visited a physician one time or more in the past year for their diabetes. Only about a third (34.6 percent) have ever been hospitalized for their diabetes, but as many as three out of four (76.1 percent) were taking medicine or were under treatment recommended by a physician for their diabetes.

About one out of five (19.7 percent) reported being bothered all the time by their diabetes; somewhat fewer (14.5 percent) were bothered a great deal by their diabetes. About 7 percent were bothered a great deal all of the time by their diabetes. In the general population only about 14 percent of persons were perceived to be in poor or fair health. Among diabetics, however, about half (50.8 percent) were perceived to be in fair or poor health. Even with age taken into account, diabetics were at least twice as likely as persons in the general population to have such unfavorable health assessments (table 4).



Figure 1. Average annual number of persons with known diabetes per 1,000 population by sex and selected sociodemographic characteristics: United States, 1979-81

Some of these measured impacts, including limitation of activity, restricted activity days due to diabetes, and being bothered a great deal all of the time by diabetes, are relatively more common among older diabetics. Other impacts, such as bed disability caused by diabetes, annual physician visits, and lifetime hospitalization experiences for diabetes, are proportionately more common among younger diabetics.

In evaluating diabetics' levels of restricted activity days, it

is important to realize that the relatively small proportion (30 percent) of diabetics who are limited in activity due to their diabetes account not only for a disproportionate share (44.7 percent) of restricted activity days due to all acute and chronic conditions, but also for an even greater disproportionate share (68.4 percent) of restricted activity days due specifically to diabetes. Figure 2 summarizes these findings graphically for all diabetics.



Figure 2. Percent distribution of diabetics and of selected types of restricted activity days by limitation of activity due to diabetes and other causes: United States, 1979-81

Demography

Diabetics as a group are much older than the general population in the United States (figure 3), and a smaller proportion of them are males (table 5). Although the vast majority of diabetics are white persons, the proportion of black diabetics is higher and the proportion of white diabetics is lower than their proportions by race in the general population. About 6 percent of all diabetics are of Hispanic origin, and about 15 percent are black. The population pyramids shown in figure 3 contrast the age-sex structure of the known diabetic subpopulation with that for the general population according to race. The pyramids in



SOURCE: National Center for Health Statistics. Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics.

Figure 3. Age-sex composition of the general population and of diabetics by race: United States, 1979-81



Figure 4. Age-sex-race composition of diabetics and the general population: United States, 1979-81

figure 4 contrast the age-sex-race structure of the known diabetic subpopulation with that for the general population.

Among persons 17 years and over, diabetics are less educated than the general population, as measured by the number of completed years of schooling (table 5). About one-third of diabetics 17 years and over are actively involved in the work force on a regular basis. However, such participation is substantially higher among younger diabetics and declines sharply with age (table 6). At all ages, diabetic men are much more likely than diabetic women to be in the work force, a situation seen as well in the general population. Diabetics, particularly men under 65 years of age and women of all ages, are more likely than the general population to live in families with low annual incomes (tables 5-6). A majority of diabetics 17 years and over are currently married (table 5), but in specific age categories of diabetics 45 years and over, men are much more likely to be married than women (table 6). About 65 percent of diabetics 17 years and over live with a spouse, while living with relatives or living alone are about equally likely living arrangements. The largest share of diabetics reside in the South; the smallest share, in the West (table 5). A majority of diabetics resides in metropolitan areas, but are about equally divided among central city residents, metropolitan area residents outside the central city, and nonfarm residences outside metropolitan areas.

References

¹National Center for Health Statistics, S. S. Jack and P. W. Ries: Current estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, United States, 1979. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 10, No. 136. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 81–1564. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Apr. 1981.

²National Center for Health Statistics, S. S. Jack: Current estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, United States, 1980. *Vital* and Health Statistics. Series 10, No. 139. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 82-1567. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1981.

³National Center for Health Statistics, B. Bloom: Current estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, United States, 1981. *Vital* and Health Statistics. Series 10, No. 141. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 82–1569. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Oct. 1982.

⁴A. S. Krolewski and J. H. Warram: Epidemiology of Diabetes Mellitus, in A. Marble, L. P. Krall, R. F. Bradley, A. R. Christlieb, and J. S. Soeldner, eds., *Joslin's Diabetes Mellitus*, 12th ed. Philadelphia. Lea and Febiger, 1985, pp. 12–42. ⁵L. P. Krall, P. S. Entmacher, and T. F. Drury: Life Cycle in Diabetes: Socioeconomic Aspects, in A. Marble, L. P. Krall, R. F. Bradley, A. R. Christlieb, and J. S. Soeldner, eds., *Joslin's Diabetes Mellitus*, 12th ed. Philadelphia. Lea and Febiger, 1985, pp. 907–936.

⁶M. I. Harris: Prevalence of Noninsulin-Dependent Diabetes and Impaired Glucose Tolerance. In *Diabetes in America*. NIH Pub. No. 85-1468. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985, pp. VI-1-39.

⁷T. F. Drury, K. M. Danchik, and M. I. Harris: Sociodemographic Characteristics of Adult Diabetics. In *Diabetes in America*. NIH Pub. No. 85-1468. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985, pp. VII-1-37.

⁸T. F. Drury: Disability Among Adult Diabetics. In *Diabetes in America*. NIH Pub. No. 85-1468. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985, pp. XXVIII-1-22.

⁹T. F. Drury, P. A. Erickson, and A. L. Powell: Self-Assessed Health Status of Adult Diabetics. In *Diabetes in America*. NIH Pub. No. 85-1468. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985, pp. XXIII-1-12. Table 1. Average annual number of persons with known diabetes by age and selected sociodemographic characteristics: United States, 1979-81

[Data are based on annual one-third subsamples of National Health Interview Survey household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population]

					Age			
	<u></u>				17 year	rs and over		
						65	years and	over
Characteristic	All ages	Under 17 years	All persons 17 years and over	17 -44 years	45–64 years	All persons 65 years and over	65–74 years	75 years and over
		N	umber of per	sons with	known dia	betes in tho	usands	
Total ¹	5,429	53	5,376	848	2,406	2,123	1,338	785
Sex								
Male Female	2.357 3,072	*20 *32	2,336 3,040	350 497	1,146 1,259	840 1,283	583 756	258 527
Race								
White All other Black	4,512 917 834	47 *6 *6	4,465 911 828	684 164 157	1,942 463 408	1,839 284 262	1,148 190 172	691 94 90
Hispanic origin ²								
Hıspanic Non-Hıspanic	330 5,072	*5 42	325 5,030	65 778	197 2,204	64 2,048	42 1,292	22 757
Education of individual								
Less than 12 years 12 years More than 12 years	 	 	2,745 1,502 933	237 319 267	1,148 810 380	1,360 372 286	820 281 185	541 92 102
Marital status								
Married Formerly married Never married	•••• •••	 	3,510 1,520 346	573 117 158	1,741 554 111	1,196 850 77	888 410 40	309 440 37
Education of head of family								
Less than 12 years 12 years More than 12 years	2,723 1,428 1,038	*7 *29 *17	2,716 1,399 1,021	275 263 274	1,165 727 413	1,276 409 334	794 273 208	482 137 126
Family income ³								
Less than \$7,000 \$7,000–\$9,999 \$10,000–\$14,999 \$15,000–\$24,999 \$25,000 or more	1,453 586 828 952 1,190	*6 *Z *23 *12 *34	1,447 586 805 941 1,156	147 69 64 231 298	470 254 396 417 63	830 263 346 293 216	414 197 253 191 127	416 66 93 102 89
Location of residence								
SMSA ⁴ . Central city . Outside central city. Dutside SMSA ⁴ . Nonfarm. Farm.	3,604 1,684 1,920 1,825 1,681 144	35 *12 *23 *18 *10 *8	3.569 1.672 1.897 1.807 1.671 1.37	578 264 314 270 262 *8	1,661 789 872 745 687 59	1,330 619 711 793 723 70	836 390 447 502 446 56	494 230 264 291 277 14
Geographic region								
Northeast North Central South West	1,205 1,415 1,981 827	*9 *14 *24 *5	1,196 1,402 1,957 822	171 239 285 152	533 627 914 332	491 536 758 337	313 305 515 207	179 232 244 131

Includes unknown Hispanic origin, education of individual, marital status, education of head of family, and family income.

²Excludes persons of unknown Hispanic origin.

³Data are for 1981 only, because information on annual family income is only available for broad income categories and is technically difficult to adjust for inflation over the 3-year time period. ⁴SMSA = standard metropolitan statistical area.

SOURCE: National Center for Health Statistics: Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics.

Table 2. Average annual number of persons with known diabetes per 1,000 population by age and selected sociodemographic characteristics: United States, 1979-81

[Data are based on annual one-third subsamples of National Health Interview Survey household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population]

					Age			
	<u></u>				17 year	s and over	<u></u>	
			••••••			65	years and	over
Characteristic	All ages	Under 17 years	All persons 17 years and over	17–44 years	45–64 years	All persons 65 years and over	65–74 years	75 years and over
		Numb	er of persons	s with know	wn diabete	s per 1,000	population	
"otal ¹	24.7	0.9	33.3	9.1	55.0	88.4	87.7	89.4
Sex								
Aale emale	22.2 27.0	*0.7 *1.1	30.7 35.7	7.7 10.4	55.0 55.1	85.1 90.7	87.9 87.7	79.3 95.3
Race								
White	23.8 30.5 32.2	1.0 *0.6 *0.7	31.6 45.9 48.8	8.5 12.8 14.5	49.9 97.4 99.9	84.3 127.7 129.8	83.4 128.4 129.3	86.0 126.5 130.9
Hispanic origin								
Hispanic Non-Hispanic	22.2 25.0	*1.0 0.8	33.1 33.4	9.4 9.0	88.7 53.3	84.9 88.4	84.5 87.9	85.6 89.3
Education of individual								
ess than 12 years	• • • • • • •	• • • • • • •	57.0 25.0 18.8	11.7 8.5 7.8	77,7 48.9 33.6	104.0 65.6 68.9	104.7 69.6 64.6	102.9 56.0 78.6
Marital status								
Aarried	· · · · · · ·	 	34.2 60.9 10.3	10.4 14.0 5.2	50.5 77.7 52.9	90.9 89.4 56.8	91.4 87.6 47.3	89.5 91.1 72.9
Education of head of family								
ess than 12 years 2 years Aore than 12 years	41.0 18.9 14.2	*0.5 *1.3 *0.9	54.2 25.9 19.0	12.8 7.7 7.5	73.9 50.9 33.0	99.2 72.9 72.6	100.7 71.5 68.1	96.8 75.9 81.5
Family income ²								
Less than \$7,000 \$7,000-\$9,999 \$10,000-\$14,999 \$15,000-\$24,999 \$25,000 or more.	45.0 34.7 27.2 18.5 16.4	*0.8 *0.0 *2.9 *0.8 *1.8	59.7 45.9 35.8 25.9 21.4	12.3 11.0 4.9 9.7 8.7	102.9 90.0 71.9 44.4 38.5	108.1 72.1 90.3 96.7 73.1	98.9 80.7 93.1 89.1 62.5	119.7 54.7 83.7 115.2 97.2
Location of residence								
SMSA ³ . Central city Outside central city. Dutside SMSA ³ . Nonfarm. Farm.	24.1 27.7 21.6 26.1 26.2 24.9	0.9 *0.8 *1.0 *0.9 *0.6 *5.2	32.2 36.8 29.0 35.8 36.2 31.7	8.9 10.0 8.1 9.5 10.0 *3.9	55.2 65.9 48.1 54.6 56.4 39.8	86.2 86.9 85.6 92.2 92.5 89.7	85.4 88.2 83.1 92.0 90.2 109.5	87.6 84.9 90.1 92.7 96.5 52.5
Geographic region								
Vortheast	24.9 24.3 27.5 20.2	*0.8 *0.9 *1.2 *0.5	33.0 33.0 37.4 27.2	8.5 9.6 9.4 8.4	51.4 55.1 64.7 42.2	85.6 86.8 95.6 80.7	86.0 78.8 100.9 77.9	84.9 100.1 86.1 85.6

¹Includes unknown Hispanic origin, education of individual, marital status, education of head of family, and family income.

²Data are for 1981 only, because information on annual family income is only available for broad income categories and is technically difficult to adjust for inflation over the 3-year time period. $^{3}SMSA =$ standard metropolitan statistical area.

SOURCE: National Center for Health Statistics: Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics

Table 3. Impact of known diabetes by age and selected health status indicators: United States, 1979-81

[Data are based on annual one-third subsamples of National Health Interview Survey household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population]

			Pe	ersons with	n known di	abetes		
					17 yea	rs and over		
						65 years and over		
Indicator	All ages	Under 17 years	All persons 17 years and over	17 44 years	45–64 years	All persons 65 years and over	65–74 years	75 years and over
Disability status				P	ercent			
Persons with limitation of activity due to one or more chronic								
conditions or impairments Persons for whom diabetes is a cause of limitation of	56.0	30.7	56.2	35.6	55.3	65.7	62.4	70.9
activity	30.5	21.6	30.6	24.7	31.5	32.0	33.2	30.0
diabetes	12.7	43.2	12.4	17.8	12.0	10.8	11.9	9.0
Disability days				N	umber			
Restricted activity days due to diabetes per person per								
year	20.8	18.7	20.8	15.4	19.7	24.2	28.0	17.7
Bed days due to diabetes per person per yearBed days due to diabetes per person having 1 bed day	6.4	14.1	6.4	4.3	6.2	7.4	5.7	10.3
or more in the past year for diabetes	41.2	26.7	41.7	18.1	37.4	62.7	39.8	114.0
person with diabetes per year	3.1	•••	3.1	3.2	3.6	0.6	0.7	
Medical care				Pe	ercent			
Persons who have ever seen a physician for diabetes Persons with 1 or more physician visits in the past year for	99.7	100.0	99.7	99.6	99.7	99.7	99.8	99.5
diabetes	87.0	93.2	87.0	85.0	87.0	87.8	87.7	88.1
Persons ever hospitalized for diabetes Persons taking medicine or treatment recommended by their	34.6	77.6	34.2	48.8	33.3	29.4	30.0	28.5
physician for diabetes	76.1	73.7	76.2	65.6	75.1	81.5	83.1	79.0
Perceived impact								
Persons bothered all the time by diabetes	19.7	19.2	19.7	14.3	20.7	20.8	21.4	19.7
Persons bothered a great deal by diabetes	14.5	8.8	14.7	16.3	15.5	12.8	13.3	11.9
Persons bothered a great deal by diabetes all the time	6.6	4.0	6.7	5.0	6.8	7.2	7.9	6.0
Persons reported to be in fair or poor health	50.8	20.2	51.0	38.8	55.7	50.7	52.9	46.9

SOURCE: National Center for Health Statistics: Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics.

Table 4. Age-adjusted average annual percent of persons with limitation of activity and fair or poor health assessments by diabetic status: United States, 1979–81

Indicator	General population	Diabetics
	Age-adjusted po	ercent ¹
Limited in activity	14.5	41.5
Unable to perform usual activity	3.7	8.8
Limited in amount and kind of usual activity	7.2	21.1
Limited, but not in usual activity	3.5	11.6
Reported to be in fair or poor health	12.6	38.6

¹Age adjusted by the direct method to the 1979-81 civilian noninstitutionalized population using 5 age groups.

SOURCE: National Center for Health Statistics: Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics.

.

Table 5. Number of persons by diabetic status and age, and average annual percent distribution of persons by selected sociodemographic characteristics, according to diabetic status and age: United States, 1979–81

[Data are based on annual one-third subsamples of National Health Interview Survey household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population]

	All a	ges	17 years a	nd over	17-44	years	4564	years	65 years a	and over
Characteristic	General population ¹	Known diabetics								
					Number in	thousands				
Total	219,573	5,429	161,268	5,376	93,514	848	43,726	2,406	240,289	2,123
					Percent d	istribution				
Fotal ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Sex										
Male	48.2	43,4	47.2	43.5	48.6	41.3	47.7	47.6	41.1	39.6
amale	51.8	56.6	52.8	56.5	51.4	58.7	52.3	52.4	58.9	60.4
Race										
Vhite	86.3	83.1	87.7	83,1	86.2	80.7	89.1	80.7	90.7	86.6
All other	13.7	16.9	12.3	16.9	13.8	19.3	10.9	19.3	9.3	13.4
Black	11.8	15.4	10.5	15.4	11.6	18.6	9.3	17.0	8.3	12.3
Hispanic origin										
lispanic	68	6.1	6.1	6.1	7.4	7.7	5.1	8.2	3.1	3.0
Non-Hispanic	93.2	93.9	93.9	93.9	92.6	92.3	94.9	91.8	96.9	97.0
Education of individual										
ess than 12 years			31.0	54.0	22.3	30.0	35.1	50.0	58.2	68.3
12 years	•••	• • •	37.8	28.4	41.0	38.1	38.5	34.0	24.2	17.9
More than 12 years		•••	31.2	17.6	36.8	31.9	26.4	16.0	17.6	13.8
Marital status										
Married			64.0	65.3	59.2	67.6	78.9	72.4	55.5	56.4
Formerly married			14.8	28.3	8.7	13.7	16.4	23.0	38.8	40.0
Never married		•••	20.7	6.4	32.0	18.6	4.7	4.6	5.6	3.6
Family income ³										
ess than \$7,000	15.9	29.0	16.3	29.3	13.6	18.2	11.7	21.5	35.9	42.6
\$7,000–\$9,999	8.2	11.7	8.4	11.9	6.6	8.5	7.5	11.6	17.3	13.6
\$10.000-\$14,999	15.6	16.5	15.5	16.3	15.0	7.9	14.5	18.2	19.5	17.7
\$15,000-\$24,999	24.9	19.0	24.0	19.1	26.4	28.5	23.2	19.1	14.9	15.1
\$25,000 or more	35.4	23.8	36.0	23.4	38.4	36.9	43.1	29.5	12.7	11.1
Location of residence										
SMSA ⁴	68 3	66.4	68.7	66.4	69.7	68.2	68.6	69.0	64.7	62.
Central city.	27.5	31.0	28.0	31.1	27.8	31.1	27.6	32.8	29.8	29.2
Outside central city	40.8	35.4	40.7	35.4	42.0	37.1	41.0	36.2	34.9	33.
Outside SMSA ⁴	31.7	33.6	31.3	33.6	30.3	31.8	31.4	31.0	35.3	37.3
Nonfarm	29.1	31.0	28.6	31.1	28.1	30.8	28.0	28.5	32.1	34.0
Farm	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.5	2.2	0.9	3.4	2.4	3.1	3.3

See footnotes and source at end of table.

1

advancedata

[Data are based on annual one-third subsamples of National Health Interview Survey household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population]

	All ages		17 years and over		17-44 years		45–64 years		65 years and over	
Characteristic	General population ¹	Known diabetics								
Geographic region					Percent di	tribution				
Northeast.	22.0	22.2	22.3	22.2	21.4	20.2	23.4	22.2	23.9	23.1
North Central.	26.4	26.1	26.4	26.1	26.5	28.2	26.2	26.0	26.2	25.3
South	32.8	36.5	32.4	36.4	32.4	33.6	32.4	38.0	32.5	35.7
West	18.8	15.2	18.9	15.3	19.6	18.0	18.0	13.8	17.4	15.9

¹Data are for the civilian noninstitutionalized population. Data for nondiabetics are not shown separately because they are virtually equivalent to the results for the general population.

²Excludes unknowns for Hispanic origin, education of individual, marital status, and family income.

³Data are for 1981 only, because information on annual family income is only available for broad income categories and is technically difficult to adjust for inflation over the 3-year time period. ⁴SMSA = standard metropolition statistical area

SOURCE National Center for Health Statistics: Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics

え

advancedata

Table 6. Number of persons by sex, diabetic status, and age, and average annual percent distribution of persons 17 years and over by selected sociodemographic characteristics, according to sex, diabetic status, and age: United States, 1979-81 Data are based on annual one-third subsample

[Data are based on annual one-third subsamples of Nat	nal Health Interview Survey household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population]	

									_	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
		17-44	years			45-64	years			65-74	4 years			75 years	and over	
		neral lation ¹		nown betics		neral lation ¹		nown betics		eneral Jation ¹		nown betics		neral lation ¹		nown betics
Characteristic	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women	Men	Women
							Num	ber in thou	sands							
Total	45,461	48,052	350	497	20,848	22,878	1,146	1,259	6,630	8,621	583	756	3,245	5,532	257	527
							Perce	ent distribu	tion							
Total ²	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Marital status																
Married	57.5	60.9	67.5	67.7	84.9	73.4	82.8	62.9	82.0	51.1	84.6	52.3	68.6	23.1	73.1	22.8
Formerly married	6.2	11.1	12.2	14.8	9.9	22.3	11.8	33.2	13.3	42.8	12.9	44.4	26.5	70.6	21.8	72.7
Never married	36.3	28.0	20.4	17.4	5.1	4.3	5.4	3.9	4.6	6.2	2.6	3.3	4.9	6.3	5.1	4.5
Living arrangement																
With spouse	56.8	60.1	67.5	66.1	84.1	72.8	81.5	62.4	81.1	50,5	84.3	51.2	66.7	22.4	71.6	22.1
With other relatives	28.5	29.3	20.0	27.7	6.3	14.0	6.4	21.2	6.1	15.7	6.7	19.7	10.9	27.3	11.2	27.8
With nonrelatives	4.9	3.8	5.0	1.7	1.2	1.0	1.3	1.2	0.8	1.2	0.7	2.6	1.1	1.8	0.0	-
Alone	9.8	6.8	7.6	4.5	8.4	12.2	10.8	15.3	12.0	32.6	8.3	26.5	21.3	48.6	17.2	1.7 48.3
Labor force status																
In labor force	89.5	67.6	85.3	55.6	81.8	51.3	64.1	32.2	26.6	13.5	20.7		10.0			
Not in labor force	10.5	32.4	14.7	44.4	18.2	48.7	35.9	67.8	73.4	86.5	20.7 79.3	9.6 90.4	12.0 88.0	3.6 96.4	7.2 92.8	1.8 98.2
Employment status ³																
Currently employed	93.2	90.9	93.1	90.2	96.2	95.7	97.2	95.4	96.2	96.7	96.7	92.1	94.4	00.0	100.0	F0 7
Currently unemployed	6.8	9.1	6.9	9.8	3.8	4.3	2.8	4.6	3.8	3.3	3.3	92.1 7.9	94.4 5.6	90.2 9.8	100.0 0.0	59.7 40.3
Family income ⁴																
Less than \$7,000	12.2	15.0	14.3	22.2	9.0	14.2	13.6	28.5	21.3	35.5	22.7	46.0	39.8	51.6	48.9	56.5
\$7,000-\$9,999	6.0	7.1	6.2	10.8	5.9	9.0	9.4	13.6	18.2	17.8	12.9	20.1	39.8 19.6	14.1	48.9 8.4	56.5 8.7
\$10,000-\$14,999	14.7	15.3	7.1	8.6	13.2	15.7	17.5	18.8	23.9	19.7	28.2	15.4	19.0	13.3	8.4 17.0	8.7 10.2
\$15,000-\$24,999	27.1	25.8	32.1	24.9	23.7	22.7	23.5	15.3	20.5	14.1	20.2	12.2	12.6	10.8	17.0	10.2
\$25,000 or more	40.1	36.8	40.2	33.5	48.2	38.4	36.0	23.8	16.1	12.9	15.6	6.4	9.1	10.8	14.5	11.7

¹Data are for the civilian noninstitutionalized population. Data for nondiabetics are not shown separately because they are virtually equivalent to the results for the general population, ²Excludes unknown marital status, labor force status, and employment status.

³For persons in the labor force.

⁴Includes only data for 1981.

SOURCE: National Center for Health Statistics: Computed by the Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion from 1979-81 National Health Interview Survey data provided by the Division of Health Interview Statistics.

Technical notes

The data presented in all tables in this report were derived from a subsample of household interviews of the National Health Interview Survey. These interviews were conducted in a probability sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. During calendar years 1979–81, questions about diabetes, included in two of the six chronic condition checklists administered each year, were asked in approximately 39,615 households, representing one-third of the total number of the households interviewed during 1979–81. More detailed descriptions of the sample design and copies of the questionnaires used in collecting data on the prevalence, impact, and demography of known diabetes are shown in other NCHS publications.¹⁻³

Because the estimates shown are based on a sample of the population, they are subject to sampling error. Table I shows standard errors for estimates of the number of persons with known diabetes or other characteristics. Table II shows stan-

Table I. Standard errors of estimates of aggregates

Size of estimates in thousands	Standard erro in thousands
35	11
100	18
300	31
500	40
1,000	57
5,000	125
10,000	174
20.000	237
30.000	278
150.000	393

NOTE: A list of references follows the text.

Table II. Standard errors, expressed in percentage points, of estimated percents

		Estin	nated pe	rcents	
Base of percents in thousands	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	30 or 70	50
200	1.8	2.8	3.8	5.9	6.4
300	1.4	2.0	3.1	4.8	5.2
400	1.2	1.9	2.7	4.1	4.5
500	1.1	1.8	2.4	3.7	4.0
1.000	0.8	1.2	1.7	2.6	2.9
2.000	0.6	0.9	1.2	1.8	2.0
5.000	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.3
10,000	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.8	0.9
20.000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.6
30.000	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.5
50.000	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.4	0.4

dard errors appropriate for percents, including the percent of persons with known diabetes, and the percent of known diabetics with various characteristics.

Estimates of diabetes based on household reports are limited to conditions individuals know about and are willing to report. Moreover, although it is widely recognized that the term "diabetes mellitus" refers to a heterogeneous group of disorders that have glucose intolerance, it is not possible to tabulate National Health Interview Survey diabetes data to identify different types of diabetes. Because it is estimated that general population samples are composed mainly of noninsulindependent diabetics, one should be cautious in generalizing the descriptions in this report to insulin-dependent diabetics. More extensive discussions of these and other aspects of diabetes in the United States, including estimates of the number of persons with undiagnosed diabetes, are available.⁴⁻⁹

Symbols

- --- Data not available
- ... Category not applicable
- Quantity zero
- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Quantity more than zero but less than
 500 where numbers are rounded to
 thousands
- * Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality requirements



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 115 • May 1, 1986

Aging in the Eighties

Preliminary Data From the Supplement on Aging to the National Health Interview Survey, United States, January–June 1984

by M. G. Kovar, Dr.P.H., Office of Interview and Examination Statistics

Introduction

The National Health Interview Survey is the National Center for Health Statistics' large continuing survey of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. Each year people in about 42,000 households are interviewed by U.S. Bureau of the Census interviewers to obtain information about their health and use of health care. Demographic information that is needed to interpret the data is also obtained. The interviewers have special training on this survey in addition to their regular training, and response rates are high about 97 percent. The only item with a relatively low response rate is family income.

In 1984 a special supplement was added to the questionnaire to obtain information about elderly people who were living in the community. This supplement, the Supplement on Aging (SOA), was designed to collect information about physical limitations, chronic conditions, housing, retirement status, interactions with family and organizations, use of community services, and other health-related information about middleaged and older people.

All household members age 65 years and over and a half sample of those 55-64 years of age were asked the questions on the supplement themselves where possible. Another household member was interviewed only when the selected person was unable to answer either because of physical or mental problems or because of being away from the household for a longer period than the interviewer would be in the area. Response rates to the SOA were also high. Of the 5,982 people age 65 years and over who were in interviewed households in January-June 1984, 96 percent had complete interviews; 92 percent answered the questions on the SOA for themselves.

The data in this report are from the interviews that were completed during the first 6 months of 1984. The data are

preliminary because only one-half of the year is included and because the data from the SOA have not been edited. Including the full year will double the size of the sample and make estimates more reliable. It will also eliminate any possibility of bias because of seasonality. Editing will change some of the estimates from the SOA that are in the text because information from other parts of the questionnaire or from other family members will be used to correct missing or inconsistent information.

The preliminary data about people age 65 years and over are being published because the need for information about the elderly is critical, and 5,982 people is a large enough sample to make estimates that are reliable for many purposes. The reader should use the material in the technical appendix before deciding that differences not mentioned in the text are likely to be statistically significant. The number of people in the sample is given in each table in addition to the national population estimates that are the base of the percentages to make that simple.

The primary purpose of this report is to provide background data. Much of the information could be obtained from other publications based on data from the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). The tables are unique only in their focus on a particular population of interest.

The information is presented in the tables for three age groups. The data for people age 85 years and over are shown separately because the number of people in this age group is increasing rapidly and because relatively little is known about them. However, the reader should remember that there were only 448 people in this half-year of the sample who had had an 85th birthday. Differences between them and younger people that appear to be large may not be statistically or even substantively significant.

Information is also presented for both men and women because the older population is primarily a population of older

women, who are more likely to live alone or to be disabled than men the same age. Some of the differences in the distributions, therefore, are both interesting and important.

A secondary purpose of this report is to reveal the variation among people classified as elderly. All of the information is presented as percent distributions rather than as means or medians. Such measures of central tendency are important, but they conceal variability.

Other information in the text is from the SOA. That information will be examined in more detail when the final edited data for the full year are available.

Residential characteristics

In early 1984 there were approximately 26 million people age 65 years and over in the United States who were living in communities outside of nursing homes or other institutions (table 1). Four percent were living in retirement communities.

One-third of them lived in the South. One-fourth lived in the North Central region, and the Northeast and the West each had about one-fifth of the people age 65 years and over. About 63 percent lived in a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA); 27 percent were within and 36 percent were outside the central city. The remaining 37 percent lived outside an SMSA. Almost all (97 percent) had a telephone or gave a telephone number where they could be called. Most of them lived in houses or apartments. However, 6 percent lived in mobile homes.

Most had been living in exactly the same place for many years. About two-thirds, 63 percent, had not moved during the past 10 years. One-third had not moved for 25 years or more. About 22 percent had moved into the house, apartment, or mobile home that they were now occupying during the past 5 years.

Demographic characteristics

The majority of the people age 65 years and over living in the community (16 million) were ages 65-74 years, about 8 million were ages 75-84 years, and the remaining 2 million were age 85 years and over. The majority, 59 percent, were women. The percent who were women was higher at older than at younger ages—57, 62, and 71 percent at ages 65-74, 75-84, and 85 years and over, respectively, reflecting the higher death rates among men. Ninety-one percent were white; 8 percent were black.

Their level of education was relatively low (table 2). About half of the people age 65 years and over had not completed 12 years of education; 35 percent had not gone beyond the eighth

Table 1. Percent distribution of people age 65 years and over living in the community by selected residential characteristics, according to age and sex: United States, January–June 1984

		E	5-74 yea	irs	75	years and	over		•
				Sex			Sex		Age
Residential characteristic	Total	Both sexes	Men	Women	Both sexes	Men	Women	75–84 years	85 years and over
	-				Number				
Sample	5,982	3,731	1,625	2,106	2,251	822	1,429	1,803	448
				Num	ber in thou	isands			
Estimated population	26,290	16,227	7,048	9,178	10,063	3,685	6,378	8,073	1,990
				Per	cent distrib	ution			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Geographic region									
Northeast	22.1	23.0	22.3	23.6	20.5	20.3	20.6	20.7	19.4
North Central	25.8	25.1	26.0	24.5	26.9	25.4	27.7	26.2	29.7
South	33.1	33.1	32.4	33.7	33.1	34.4	32.3	34.1	29.0
West	19.0	18.7	19.3	18.2	19.6	19.9	19.4	19.0	22.0
Residence									
Standard metropolitan statistical area	63.3	64.1	63.4	64.6	62.3	58.9	64.2	62.4	62.2
Central city	27.3	27.3	25.9	28.4	27.4	24.2	29.3	27.4	27.7
Outside central city	36.0	36.8	37.5	36.2	34.9	34.7	34.9	35.0	34.5
Outside standard metropolitan statistical area	36.6	35.9	36.6	35.4	37.7	41.1	35.7	37.7	37.8
Housing									
House or apartment	93.5	93.5	94.5	92.8	93.3	92.3	94.0	93.0	94.8
Mobile home	5.9	5.9	5.2	6.5	5.9	6.8	5.4	6.2	4.7
Other	0.6	0.5	0.2	0.8	0.8	0.9	0.7	0.8	0.5
Telephone service									
Had a telephone	97.1	97.3	96.5	98.0	96.7	95.7	97.3	97.0	95.4
Did not have a telephone	2.9	2.7	3.5	2.0	3.3	4.3	2.7	3.0	4.6

Table 2. Percent distribution of people age 65 years and over living in the community by selected demographic characteristics, according to age and sex: United States, January–June 1984

			5-74 yea	nrs	75 ;	years and	over		
				Sex			Sex		1ge
Demographic characteristic	Total	Both I sexes	Men	Women	Both sexes	Men	Women	75 –84 years	85 years and over
					Number				
Sample	5,982	3,731	1,625	2,106	2,251	822	1,429	1,803	448
				Num	ber in thou	sands			
Estimated population	26,290	16,227	7,048	9,178	10,063	3,685	6,378	8.073	1,990
				Per	cent distrib	ution			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Race									
White	90.4	90.2	90.6	89.9	90.7	90.1	91.1	90.2	92.9
Black	8.3	8.4	7.9	8.7	8.1	8.1	8.0	8.5	6.2
All other	1.3	1.4	1.5	1.4	1.2	1.7	0.9	1.3	0.9
Marital status ¹									
Married	54.7	63.3	80.3	50.3	40.9	70.4	23.8	46.2	19.3
Widowed	34.1	25.0	8.3	37.8	48.8	21.6	64.5	43.1	71.9
Divorced or separated	6.3	6.9	6.2	7.4	5.4	4.4	6.0	5.8	3.7
Never married	4.4	4.4	4.8	4.0	4.4	3.1	5.2	4.3	4.9
Family size									
1 person	32.2	26.4	13.9	36.0	41.4	21.3	53.1	39.7	48.4
Alone	30.5	24.8	12.3	34.4	39.7	20.6	50.7	38.4	45.0
With a nonrelative	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.6	1.8	0.7	2.4	1.4	3.3
2 people	53.0	58.1	67.0	51.2	44.9	65.9	32.9	48.1	31.9
Spouse	46.0 7.1	52.2 5.8	63.8 3.2	43.3 7.8	35.8 9.1	62.0 3.9	20.8 12.1	40.6 7.6	16.7 15.3
3 or more people	14.8	15.5	19.1	12.8	13.6	12.9	14.1	12.1	19.7
3 people	8.9	9.6	12.1	7.6	7.7	8.4	7.3	6.8	11.2
4 or more people	5.9	6.0	6.9	5.2	5.9	4.4	6.8	5.3	8.5
Education ¹									
08 years	34.6	29.7	32.1	27.9	42.5	45.1	41.0	41.1	48.1
9-11 years	16.8	17.6	16.8	18.2	15.6	15.5	15.7	16.1	13.6
12 years	28.1	31.7	26.9	35.4	22.4	19.7	23.9	22.8	20.4
13 years or more	18.6	19.6	22.8	17.2	17.0	17.3	16.9	18.0	13.2
Usual activity									
Working	10.7	14.8	20.8	10.2	3.9	6.8	2.3	4.5	1.8
Keeping house	42.8	42.1	4.0	71.4	43.9	5.2	66.2	44.7	40.4
Other	46.6	43.1	75.2	18.4	52.2	88.0	31.5	50.8	57.8

¹Marital status was unknown for 0.5 percent and level of education was unknown for 1.8 percent.

grade. However, about one fifth were college graduates. The older people had, on the average, less formal education than those who were younger. For example, 48 percent of those who were age 85 years and over in contrast with 30 percent of those ages 65-74 years had not gone beyond eighth grade. The mean number of years of completed education was 10.8, 10.1, and 9.6 years for those ages 65-74, 75-84, and 85 years of age and over. The difference in the level of education reflects both the lower levels that were common when the oldest people were young and the differential death rates: more highly educated people survive longer than people with less education.

However, the large demographic differences between the people ages 65-74 years and those who were older were in their working status, marital status, and living arrangements.

About 15 percent of the people ages 65-74 years gave "working" as their usual activity. In contrast, only 5 percent of those ages 75-84 years and 2 percent of those age 85 years and over were usually working.

The majority of the youngest people were married and living with a spouse. But the majority of the people who had had an 85th birthday and who were living in the community were widowed.

The proportion living alone was higher in each successive age group. These data are cross-sectional; relationships can only suggest cause and effect. The data suggest that many of the oldest people living alone had remained in the same home after the death of a spouse. The largest group of people ages 65-74 years, 52 percent, lived in a two-person family with a spouse. Only 17 percent of those age 85 years of age and over were still living with a spouse in their own quarters. However, most of the widowed people living alone had not moved recently. Information from the SOA revealed that 63 percent had lived in their present quarters for 10 years or more; only 19 percent had moved within the last 5 years.

Health status

The majority of people in each of the three age groups age 65 years and over living in the community were in good health on each of three measures of health status: perceived health status, bed days, and limitation of activity. There was, however, a shift towards poorer health with increased age (table 3).

Sixty-seven percent of the people rated their health as good or better on a five-point scale: 16 percent as excellent, 19 percent as very good, and 32 percent as good. However, 21 percent were in fair health and 12 percent were in poor health according to themselves or the person most likely to know about them.

Sixty-two percent had not been confined to bed for a single day during the previous year. Another 14 percent had spent less than a week in bed. The remaining 24 percent had spent a week or more in bed over the course of the year, including 10 percent who had been in bed for 4 weeks or more.

Sixty percent had no limitation on their usual activities. Most of the others were partially limited; 11 percent were completely unable to perform their usual activities. It was this measure that showed the greatest discrepancy between the youngest and the oldest people. Among people age 65-74 years, 62 percent had no limitation of activity and 12 percent were unable to perform their usual activity. In contrast, among those age 85 years and over, 40 percent had no limitation and 22 percent were unable to perform their usual activity. This large decrease in functioning with increased age confirms and reinforces other information on specific limitations in the activities of daily living from the NHIS.^{1,2}

The general good health (even among those 85 years and over, 40 percent had no limitation of activity and 72 percent rated their health as good or better) is partially because healthy older people are more likely to remain in the community than those in extremely poor health. It should not be taken as evidence that the health of the total population age 65 years and over is good, especially that part of the population age 85 years and over. A sizable fraction, 23 percent in 1977, of the people age 85 years and over are in nursing homes, and the health of people in nursing homes is generally poor.³

Table 3.	Percent distribution of people a	ge 65 years and over living in t	he community by selected he	salth characteristics, accordi	ig to age and
sex: Unite	d States, January-June 1984				

		6	5–74 yea	ars	75	years and	over		
		Sex Sex		Sex	– Age				
Health characteristic	Tota/	Both sexes	Men	Women	Both sexes	Men	Women	7584 years	85 years and over
					Number				
Sample	5,982	3,731	1,625	2,106	2,251	822	1,429	1,803	448
				Num	ber in thou	sands			
Estimated population	26,290	16,227	7,048	9,178	10,063	3,685	6,378	8,073	1,990
				Per	cent distrib	ution			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Perceived health status ¹									
Excellent	15.6	15.5	16.3	14.8	15.8	16.3	15.4	15.9	15.4
Very good	19.2	19.6	19.2	20.0	18.6	17.7	19.0	18.3	19.6
Good	31.9	32.5	31.2	33.5	30.8	30.2	31.2	32.0	26.3
Fair	21.4	21.4	20.6	22.1	21.3	21.3	21.2	20.8	23.0
Poor	11.5	10.6	12.5	9.2	13.0	13.8	12.5	12.4	15.3
Bed days in year									
0	62.2	63.5	64.4	62.8	60.2	61.6	59.4	61.3	55.8
1-6 days	13.8	14.5	13.9	15.0	12.7	11.8	13.2	12.9	12.1
7-13 days	7.1	6.7	6.8	6.6	7.7	7.3	7.9	7.4	8.7
14-27 days	6.6	6.5	6.1	6.7	6.9	7.0	6.8	7.0	6.3
28-365 days	8.9	7.8	7.8	7.8	10.7	10.8	10.6	9.9	13.9
Always	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.5	2.2	1.6	3.4
Limitation of activity									
None	59.8	61.5	60.0	62.6	57.0	59.3	55.6	61.3	39.6
Outside activities only	15.2	14.5	14.2	14.8	16.2	20.2	13.9	16.8	13.8
Kind or amount of activity	13.6	12.4	9.9	14.4	15.6	10.7	18.5	13.4	24.6
Unable to perform usual activity	11.4	11.6	15.9	8.2	11.2	9.8	12.0	8.6	22.0

¹Health status was unknown for 0.5 percent.

Health opinions

There were questions on the SOA designed to allow people to give their opinions about their health, their level of physical activity, and changes within the past year. Optional responses were part of each question, and check boxes were provided for the interviewer to record the responses. The questions were asked only of the 5,167 people who answered all the questions on the SOA for themselves. They could differ from other people whose answers to other parts of the SOA were from proxy respondents. For example, of the 815 people who did not answer for themselves, 60 percent were recorded as being physically or mentally incapable. The proportion unable to perform their usual activity and the proportion in poor health were 15 percent of the proxy versus 11 percent of the selfrespondents. However, the proportion not limited in activity and the proportion in excellent health were equal in the two groups. Thus, there is some indication that the self-respondents are a select group, but those who did not answer for themselves were certainly not all incapacitated.

They were first asked, "How good a job do you feel you are doing in TAKING CARE of your health?" Nine out of 10 thought they were doing a good job, with 24 percent excellent, 32 percent very good, and 34 percent good. Most of the remainder felt they were doing a fair job; very few felt they were doing a poor job. The majority felt they had control over their future health, 35 percent felt they had a great deal of control, and 46 percent felt they had some control. Only 8 percent felt they had very little control, and 7 percent felt they had no control.

Most of the self-respondents had not worried much about their health in the past year. In response to the question about the amount of worry their health had caused them in the past year, 49 percent reported no worry at all and 16 percent had had hardly any worry. However, 27 percent had had some worry and 8 percent had had a great deal.

When asked about their physical activity compared with others the same age, 44 percent of the people responding for themselves considered themselves more active and 43 percent felt their level of activity was about the same as other people their age. Of those who thought themselves more active than their peers, the majority, 63 percent, felt that they were a lot more active.

When asked to compare their present level of physical activity to their own activity a year earlier, most reported that it was about the same. Only 8 percent felt they were more active and 18 percent felt they were less active. However, 58 percent of those with decreased physical activity said that it was only a little less.

The majority, 58 percent, felt they got as much exercise as needed. In response to other questions, 29 percent followed a regular routine of physical exercise and 14 percent walked at least a mile every day without resting. However, 71 percent of them did not follow a regular routine of physical exercise and 56 percent never walked a mile or more without resting.

Use of health care

About four out of five (82 percent) of the people who were 65 years of age or over had seen a physician within the previous year (table 4). Another 6 percent had seen a physician within 2 years. However, 12 percent of these people had not seen a physician for more than 2 years despite their being at an age when the risk of need for medical care is high.

Most of them appeared to have received relatively routine medical care judging by the number of contacts they had had with physicians during the previous year. They had seen a physician but, on the average, not more than every other month or so, that is, they had had 1-6 contacts during the year. Only 8 percent had had 13 contacts or more with a physician during the year.

Perceptions of health were highly associated with the amount of medical care. The people who had not seen a physician within a year generally rated their health higher than those who had seen a physician. For example, 26 percent of those who had not seen a physician rated their health as excellent, 22 percent as very good, and 32 percent as good. Seventy-seven percent had no limitation on their usual activity. In contrast, the majority of the people with seven or more visits during the year rated their health as only fair or poor and only 34 percent had no limitation on their usual activity.

The difference between the youngest and oldest age groups in the proportion who had been hospitalized during the preceding year was large and programmatically significant in this population of people of an age to be Medicare eligible. Overall, about 20 percent of the people age 65 years and over who were living in the community at the time they were interviewed had been in a hospital overnight or longer during the preceding year. However, only 18 precent of those ages 65–74 years in contrast with 29 percent of those age 85 years and over had been hospitalized during the year. When one considers that hospitalization rates are much higher in the year preceding death or institutionalization,^{4–6} and that the experience of such people is excluded from the retrospective data from NHIS, these differences assume added importance.

Discussion

In general, older people living in the community show only a slight decline in health status with increasing age. Responses to only one of the three general questions about health status revealed a difference. Responses to the questions about ambulatory contacts with physicians also showed little difference with age. However, the responses to questions about limitation of activity and use of hospital care did show differences among the people in the three age groups. A relatively large proportion of the oldest part of the population of people age 65 years and over remaining in the community were functionally impaired or had used inpatient medical care during the previous year.

However, the proportion is only relatively large. The general picture of people who have had a 65th birthday is that of a population of people who vary a great deal among themselves. Sixty percent of the people age 65 years and over and 40 percent of those age 85 years and over were not limited in activity. Eighty-two percent and 71 percent, respectively, had not been hospitalized during the previous year. The population consisted of people who were college graduates as well as those who had Table 4. Percent distribution of people age 65 years and over living in the community by selected health care use characteristics, according to age and sex: United States, January-June 1984

		6	5–74 yea	rs	75 ;	75 years and over			100
		Sex		Sex	<u>-</u>	Sex		Age	
Health care use	Total	Both sexes	Men	Women	Both sexes	Men	Women	75–84 years	85 vears and over
					Number				
Sample	5.982	3,731	1,625	2,106	2,251	822	1,429	1,803	448
				Num	iber in thou	isands			
Estimated population	26,290	16.227	7,048	9,178	10,063	3,685	6,378	8,073	1,990
				Per	cent distrib	ution			
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Hospitalizations in year									
None	79.5	82.0	80.8	83.0	75.3	74.5	75.7	76.3	71.3
1	14.4	12.6	12.5	12.7	17.4	18.2	16.9	16.8	19.8
2 or more	6.1	5.4	6.8	4.3	7.3	7.2	7.3	6.9	8.9
Interval since last doctor contact ¹									
Less than 1 year	82.0	80.7	79.4	81.8	83.9	83.4	84.2	83.6	85.2
1-2 years	6.3	6.4	6.6	6.2	6.0	6.0	6.0	6.2	5.4
2-5 years	7.0	7.8	8.3	7.5	5.8	6.8	5.2	5.9	5.6
5 years or more	4.0	4.3	5.0	3.7	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.8	2.3
Number of doctor contacts in year ¹									
None	18.6	19.7	21.2	18.5	17.0	17.7	16.5	17.1	16.4
1-2 contacts	27.8	28.9	28.8	29.0	26.1	28.7	24.6	26.1	26.1
3-6 contacts	31.7	30.3	30.2	30.4	34.0	33.6	34.3	34.1	33.8
7–12 contacts	13.2	13.0	12.7	13.3	13.4	11.8	14.4	13.0	15.1
13-24 contacts	4.9	4.9	4.3	5.3	4.8	3.4	5.6	5.1	3.8
25 contacts or more	3.3	2.9	2.6	3.2	3.8	4.2	3.6	3.8	3.7

¹Interval was unknown for 0.8 percent, and number of visits was unknown for 0.5 percent.

not gone beyond eighth grade. They lived in all parts of the country, in big cities and rural areas, in houses, apartments, and mobile homes. Many people were living alone, but many others were living with a spouse.

The measures of health reflect generally good health. The

health opinions of those answering for themselves, 94 percent of the sample, also reflected a positive attitude towards their health on the part of many older people. Most felt that they were taking care of their health, had not worried about it during the past year, and had some control over their future health.

References

¹National Center for Health Statistics, B. A. Feller: Americans needing home care, United States. *Vital and Health Statistics.* Series 10, No. 153. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 86–1581. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Mar. 1986.

²National Center for Health Statistics, J. Fulton and G. Hendershot: Functional limitation of older Americans, Provisional data from the National Health Interview Survey, United States, January-June 1984. *Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics*. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 86-1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md. To be published.

³National Center for Health Statistics, J. F. Van Nostrand, A. Zappolo, E. Hing, et al. The National Nursing Home Survey, 1977 Summary for the United States. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 13, No. 43. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-1794. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, July 1979.

⁴National Center for Health Statistics: Use and costs of Medicare services in the last years of life, by J. Lubitz and R. Prihoda. *Health United States*, 1983. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 84–1232. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1983.

⁵Health Care Financing Administration, C. Hebling: Medicare: Use and reimbursement for aged persons by survival status, 1979. *Health Care Financing Notes.* HCFA Pub. No. 03166. Office of Research and Demonstrations, Baltimore, Md. Nov. 1983.

⁶M. G. Kovar: Health of elderly people living in the community in 1980. *Milbank Memorial Fund Quarterly* 64(1), Feb. 1986.

⁷National Center for Health Statistics, M. G. Kovar and G. S. Poe: The National Health Interview Survey design, 1973–84, and procedures, 1975–83. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 1, No. 18. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85–1320. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985.

Technical notes

Each week a probability sample of households in the United States is visited by U.S. Bureau of the Census interviewers to obtain a wide range of information about the health and health care characteristics of the people living in those households. A description of the survey design, methods used to make the national estimates, and general qualifications of the data are provided in *The National Health Interview Survey Design*, 1973-84, and Procedures, 1975-83.⁷

In January-June 1984 there were about 21,000 households in the sample. The total noninterview rate was about 3 percent-mostly because the interviewer was unable to locate an eligible respondent despite repeated calls.

The rules for the survey are that all adults who are in the household when the interviewer calls are asked to join in the interview and to respond for themselves. People age 65 years and over are likely to be at home and are, thus, more likely to respond for themselves to the questions on the basic, or core, questionnaire. During the first 6 months of 1984, 84 percent answered the questions themselves.

For the Supplement on Aging (SOA), the interviewers made an additional effort to encourage the people selected to answer the SOA questions and to respond for themselves. They encouraged the household respondent to ask an older person to talk to the interviewer and, if necessary, made extra calls. The results of their efforts were both positive and negative. The positive result was that an even higher proportion, 92 percent, of the responses to the SOA were completely self responses. The negative result was that in a few cases information was obtained from a household respondent for the core questions but no information was obtained for the supplement. Fortunately the latter was rare: 5,629 of the 5,982, 95 percent, of the people age 65 years and over who were in the sample during January–June had complete interviews on the supplement.

The estimates in this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire population of people age 65 years and over in the civilian noninstitutionalized population. Therefore, the estimates are subject to sampling error. In addition, the sample had a complex design that has the effect of making the sampling errors somewhat larger than they would be from a simple random sample of the same size using the same procedures.

A conservative estimate is that, on the average, the variance for estimated proportions from this sample is 20 percent larger than it would have been from a simple random sample of the same size using the same procedures.

To estimate the sampling errors, convert the percent to a proportion, calculate the variance of a proportion assuming simple random sampling, multiply that variance by 1.2 to allow for the complex sample, then compute standard errors, confidence intervals, or significance tests. For example, the estimate is that 45 percent of the 1,990 thousand people age 85 years and over lived alone. There were 448 people in the sample age 85 years and over; therefore,

18 people in the sample up Variance (simple random sample) = $\frac{pq}{n}$ = $\frac{(0.45)(0.55)}{448}$

= 0.0006

Variance (complex sample) = (0.0006)(1.2)

= 0.0007

Standard error = $(0.0007)^{L_2}$

= 0.0257

95 percent confidence interval = $45 \pm (1.96)(2.57)$

 $= 45 \pm 5$ percent.

Because the estimation procedure includes poststratification to independent U.S. Bureau of the Census estimates, there is no sampling error for the number of people age 65 years and over-either for the total or for either sex.⁷ The only sampling error is in the numerator. Therefore, the sampling errors for those groups are somewhat smaller than estimated by this method.

Perhaps more important for interpretation than sampling errors, however, is a thorough understanding of what data from this, or any other, cross-sectional survey can provide. There are two issues—one important for any cross-sectional analysis and the other of especial importance for older people.

The NHIS is a point-in-time study. Associations at one point in time should not be interpreted as causality. The differences among the age groups, for example, could be the result of aging or, alternatively, they could be the result of different cohorts moving through time. Based on external knowledge, one could interpret a difference in health status as the result of aging and a difference in educational status as the result of cohort differences, but the data from a cross-sectional survey do not enable one to make that distinction.

The second is that this is a study of people who were living in the community at the time they, or a proxy respondent, were interviewed. All of those elderly people who had left the population, either through death or institutionalization, are excluded. Thus, the estimate that 20 percent of the elderly people had been hospitalized during the preceding year should not be interpreted to mean that only 20 percent of all elderly people had been hospitalized during the year. Hospitalization rates are high during the year preceding death or institutionalization,^{4.6} and the experience of those people is not included in these estimates.

NOTE: A list of references follows the text.



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 116 • May 9, 1986

Aging in the Eighties, Age 65 Years and Over and Living Alone, Contacts With Family, Friends, and Neighbors Preliminary Data From the Supplement on Aging to the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January–June 1984

by M. G. Kovar, Dr.P.H., Office of Interview and Examination Statistics

Introduction

The National Health Interview Survey is the National Center for Health Statistics' large continuing survey of the health of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. Each year people in about 42,000 households are interviewed by U.S. Bureau of the Census interviewers to obtain information about their health and use of health care. Demographic information that is needed to interpret the data is also obtained. The interviewers have special training on this survey in addition to their regular training, and response rates are high—about 97 percent. The only item with a relatively low response rate is family income, which is not used for this analysis.

In 1984 a special supplement was added to the questionnaire to obtain information about older people who were living in the community. This supplement, the Supplement on Aging (SOA), was designed to collect information about physical limitations, chronic conditions, housing, retirement status, interactions with family and organizations, use of community services, and other health-related and social information about middle-aged and older people. All household members age 65 years and over and a half sample of those 55-64 years of age were asked the questions on the supplement themselves where possible. Another household member was interviewed only when the selected person was unable to answer either because of physical or mental problems or was going to be away from the household for a longer period than the interviewer would be in the area. Response rates to the SOA were also high. Of the 1,809 people age 65 years and over who were in the survey January-June 1984 and who were living alone, 96 percent

gave a complete interview to the SOA and 99 percent of those answered the questions for themselves.

The data in this report are from the interviews that were completed during the first 6 months of 1984. The data are preliminary because only one-half of the year is included and because the data from the SOA have not been edited. Including the full year will double the size of the sample and make estimates more reliable. It will also reduce any possibility of bias because of seasonality. Editing will change some of the estimates because information from other parts of the questionnaire will be used to correct missing or inconsistent information. The approach used to make the estimates in this report was to be conservative. If the information was missing, which it rarely was for people living alone and answering for themselves, it was treated as if the response was "No" or "None." That is, the number of living children was treated as if the person had no children, and the number of telephone contacts with relatives was treated as if there were none if there were no entries.

The purpose of this report is to provide information about one segment of the older population—those people 65 years of age and over who were living alone. They are of particular interest (1) because there are so many of them (about 31 percent of all people age 65 years and over and living in the community, or about 8 million people who had had a 65th birthday, lived alone), (2) because of concern that alienation and loneliness decreases the quality of their lives, and (3) because research^{1.2} has suggested that they are at especially high risk of néed for long-term care and of institutionalization. The preliminary data are being published because of these concerns and because there is so little information available about older people living alone.

There are two points to remember when interpreting the data.

- First, this is a report about people who have, so far, successfully survived living alone in the community. Were they badly disabled, many might already have moved in with family or into institutions. They are, in a sense, a select group of people.
- Second, the estimates are based on a sample and they may differ from estimates based on a complete census using exactly the same questions and interviewing techniques. The reader should read the technical notes and consider the size of the sampling error before making inferences.

Background

About 26.3 million Americans who had had their 65th birthday were living in communities outside nursing homes or other institutions in the first half of 1984. About one-third of them, an estimated 8.0 million people, were living alone.

Before considering the special characteristics of the people who have had a 65th birthday and live alone, it is useful to recognize how they differ as a group from their peers who live with other people.

Senior people who live alone are, on the average, older than those over 65 years of age who live with others. Their average age was 75.2 years in contrast with 73.4 years. Half were age 75 years and over; 11 percent were age 85 years or over (table A). In contrast, only a third of those who lived with others were age 75 years and over; 6 percent were age 85 years and over.

Table A.	Percent distribution of people age 65 years and over by
age, sex,	and marital status, according to whether they lived alone or
with othe	rs: United States, January–June 1984

Age, sex, and marital status	Total	Living alone	Living with others
		Numbe	er
Sample	5,982	1,809	4,173
	Nun	nber in th	ousands
Estimated population	26,290	8,018	18,272
	Percent distribution		
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0
Age			
65-74 years	61.7	50.2	66.8
75–84 years	30.7 7.6	38.6 11.2	27.2 6.0
Sex	7.0	11.2	0.0
Male	40.8	20.2	49.9
Female	40.8 59.2	20.2 79.8	49.9 50.1
Marital status			
Married	54.7	0.0	78.6
Widowed	34.1	77.1	15.2
Divorced	6.3 4,4	14.1 8.1	2.9 2.8

They were, being older, more likely to be widowed. They were also more likely to be divorced or separated, or never married. For example, 77 percent of the people living alone, but only 15 percent of those living with others, were widowed; 14 percent, in contrast with 3 percent, were divorced or separated; and 8 percent, in contrast with 3 percent, had never married.

Eighty percent of the older people living alone were women, in contrast with 50 percent of those living with others. Of the 8 million people age 65 years and over and living alone, about 11 percent were men and 39 percent were women ages 65-74years; 9 percent were men and 40 percent were women age 75 years and over.

Thus, the population of people age 65 years and over and living alone tends to be older, widowed women. Many of these characteristics result from the higher death rates and shorter life expectancies of men. Fewer males survive to age 65 years, and even at age 65 years, a man's expectation of life is less than that of a woman the same age. In 1983, the difference at age 65 years was 4.1 years.³ In addition, women tend to marry men older than themselves, which increases their likelihood of being widowed.

Despite these characteristics, many of the people who were living alone were not at all disabled, in poor health, suffering from lack of medical care, or lacking family or companionship.

Although the potential for social isolation certainly exists, the evidence from the SOA is that the majority of the older people who were living alone lived close to family with whom they had frequent contact. Many of them had been living in exactly the same place for many years; 62 percent had not moved in the previous 10 years and 32 percent had lived in the same place for 25 years or more. Only 24 percent had moved into their current house, apartment, or mobile home within the previous 5 years. About 11 percent lived in retirement communities. The long residence in the same place and the relatively high proportion in retirement communities may account for their social contacts.

Another reason that these people living alone were not as isolated as they might have been is that 94 percent of them had telephones and most apparently used them. The telephone was a major means of contact with children and other relatives and with friends and neighbors.

Given the importance of the telephone for maintaining social contacts, it appeared that elderly men living alone were at greater risk of isolation than elderly women. While 97 percent of the women had telephones, only 84 percent of the men did.

Children

One reason there is a potential for isolation is that a substantial fraction of the people age 65 years and over who lived alone had no living children (table B). Twenty-nine percent, by the conservative estimates used for this report, had no living children and an additional 19 percent had only one child.

However, most of those who did have children had frequent contact with them. They lived near their children, saw them frequently, and talked with them frequently on the telephone.

Table B.	Percent of people age 65 years and over living alone by
whether t	hey had living siblings and by number of living children:
	ates, January-June 1984

Total	No living siblings	Living siblings			
Percent of total					
100.0	27.7	72.3			
28.8 19.1	10.7 5.4	18.2 13.7			
42.2 9.8	9.9 1.8	32.3 8.1			
	100.0 28.8 19.1 42.2	Total siblings Percent of to 100.0 27.7 28.8 10.7 19.1 5.4 42.2 9.9			

NOTE: Based on an estimated 8,018 thousand (1,809 in sample) people who lived alone.

When asked how quickly one or more of their children could get there if needed, an estimated 4 million (half of all the older people living alone) had at least one child who could get there in a matter of minutes. An additional 1.4 million had at least one child who could get there in a matter of hours mostly within 3 hours. Of the 5.6 million people living alone who had at least one child, 72 percent said that at least one child could be there within minutes and almost all the rest said one could be there in a few hours (table C). The proportion saying that at least one child could be there within minutes was higher as the number of children increased—56 percent of those with only one child, 75 percent of those with two through four children, and 85 percent of those with five children or more.

Of the people living alone who had children, 23 percent saw a child daily. An additional 40 percent saw a child at least once a week, and half of those saw a child more than once during the week. Another 16 percent saw a child at least once a month, and again they were about evenly split between those who saw a child only once and those who saw one more often. Most of the remainder saw their children several times during the year. Only 3 percent of the people who lived alone and had one child or more said they never saw a child or saw one less than once a year.

As could be expected, there was a strong association between the time it took a child to get there and how often they saw their children. Over 90 percent of the 3.5 million who saw

Table C. People age 65 years and over who lived alone and had 1 child or more by frequency of seeing children and how quickly a child could get there: United States, January–June 1984

	How quickly a child could get there						
Frequency of seeing children	Total	Minutes	Hours	Days			
	Percent of total						
Total	100.0	71.6	25.1	3.4			
At least:							
Daily	23.4	22.9	0.0	0.0			
Weekly	40.3	36.7	3.5	0.0			
Monthly	16.2	9.4	6.7	0.0			
Yeariy	17.5	2.3	13.0	2.2			
Never	2.7	0.0	1.5	1.0			

NOTE: Based on an estimated 5.6 million (1,272 in sample) people with 1 child or more. a child daily or weekly had a child who could get there within minutes. The majority of those who saw a child less often said the quickest a child could get there if needed was an hour or more. However, 13 percent of those who said it was less than once a month but more than once a year also said a child could be there if needed in less than an hour.

Seventy-eight percent of those with children, 4.4 million of the 8.0 million people living alone, talked with at least one child on the telephone every week. Thirty-six percent of those with children talked with at least one child every day, another 21 percent did so two or more times a week, and an additional 20 percent talked with a child at least once a week. Only 9 percent of those with children talked with a child on the telephone less than once a month.

The combination of personal and telephone contacts meant that of the 8.0 million older people living alone, 5.1 million had contact with a child at least once a month, 4.5 million at least once a week, and 2.4 million had contact with a child in person or by telephone daily.

Four-fifths, 81 percent, of those with children had contact with a child in person or by telephone at least once a week; 57 percent had both personal and telephone contact. Two-fifths, 42 percent, had daily contact with a child in person or by telephone; 16 percent had daily personal and telephone contact. Most of the people who saw their children less frequently talked with them on the telephone more often than they saw them. Very few, perhaps 1-2 percent, of the older people living alone who had children never saw or talked on the telephone with them.

Mail is apparently not used now as the means for older people staying in touch with children. The older people who lived alone seldom received mail from their children. Fortythree percent of those with children never received any mail from them. Most of the rest reported mail several times during the year but not on a routine basis.

Recent social contacts

Many of the older Americans who lived alone were living in exactly the same home they had lived in for years. A few, judging from the length of time they had lived in the same place, appeared to have spent their entire lives in the same house or apartment. Others, who were widowed, had apparently remained in the same household they had lived in with a spouse. This long residence in the same place may help account for their high rate of contact with family, friends, and neighbors.

Although 29 percent of the older people living alone had no living children and 28 percent had no living siblings, few were without any immediate family. Only 11 percent of the estimated 8 million Americans who had had a 65th birthday and were living alone had neither a child nor a sibling (table B). About 54 percent had at least one living sibling and one living child. Eighteen percent had one sibling or more but no child, and 17 percent had one child or more but no sibling.

Most had recent contact with family (table D). Within the 2 weeks prior to the interview, 73 percent of the older people who lived alone had gotten together with relatives; 84 percent had talked with relatives on the telephone; 69 percent had done both; and only 12 percent had done neither.

Those who had both children and siblings were even more likely to have had recent contact. Eighty-four percent had gotten together with relatives and 94 percent had talked with a relative on the phone. Even some of those without a child or sibling had had recent contact with relatives; 27 percent had gotten together with relatives, and 38 percent had talked with relatives on the phone within 2 weeks.

Seventy percent had gotten together with friends or neighbors within 2 weeks, and 81 percent had talked with friends or neighbors on the telephone.

Overall, 88 percent of the people living alone had gotten together either with family or with friends and neighbors during the 2 weeks prior to the interview. About 53 percent had gotten together with relatives and with friends or neighbors; 11 percent had done neither.

Overall, 90 percent of the people living alone had talked with either family or with friends and neighbors on the telephone within the 2 weeks prior to the interview. About 75 percent had talked with both relatives and others; 10 percent had talked with neither within the 2 weeks.

In sum, 84 percent of all the people living alone had talked with someone and gotten together with someone within the 2 weeks. Only 5 percent reported no contact with family or friends in person or by telephone within the 2-week period.

About half of the people age 65 years and over and living alone, 51 percent, had gone to a church or temple during that period. Fewer, only 27 percent, had attended a movie, sports event, or other such group event.

Health

On a five-point scale of excellent to poor, 70 percent of the people living alone rated their health as good or better. The perceived health status of about 18 percent was "excellent," 21 percent "very good," and 31 percent "good." Only 20 percent perceived their own health as "fair," and 10 percent perceived their health as "poor."

Sixty percent were not limited in their usual activity, 17 percent were limited in outside activities but not their usual one, 16 percent were limited in kind or amount of usual activity, and 8 percent were unable to perform their usual activity.

Their perceptions about their health were associated with their use of health care. About 18 percent had not seen a phy-

Table D. Percent of people age 65 years and over who lived alone by contacts with relatives, friends, and neighbors within the previous 2 weeks and whether by person or telephone: United States, January-June 1984

	Rela	ntives	Friends or neighbo		
Immediate family	In person	Telephone	In person	Telephone	
		Percent w			
Total	72.8	84.0	69.5	81.4	
No child or sibling	26.8	37.8	50.5	56.6	
Sibling(s) only	66.6	80.7	71.0	83.4	
Child(ren) only	72.8	85.8	69.2	81.5	
Both	84.0	93.5	39.4	85.6	

sician during the preceding year; 82 percent of those who had not seen a physician within a year perceived their health as good or better and 74 percent had no activity limitation.

Sixty-two percent had not spent an entire day in bed during the preceding year and an additional 14 percent had spent less than 7 days in bed. About 10 percent had spent 4 or more weeks in bed during the year.

Most of them felt that if they needed care, there was someone to take care of them for a few days. The majority, 69 percent, said that family would; 17 percent had someone else. However, 14 percent said there was no one.

They were less sanguine about there being someone to care for them for a few weeks if needed. The majority, 67 percent, had family, but only 10 percent had someone else. Twenty-four percent said there was no one to care for them that long.

Those who responded for themselves entirely, about 98 percent of the people living alone, were asked additional questions about their own health. Most thought they were doing well.

When asked how good a job they were doing taking care of their own health, 90 percent said good or better including 24 percent who said "excellent" and 33 percent who said "very good"; most of the others said they were doing a fair job.

When asked how their health was compared with their health a year ago, 71 percent said it was about the same, 13 percent reported it was better, and 16 percent said it was worse.

Most did not worry about their health. Forty-nine percent said they had not worried at all during the past year, and 15 percent said they had hardly any worry. Twenty-six percent had had some worry, and 10 percent a great deal.

Four-fifths of the people living alone felt they had some control over their future health, including 37 percent who thought they had a lot of control. About 8 percent thought they had very little control, and 7 percent felt they had no control.

They felt they were as active as (41 percent) or more active than (47 percent) other people their own age. Two-thirds of those who felt they were more active thought they were a lot more active.

Most (71 percent) said they were about as active now as they had been a year before, but 21 percent were less active. Two-thirds of those who were less active said it was only a little less.

Discussion

The likelihood that older people will be living alone is higher at older ages as spouses, siblings, and even children die. There is a risk of being left without a support network. However, if the support network is there, people can be helped to maintain themselves in the community, and rates of institutionalization can be decreased to the benefit of both individuals and public programs.

The evidence from these preliminary data is that many of the people who had had a 65th birthday and were living alone did see, or at least talk with, family frequently. They were not alienated and without social contacts. Also, they perceived themselves as in generally good health and felt they had some control over their health. It is not news that older people living alone are generally in better health than those living with others. The usual explanation is that they are able to live alone *because* they are in good health. Were they in poor health and unable to care for themselves, they would have moved in with family or been institutionalized.

These data do not refute that explanation; however, they do raise the possibility that other factors are also contributing to the ability of these older people to live alone. The perception of many of them that their health was good and the close relationships that many seemed to have with family may be additional explanations of their ability to live alone.

There is evidence from longitudinal data that older people who perceive themselves to be in good health have lower mortality rates than people the same age who perceive their health as poor.^{4,5} Although the people in this study who were living alone were no different from those living with others in the frequency of their use of health services or the number of days they had spent in bed during the year despite their being older, their perception of their health, whether measured on a scale of excellent to poor or by limitation of activity, was better.

There is also evidence from population-based longitudinal studies that people who have a lot of friends and relatives and who see a lot of them are likely to live longer than those who seldom visit with friends and relatives⁶ and that elderly people who perceive a high level of social support have lower mortality rates.⁷ Other studies have failed to confirm that relationship for women.⁸ The number of living children was a significant predictor of mortality in a study of elderly urban poor regardless of health status.⁹ Many of these studies have been reviewed and the results found to vary with the definitions of social support.¹⁰

None of them focused on older people who lived alone. Nevertheless, the preponderance of the evidence is that there is some relationship between social contact and support and mortality.

The data from the SOA are cross-sectional and cannot be used to demonstrate causality. However, the research from these longitudinal studies does suggest that many of these older people who were living alone may *not* be at unusually high risk of death. They may not even be at unusually high risk of institutionalization. They had frequent contact with family and neighbors. They had lived in the same place for many years. Those who had children lived near them and saw them frequently. Almost all had telephones and used them to stay in touch with family and neighbors.

Whether their closeness to family will have a protective effect is a hypothesis that will have to be confirmed. More extensive analysis from the full year of edited data may reveal more about the cross-sectional relationships. But testing such a hypothesis will not be possible until the records of the respondents to this survey have been matched with records in the National Death Index¹¹ for several years and their progress followed over time. That match is planned.

There did appear to be a small group of people living alone who did not have children or siblings, frequent social contact, recent medical care, or high self-perception of their health. There is some indication that men are more likely to be in this group than women, although, because there are more women living alone, the larger number of them are women. This may be the group at great risk of either death or institutionalization. Both the larger sample that will be available from the full year and the match with the National Death Index will provide more information about them.

References

¹L. G. Branch and A. M. Jette: A prospective study of long-term care institutionalization among the aged. *Am. J. Public Health* 72(12):1373– 1379, Dec. 1982.

²S. Katz, L. G. Branch, M. H. Branson, et al.: Active life expectancy. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 309(20):1218-1223, 1983.

³National Center for Health Statistics: Advance report, final mortality statistics, 1983. *Monthly Vital Statistics Report*, Vol. 34, No. 6 Supp. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85-1120. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., Sept. 26, 1985.

⁴J. M. Mossey and E. Shapiro: Self-rated health: A predictor of mortality among the elderly. *Am. J. Public Health* 72(8):800-808, Aug. 1982.

⁵G. A. Kaplan and T. Camacho: Perceived health and mortality: A nine-year follow-up of the Human Population Laboratory Cohort. Am. J. Epidemiology 117(3):292-304, Mar. 1983.

⁶L. F. Berkman and L. S. Syme: Social networks, host resistance and mortality: A nine-year follow-up study of Alameda County residents. *Am. J. Epidemiology* 109(2):186-204, Feb. 1979.

⁷D. G. Blazer: Social support and mortality in an elderly community population. *Am. J. Epidemiology* 115(5):684–694, May 1982.

⁸J. S. House, C. Robbins, and H. L. Metzner: The association of social relationships and activities with mortality: Prospective evidence from the Tecumseh Community Health Study. *Am. J. Epidemiology* 116(1):123-140, July 1982.

⁹D. M. Zuckerman, S. V. Kasl, and A. M. Ostfeld: Psychosocial predictors of mortality among the elderly poor: The role of religion, wellbeing, and social contacts. *Am. J. Epidemiology* 119(3):410-423, Mar. 1984.

¹⁰W. E. Broadhead, B. H. Kaplan, S. A. James, et al.: The epidemiological evidence for a relationship between social support and health. *Am. J. Epidemiology* 117(5):521-537, May 1983.

¹¹J. E. Patterson and R. Bilgrad: The National Death Index Experience, 1981–1985. Paper presented at the Workshop on Exact Matching Methodologies. Arlington, Va., May 9–10, 1985. To be published in the *Proceedings*.

¹²National Center for Health Statistics, M. G. Kovar and G. S. Poe: The National Health Interview Survey design, 1973–84, and procedures, 1975–83. *Vital and Health Statistics*, Series 1, No. 18. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85–1320. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985.

7

Technical notes

Each week a probability sample of households in the United States is visited by U.S. Bureau of the Census interviewers to obtain a wide range of information about the health and health care characteristics of the people living in those households. A description of the survey design, methods used to make the national estimates, and general qualifications of the data are provided in *The National Health Interview Survey Design*, 1973–84, and Procedures, 1975–83.¹²

During January through June 1984 there were about 21,000 households in the sample. The total noninterview rate was about 3 percent—primarily because the interviewer was unable to locate an eligible respondent despite repeated calls.

Response rates were also high for the Supplement on Aging (SOA); 97 percent of the people age 65 years and over and living alone who responded to the basic questionnaire completed the SOA. A few refused to complete the SOA, which was an extensive questionnaire, following what may have been, if there were many illnesses or episodes of care to report, a long interview. Thus, the coverage was extremely high.

The estimates in this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire population of people age 65 years and over living alone in the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States during the first 6 months of 1984. Therefore, the estimates are subject to sampling error. In addition, the sample had a complex design that has the effect of making the sampling errors somewhat larger than they would be from a simple random sample of the same size using the same procedures.

Table I gives some of the sample numbers to enable the user to make estimates of the sampling errors that incorporate the complex sample design. To estimate the sampling errors, convert the percent to a proportion, calculate the variance of a proportion assuming simple random sampling, multiply that

NOTE: A list of references follows the text.

 Table I.
 Population estimates and sample sizes for people age 65

 years and over and who lived alone, by number of living children and immediate family: United States, January–June 1984

Characteristic	Population in thousands	Number in sample
Total	8,018	1,809
Living children		
No children	2.311	509
1 child	1,533	354
2-4 children	3,384	763
5 children or more	789	183
Immediate family		
No child or sibling	855	187
Sibling(s) only	1.457	322
Child(ren) only	1.367	311
Both	4.340	989

variance by the design effect to allow for the complex sample, then compute standard errors, confidence intervals, or significance tests. For example, the estimate of 8,018,000 people age 65 years and over and living alone was based on 1,809 people in the sample. Eighty percent were women; therefore,

Variance (simple random sample) =
$$\frac{pq}{r}$$

$$=\frac{(0.80)(0.20)}{1,809}$$
$$= 0.000088$$

The design effect is 1.2.

Variance (complex sample) = (0.000088)(1.2)

= 0.000106

Standard error = $(0.000106)^{1/2}$

= 0.0103

95 percent confidence interval = $80 \pm (1.96)(1.03)$

 $= 80 \pm 2$ percent

Perhaps more important for interpretation than sampling errors, however, is a thorough understanding of what data from this, or any other, cross-sectional survey can provide. There are two issues—one important for any cross sectional analysis and the other of especial importance for older people.

The National Health Interview Survey is a point-in-time study. Associations at one point in time should not be interpreted as causality. The difference among the age groups, for example, could be the result of aging or, alternatively, they could be the result of different cohorts moving through time. Based on external knowledge, one could interpret a difference in educational status as the result of cohort differences, but the data from a cross-sectional survey do not enable one to make that distinction.

The second factor is that this is a study of people who were living alone in the community at the time of the interview. Those elderly people who were in institutions were not in the scope of the survey. In addition, people who had formerly lived alone but because they had become badly disabled, no longer had financial resources to live alone, or for other reasons now lived with other people are no longer classified as living alone. They had left this population. This is a report about people who have, so far, successfully survived living alone in the community. Were they badly disabled, many might already have moved in with family or into an institution. They are, in that sense, a select group of older people.



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 117 • May 2, 1986

Office Visits to Orthopedic Surgeons, National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: United States, 1980–81

by Raymond O. Gagnon, Division of Health Care Statistics

Introduction

This report presents statistics on the 55.5 million ambulatory visits made to orthopedic surgeons during the years 1980-81. The data were collected by means of the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), a sample survey of private office-based physicians in the United States, excluding Alaska and Hawaii. NAMCS was conducted annually from 1973 through 1981 and periodically thereafter. Data were again collected in 1985. An earlier report¹ on orthopedic surgeons covered the years 1975-76. This report serves as an update of that earlier report, comparing the data between these two different points in time, and including summary data on the use of medications not available in the earlier report.

Because the estimates presented in this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire universe of office visits, they are subject to sampling variability. A brief description of the sample design and sampling errors is provided in the "Technical notes" at the end of the report. Definitions of key terms used in the survey are also provided. The figure is a facsimile of the 1980-81 NAMCS Patient Record used by participating physicians to record information about their office visits. The Patient Record can be a useful reference as survey findings are reviewed.

Data highlights

During 1980–81 there were an estimated 55,470,000 visits made to the offices of orthopedic surgeons. Of the 13 most frequently visited specialities, orthopedic surgeons ranked seventh, just behind general surgeons (table 1).

In 1980-81 an estimated 69 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons were made to physicians in multiple-member practice arrangements, a proportion that substantially exceeded the average of 45 percent in overall office-based practice (table 2). Notably, the specialties of pediatrics and urology had similarly high proportions of visits to physicians in partnership or group practice.

Table 1. Number of office visits to the 13 most frequently visited specialties, by type of specialty and rank order: United States, 1980-81

Rank	Type of specialty	Number of visits in thousands
1	General and family practice	381,710
2	Internal medicine	144,172
3	Pediatrics	128,762
4	Obstetrics and gynecology	109,035
5	Ophthalmology	62.485
6	General surgery	61.013
7	Orthopedic surgery	55,470
8	Dermatology	51,262
9	Psychiatry	31,810
10	Otolaryngology	26,151
11	Urology	19,470
12	Cardiovascular diseases	14,781
13	Neurology	6,379

¹National Center for Health Statistics, H. Koch: Office visits to orthopedic surgeons, National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, United States, 1975-76. Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics. No. 33. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 78-1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., July 18, 1978.

ASSURANCE OF CONFIDENTALITY – A - Mar- CL (1993) – which profit – an establishment w Dis press – a stabilitier and for the purposes of the establish cont – consistency and for any other purpose	, i pensist considential with the used in resources and well not be discussed or n	is Public Health S	ervice tistics, and Technology	A No.	003939)
1. DATE OF VISIT	NATIONAL	PATIENT R AMBULATORY		ARE SUR	VEY	
2. DATE OF BIRTH 	4. COLOR OR RACE	5. ETHNICITY 1 HISPANIC ORIGIN 2 NOT HISPANIC	 MOST IMPORTAN OTHER 	OR <u>THIS</u> VISIT	YMPTOM(S), OR /In patient's own	OTHER words;
7. MAJOR REASON FOR THIS VISIT /Check one j 1 ACUTE PROBLEM 2 CHRONIC PROBLEM ROUTINE	8. DIAGNOSTIC SERVIC /Check all ordered or p. 1 NONE 2 LIMITED HISTORY/EXAL 3 GENERAL HISTORY/EXAL	ravided / a EKG M 9 VISION TEST AM 10 ENDOSCOPY	9. PHYSICIAN'S		ASSOCIATED WITH	ITEM Ga
3 CHEONIC PROBLEM, FLAREUP 4 POST SURGERY POST INJURY 5 NON ILLNESS CARE "ROUTINE PRENATAL, GENERAL EXAM WELL BABY ETC)	A PAP TEST	11 MENTAL STATUS EXAM 12 OTHER (Specify)	b OTHER SIGNIFI	CANT CURRENT D	IAGNOSES	
10. HAVE YOU SEEN PATIENT BEFORE?	11. MEDICATION THEN [Using brand or gener provided at this vist.] a. FOR PRINCIPAL DIAG	ric names, record all new and Include immunizing and de se	nsitizing agents/	ns ordered, inject		or otherwise
1 YES 2 NO IF YES, FOR THE CONDITION IN ITEM 997 1 YES 2 NO	1 2 3 4		1 2. 3. 4			
12. NON-MEDICATION THERA [Check all services ordered or 1 NONE 2 PHYSIOTHERAPY 3 OFFICE SURGERY 4 FAMILY PLANNING 5 PSYCHOTHERAPY/ THERAPEUTIC LISTENING	PY provided this visit 6 DIET COUNSELING 7 FAMILY,SOCIAL COUNSELING 8 MEDICAL COUNSELING 9 OTHER (Spreify)	13. WAS PATIENT REFERRED FOR THIS VISIT BY ANOTHER PHYSICIAN?	2 RETURN A 3 RETURN I 4 TELEPHOI 5 REFERRE 6 RETURNE	DYN-UP PLANNED AT SPECIFIED TIME F NEEDED, P.R N. NE FOLLOW-UP PLJ D TO OTHER PHYS	ANNED ICIAN	15. DURATION OF THIS VISIT [Time actually spent with physician]
PHS.6105-A (9/79)	<u></u>	-	7 ADMIT TO			Minutes OMB No. 68-8149

Figure. 1980-81 National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey Patient Record form

Table 2. Number and percent distribution of office visits to orthopedic surgeons, and percent distribution of office visits to all specialists, by location and type of practice: United States, 1980-81

	Visits to orthop	Visits to all specialists	
Location and type of practice	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	Percent distribution ¹
All visits	55,470	100.0	100.0
Location of practice			
Metropolitan area ²	46.530	83.9	76.0
Nonmetropolitan area	8,940	16.1	24.0
Type of practice			
Solo	17,220	31.0	54.8
Other	38,250	69.0	45.3

Based on an estimated 1,160,922,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1980-81.

²Location within a standard metropolitan statistical area (SMSA). Composition of SMSA's does not reflect 1979 adjustment.

Table 3. Number and percent distribution of office visits to orthopedic surgeons, and percent distribution of office visits to all specialists, by characteristics of the patient: United States, 1980-81

	Visits to orthop	Visits to orthopedic surgeons	
Patient characteristic	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	Percent distribution ¹
All visits	55,470	100.0	100.0
Age			
Jnder 15 years	6,619	11.9	18.6
5-24 years	8,802	15.9	13.9
25-44 years	17,536	31.6	26.7
15–64 years	14,997	27.0	22.9
S5 years and over	7,516	13.6	17.9
Sex			
emale	26,057	47.0	60.3
fale	29,413	53.0	39.7
Prior visit status			
lew patient	12,239	22.1	14.4
Did patient, new problem	3,922	7.1	22.3
Did patient, old problem	39,309	70.9	63.4
Referred by another physician			
/es	6.071	10.9	4,4
No	49,399	89.1	95.6

¹Based on an estimated 1,160,922,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1980-81.

NOTE: Figures may not add to totals due to rounding.

The majority of visits to orthopedic surgeons (59 percent) were made by patients in the age groups 25-44 years and 45-64 years (table 3). The median age of patients was 38 years. The age distribution of patients visiting orthopedic surgeons more closely parallels that of neurologists than that of the other most frequently visited specialties; that is, the proportions of patients in each of the age groups in table 3 were similar for both specialties. Table 4 contains a ranking of the median age of patients for all of the most frequently visited specialties. The distribution of visits to orthopedic surgeons by sex revealed that 53 percent of the visits were made by males, a proportion that exceeded the average proportion of male visits in overall office-based practice (40 percent). Orthopedic surgery was one of three specialties where visits by males exceeded visits by females; the other two specialties were pediatrics and urology.

Table 4. Ranking	of the median age of patients for the 13 most
frequently visited	specialties: United States: 1980-81

Physician specialty	Median age of patients in years
Cardiovascular diseases	62
Internal medicine	57
Urological surgery	56
Ophthalmology	56
General surgery	44
Neurology	44
General and family practice	39
Orthopedic surgery	38
Psychiatry	36
All specialties	36
Otolaryngology	33
Dermatology	30
Obstetrics and gynecology	28
Pediatrics	3

Table 5. Number, percent, and cumulative percent of office visits to orthopedic surgeons, by the 25 most frequent principal reasons for visit: United States, January 1980-December 1981

Principal reason for visit and RVC code ^{1,2}	Number in thousands	Percent of visits ³	Cumulative percer. of visits
1. Knee symptoms	6,821	12.3	12.3
2. Back symptoms	4,114	7.4	19.7
3. Postoperative visit	3,051	5.5	25.2
4. Foot and toe symptoms	3,036	5.5	30.7
5. Low-back sypmtoms	2,758	5.0	35.7
6. Progress visit, not elsewhere classified	2,682	4.8	40.5
7. Shoulder symptoms	2,652	4.8	45.3
8. Neck symptoms	2,071	3.7	49.0
9 Hand and finger symptoms	1,919	3.5	52.5
10. Ankie symptoms	1,605	2.9	55.4
11. Wrist symptoms	1,589	2.9	58.3
12. Leg symptoms	1,569	2.8	61.1
13. Hip symptoms	1,489	2.7	63.8
14 Cast splint-application, removal	1,227	2.2	66.0
15 Elbow symptoms	1,085	2.0	68.0
16 Fracture of leg	965	1.7	69.7
17. Fracture or dislocation of arm	960	1.7	71.4
18. Arm symptoms	938	1.7	73.1
19. Pain and related symptoms, generalized, site unspecified	884	1.6	74.7
20. Knee injury, type unspecified	766	1.4	76.1
21. Fracture, other and unspecified	706	1.3	77.4
22 Hand and finger(s) injury, type unspecified	663	1.2	78.6
23. Musculoskeletal deformities	631	1.1	79.7
24. Fracture or dislocation of wrist	527	0.9	80.6
25. Other musculoskeletal or connective tissue disease	512	0.9	81.5

'Based on "A Reason for Visit Classification for Ambulatory Care" (RVC)

²The S codes refer to symptoms such as pain, swelling, headache, and chills. These symptoms exclude sprains, fractures, cuts, burns, and other injunes. ³Based on a total of 55,470,119 visits.

The 25 reasons most frequently given by patients for visiting the orthopedic surgeon are shown in table 5. The two most frequent complaints—knee and back problems—accounted for 20 percent of all visits to orthopedic surgeons. Of a more general nature, symptoms referrable to the musculo-skeletal system (RVC codes S900–S999) accounted for 15 of the top 25 reasons. (Reasons for visit are coded and grouped in eight modules according to a classification system that is described in "A Reason for Visit Classification for Ambulatory Care" (RVC)).²

The 30 most frequent principal diagnoses rendered by orthopedic surgeons are shown in table 6. Excluding a followup exam after surgery and certain congenital deformities, all the diagnoses listed in the table are contained in two major classes:

- 1. Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue (ICD-9-CM codes 710-739).
- 2. Injury and poisoning (ICD-9-CM codes 800-999).

In addition to most of the diagnoses in table 6, these two classes accounted for 84 percent of all the diagnoses rendered by orthopedic surgeons. (Diagnostic terms and codes were derived from the *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification* (ICD-9-CM)).³

Because the orthopedic surgeon deals mainly with sprains, strains, and fractures, it follows that the diagnostic services provided would be directly related. The logical course of treatment for a sprain, strain, or fracture would be a physical examination followed by an x ray, if warranted. This is generally what is revealed in table 7. A limited history and/or exam was conducted in 69 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons, and an x ray was taken in 39 percent of the visits. The latter proportion is more than 5 times greater than the average proportion of 7.5 percent of the visits in overall office-based practice where an x ray is taken.

Two diagnostic services that are rarely provided in the offices of orthopedic surgeons are clinical lab tests and blood pressure checks, which are provided in 2 percent and 3 percent of the visits, respectively. In overall office-based practice, clinical lab tests are provided in 22 percent of the visits, and blood pressure is checked in 34 percent of the visits. The lower proportion of these services provided by orthopedic surgeons reflects the types of problems presented to these specialists which simply do not require the rendering of such services.

Of the nonmedication therapy services provided, physiotherapy and office surgery were provided more by orthopedic surgeons than by most other specialties. Physiotherapy was provided in 22 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons, a proportion not only higher than the proportion for any other specialty, but 4 times higher than the average proportion of 5 percent for all office-based practice. Office surgery was provided in 12 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons, and this proportion was surpassed only by general surgeons (15 percent) and dermatologists (35 percent).

²National Center for Health Statistics, D. Schneider, L. Appleton, and T. McLemore: A reason for visit classification for ambulatory care *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 2, No. 78. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79–1352. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Feb. 1979.

³U.S. Public Health Service and Health Care Financing Administration: International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification. DHHS Pub No. (PHS) 80–1260. Public Health Service. Washington, U.S. Government Printing Office, Sept. 1980.

5

Table 6. Number, percent, and cumulative percent of office visits to orthopedic surgeons, by the 30 most frequent principal diagnoses: United States, January 1980-December 1981

Principal diagnosis and ICD-9-CM code1		Numb e r in thousands	Percent of visits ²	Cumulative percent of visits
Peripheral enthesopathies and allied syndromes	726	2,829	5.1	5.1
2. Sprains and strains of other and unspecified parts of back	847	2,484	4.5	9.6
3. Fracture of radius and ulna	813	2,462	4.4	14.0
4. Other disorders of synovium, tendon, and bursa	727	2,295	4.1	18.1
5. Intervertebral disc disorders	722	2,234	4.0	22.1
6. Followup examination, following surgery	V67.0	2,217	4.0	26.1
7. Osteoarthrosis and allied disorders	715	2,195	4.0	30.1
8. Other and unspecified disorders of back	724	1,740	3.1	33.2
9. Dislocation of knee	836	1.685	3.0	36.2
10. Sprains and strains of ankle and foot	845	1,394	2.5	38.7
11. Fracture of ankle	824	1,374	2.5	41.2
12. Internal derangement of knee	717	1,358	2.4	43.6
13. Sprains and strains of knee and leg	844	1,251	2.3	45.9
14. Sprains and strains of sacroiliac region	846	1,199	2.2	48.1
15. Fracture of humerus	812	1,110	2.0	50.1
16. Other and unspecified disorders of joint	71 9	1,090	2.0	52.1
17. Fracture of one or more phalanges of hand	816	906	1.6	53.7
18. Fracture of carpal bone(s)	814	904	1.6	55.3
19. Other and unspecified arthropathies	716	858	1.5	56.8
20. Spondylosis and allied disorders	721	850	1.5	58.3
21. Fracture of tibia and fibula	823	832	1.5	59.8
22. Other acquired deformities of limbs	736	809	1.5	61.3
23. Fracture of one or more tarsal and metatarsal bones	825	750	1.4	62.7
24. Other disorders of soft tissues	729	681	1.2	63.9
25. Fracture of vertebral column without mention of spinal cord injury	805	624	1.1	65.0
26. Other derangement of joint	718	611	1.1	66.1
27. Certain congenital musculoskeletal deformities	754	577	1.0	67.1
28. Curvature of spine	737	572	1.0	68.1
29. Sprains and strains of shoulder and upper arm	840	540	1.0	69.1
30. Fracture of metacarpal bone(s)	815	531	1.0	70.1

¹Based on International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM) ²Based on a total of 55,470,119 visits.

Table 7. Number and percent of office visits to orthopedic surgeons, and percent of visits to all specialists, by selected diagnostic services and nonmedication therapy: United States, 1980-81

Selected diagnostic services and nonmedication therapy	Visits to orthopedic si	Visits to all specialists	
	Number in thousands	Percent	Percent ¹
Diagnostic service			
imited history and examination	38.136	68.8	64.4
General history and examination	5.880	10.6	15.5
Clinical laboratory test	1,128	2.0	21.9
(ray	21,651	39.0	7.5
Blood pressure check	1,617	2.9	34.2
Nonmedication therapy ²			
lone	27.386	49.4	53.8
Physiotherapy	12.004	21.6	4.8
Diffice surgery	6.632	12.0	7.4
Aedical counseling	9.192	16. C	23.0

¹Based on an estimated 1,160,922,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1980-81

²Percents will not add to 100 0 because more than 1 service or therapy may have been rendered during a visit

As expected, postsurgery or postinjury was the major reason for 41 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons compared with 9 percent of the visits in overall office-based practice (table 8). General surgery, with 34 percent, was the only other specialty to have a higher proportion of visits for postsurgery or postinjury than for chronic problems.

"Disposition of visit" refers to the physician's advice at the end of the visit. For 83 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons, patients were advised to return either "at a specified time" or "if needed." This proportion was the same for physicians in overall office-based practice. Admitting patients to the hospital is a relatively rare occurrence in office-based practice, occurring in 2 percent of the visits. Orthopedic surgeons, however, admitted patients to the hospital in 5 percent of their visits, which may be a reflection of their seeing more injuries than most physicians.

A mean visit to an orthopedic surgeon lasted 14 minutes compared with 15 minutes in overall office-based practice.

Table 8. Number and percent distribution of office visits to orthopedic surgeons, and percent distribution of office visits to all specialists, by characteristics of the visit: United States, 1980-81

	Visits to orthop	Visits to orthopedic surgeons	
Visit characteristic	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	Percent distribution ¹
All visits	55,470	100.0	100.0
Major reason for visit			
Acute problem	14,685	26.5	36.4
Chronic problem, routine	10,895	19.6	28.1
Chronic problem, flareup	5,873	10.6	9.2
Postsurgery or postinjury	22,792	41.1	8.8
Nonillness care	1,225	2.2	17.6
Disposition			
lo followup planned	5,066	9.1	11.5
Neturn at specified time	36,071	65.0	60.7
leturn if needed	10,042	18.1	22.7
eferred to other physician	994	1.8	2.6
dmit to hospital	2,506	4.5	2.3
Duration			
Coro minutes ²	466	0.8	2.6
-5 minutes	11,035	19.9	12.6
-10 minutes	16,418	29.6	30.1
1–15 minutes	13,966	25.2	27.8
6-30 minutes	11,936	21.5	20.8
31 minutes or more	1,626	2.9	6.1

¹Based on an estimated 1,160.922,000 visits made to all office-based physicians in 1960-81. ²No face-to-face contact with physician.

Table 9. Number and percent of drug mentions and office visits to orthopedic surgeons, and percent of drug mentions and office visits to all specialists, by selected therapeutic categories and number of medications provided: United States, 1980-81

Therepeutic cotegon	Orthopedic surgeons		All specialists	
Therapeutic category and number of medications ¹	Number in thousands	Percent	Percent	
Drug mentions	22,477			
Therapeutic category				
Anti-infective agents	925	4.1	15.8	
	1,224	5.5	3.7	
Central nervous system drugs	13,587	60.5	16.2	
Analgesics and antipyretics	12,071	53.7	8.8	
Sedatives and hypnotics	1,115	5.0	3.6	
formones and synthetic drugs	2,414	10.7	8.3	
kin and mucous membrane preparations	2,117	9.4	7.8	
Office visits	55,470			
Number of medications				
None	39,794	71.7	38.2	
	10,792	19.5	30.9	
2	3,333	6.0	17.7	
3 or more	1,551	2.8	13.2	

Based on the pharmacologic-therapeutic classification of the American Society of Hospital Pharmacists; selected categories reproduced with the permission of the Society.

The median duration of visits to orthopedic surgeons was about 10 minutes, and for all physicians the median duration was 15 minutes.

The number of medications shown in table 9 refers to the frequency of drugs ordered or provided during office visits and includes new as well as continued medications. Orthopedic surgeons, with 22.5 million drug mentions, ranked ninth among the top specialties in the number of drug mentions in 1980–81; however, they ranked first in the proportion of visits in which no drugs or medications were used. In 72 percent of the visits to orthopedic surgeons, no drugs or medications were ordered or provided, and in 20 percent of the visits only one drug was used.

The NAMCS drug data are classifed into several therapeutic categories, but most of the 22.5 million drug mentions of orthopedic surgeons can be classified into five categories. By far the largest single proportion (54 percent) of these drug mentions are analgesics, a finding that is not unexpected when one considers that the treatment of musculoskeletal pain forms such a large part of the orthopedic surgeon's practice. The findings that follow show that no other specialist challenges the orthopedic surgeon in this intensity of prescribing or ordering analgesics.

Specialty	Analgesics as a percent of all drug mentions
Orthopedic surgery	54
General surgery	15
Neurology	15
General and family practice	10
Internal medicine	10
Other specialties	less than 10

Comparing 1975-76 data with 1980-81 data

There was an increase of 8.3 million visits to orthopedic surgeons from 1975-76 to 1980-81, but among the most frequently visited specialties providing ambulatory care, orthopedic surgeons ranked seventh in both time periods. The number of visits to orthopedic surgeons per 100 persons increased slightly from 11.3 in 1975-76 to 12.6 in 1980-81 (table 10). The proportion of visits to orthopedic surgeons in multiple-member practice arrangements increased substantially from 55 percent in 1975-76 to 69 percent in 1980-81. Orthopedic surgeons in metropolitan areas experienced a slight increase in the proportion of visits, but the distribution of visits by sex remained unchanged, with males still accounting for 53 percent of the visits. The increase in visits by persons 65 years and over created an upward swing in the median age of patients from 35 to 38 years.

The proportion of visits for diseases of the musculoskeletal system increased from 30 to 38 percent; for injuries and poisonings. the proportion of visits increased from 36 to 45 percent. This proportional increase in visits for problems such as sprains, strains, and fractures was naturally accompanied by a corresponding increase in the respective treatment or services provided, such as limited medical examinations. The proportion of visits in which a limited medical examination was performed increased substantially after 1975, from 55 to 69 percent.

The average duration of visit remained about the same, as did the proportion of visits that culminated in the patient's admission to a hospital or in the patient's being scheduled for return visits.
Table 10. Number of office visits per 100 persons per year to orthopedic surgeons and percent of visits, by selected characteristics: United States, 1975–76 and 1980–81

Characteristic	1975-76 ¹	1980-81 ²
	Numt	xer
otal visits in thousands	47,152	55,470
/isits per 100 persons per year	11.3	12.6
Aedian age of patients in years	35	38
Verage duration of visit in minutes	15	14
	Perce	nt
Percent of all physician visits	4.1	4.8
Type of practice		
iolo	45.4	31.0
)ther ³	54.6	69.0
Location of practice		
Aetropolitan area	77.6	83.9
lonmetropolitan area	22.4	16.1
Sex of patient		
emale	47.2	47.0
Aale	52.8	53.0
Age of patient		
Inder 25 years	32.7	27.8
25–44 years	30.4	31.6
5–64 years	27.4	27.0
55 years and over	9.6	13.6
Prior visit status		
lew patient	22.5 6.9	22.1 7.1
Did patient, old problem	70.6	70.9
Principal diagnosis		
leoplasms	*0.7	•0.6
Diseases of the circulatory system	1.1	*0.5
eases of the respiratory system	*0.9	*0.2
Diseases of the digestive system	*0.6	*0.1
Diseases of the genitourinary system	*0.4 *1.0	*0.1 1,1
Diseases of the skin and subcutaneous tissue	29.6	37.5
njury and poisoning ⁴	36.3	45.0
Diagnostic services		
and nonmedication therapy		
imited history and/or examination	55.2	68.8
General history and/or examination	10.9	10.6
	1.6	2.0 39.0
K ray	36.3 14.3	12.0
	11.0	
Duration of visit	46.7	⁵ 50.3
More than 15 minutes	28.6	24.5
Disposition		
	3.5	4.5
Return at specified time	66.3	65.0
Return if needed	16.3	18.1
No followup planned	10.5	9.1

³Based on an estimated 1,155,900,000 visits. ²Based on an estimated 1,160,922,000 visits. ³Includes partnership, group, and other types of practice. ⁴In 1975 this category was "Accidents, poisonings, and violence " ⁵Includes zero minutes.

Technical notes

Source of data and sample design

The estimates presented in this report are based on the findings of the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), a sample survey of office-based care conducted annually from 1973 through 1981 by the National Center for Health Statistics. The target universe of NAMCS is composed of office visits made by ambulatory patients to non-Federal and noninstitutional physicians who are principally engaged in office-based, patient-care practice. Visits to physicians practicing in Alaska and Hawaii are excluded from the range of NAMCS, as are visits to anesthesiologists, pathologists, and radiologists.

NAMCS uses a multistage probability sample design that involves a step-wise sampling of primary sampling units, physician practices within primary sampling units, and patient visits within physician practices. The physician sample (5,805 for the combined years 1980 and 1981) was selected from master files maintained by the American Medical Association and the American Osteopathic Association. Those members of the sample who proved to be within the scope of the survey participated at a rate of 77.3 percent. The participation rate for orthopedic surgeons, as an individual specialty, was 84.2 percent. Responding physicians completed visit records (figure) for a systematic random sample of their office visits made during a randomly assigned weekly reporting period. Telephone contacts were excluded. During 1980 and 1981 responding physicians completed a 2-year total of 89,447 Patient Record forms on which they recorded 97,796 drug mentions. Characteristics of the physician's practice, such as primary specialty and type of practice, were obtained during an induction interview. The National Opinion Research Center, under contract to the National Center for Health Statistics. was responsible for the field operations of the survey.

Sampling errors, statistical significance, and rounding

The standard error is a measure of the sampling variability that occurs by chance because only a sample, rather than an entire universe, is surveyed. The relative standard error of an estimate is obtained by dividing the standard error by the estimate itself and is expressed as a percent of the estimate. Approximate relative standard errors of estimates based on all physician specialties are shown in table I: the errors of estimates based on an individual specialty are shown in table II.

In this report, the determination of statistical significance is based on the *t*-test with a critical value of 1.96 (0.95 level of significance). Terms relating to differences, such as "higher" or "less," indicate that the differences are statistically significant. Terms such as "similar" or "no difference" mean that no statistical significance exists between the estimates being compared. A lack of comment in a comparison between any two estimates does not mean that the difference was tested and found to be not significant. Table I. Approximate relative standard errors for estimated numbers of office visits based on all physician specialties: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, 1980–81

Estimated number of office visits in thousands	Relative standard error
	Percent
150	51.6
250	40.1
400	31.7
500	28.4
1,000	20.2
2,000	14.5
5,000	9.5
10,000	7.1
20,000	5.6
i0,000	4.4
100,000	3.9
500,000	3.5
.000,000	3.4

NOTE: For example, an aggregate estimate of 35.000,000 office visits has a relative standard error of 5.0 percent or a standard error of 1,750,000 visits (5.0 percent of 35,000,000 visits).

Table II. Approximate relative standard errors for estimated numbers of office visits based on an individual physician specialty: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey, 1980–81

Estimated number of office visits in thousands	Relative standard error
	Percent
150	*53.8
*250	*42.0
*400	*33.3
500	29.9
1,000	21.6
2,000	15.9
5,000	11.1
10,000	9.0
20,000	7.7
50,000	6.8
100,000	6.5
500,000	6.2
1,000,000	6.2

NOTE: For example, an aggregate estimate of 7,500,000 visits has a relative standard error of 10.0 percent or a standard error of 750,000 visits (10.0 percent of 7,500,000 visits).

Estimates of office visits have been rounded to the nearest thousand. For this reason detailed figures within tables do not always add to totals. Rates and percents were calculated on the basis of original, unrounded figures and will not necessarily agree precisely with percents calculate from rounded data.

Definitions

Ambulatory patient—Ambulatory patients are individuals presenting themselves for personal health services who are neither bedridden nor currently admitted to any health care institution on the premises. *Physician*—A physician is a duly licensed doctor of medicine (M.D.) or doctor of osteopathy (D.O.) currently in office-based practice who spends time in caring for ambulatory patients. Excluded from NAMCS are physicians who are hospital based; physicians who specialize in anesthesiology, pathology, or radiology; physicians who are Federally employed; physicians who treat only institutionalized patients; physicians employed full time by an institution; and physicians who spend no time seeing ambulatory patients.

Office—Offices are places that physicians identify as locations for their ambulatory practices. Responsibility over time for patient care and professional services rendered there generally resides with the individual physician rather than with an institution.

Visit—A visit is a direct personal exchange for the purpose of seeking care and rendering health services between an ambulatory patient and a physician or a staff member working under the physician's supervision.

Symbols

- --- Data not available
- ... Category not applicable
- Quantity zero
- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Quantity more than zero but less than
 500 where numbers are rounded to
 thousands
- Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality requirements



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 118 • June 30, 1986

Trends in Smoking, Alcohol Consumption, and Other Health Practices Among U.S. Adults, 1977 and 1983

by Charlotte A. Schoenborn, M.P.H., Division of Health Interview Statistics, and Bernice H. Cohen, Ph.D., M.P.H., Johns Hopkins University

Introduction

The past decade has seen increasing recognition of the importance of personal health behaviors for the prevention of illness and disability. A pioneer work in this field was a study conducted in Alameda County, Calif., in the mid-1960's.¹ A decade later, longitudinal follow-up of the original 1965 cohort indicated that seven health habits were associated with subsequent favorable health status and reduced mortality.^{2,3} These habits were: never smoking, drinking fewer than five alcoholic beverages at one sitting, maintaining desirable weight for height, sleeping 7-8 hours a night, exercising, eating breakfast regularly, and avoiding snacks. In light of such evidence of the importance of personal practices for health,^{4,5} a national health promotion and disease prevention initiative was launched in the late 1970's.⁶ As an outgrowth of this initiative, broad national goals for reducing death and disability through health promotion activities were outlined.^{7.8} and specific quantified objectives for the year 1990 were delineated in 15 priority areas.9 Included among the prevention objectives were goals to reduce smoking and the misuse of alcohol, and to improve nutrition and physicial fitness.

In 1977, at the outset of the prevention initiative, data were collected in the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) on the prevalence of the seven health practices found to be associated with health status and mortality in the Alameda County study. In 1983, when implementation plans were well underway,¹⁰ data on a variety of personal health practices survey (AHPS), a component of NHIS. With the exception of those questions concerning alcohol (discussed below), the 1977 questions were repeated in the 1983 AHPS. The availability of

comparable data for 1977 and 1983 provides a unique opportunity to assess changes in behavior during a period of rapid growth in health promotion and disease prevention activities, including increases in media promotions of exercise and good eating habits and warnings of the dangers of cigarette smoking and excessive alcohol consumption.

This report presents 1983 prevalence estimates for seven health practices—hours of sleep, breakfast eating, snacking, physical activity level relative to contemporaries, smoking, alcohol consumption, and desirable body weight—for the noninstitutionalized population aged 20 years and over, by sex, race, Hispanic origin, age, income, and education. It also provides estimates of changes in the prevalence of selected unfavorable practices between 1977 and 1983. Detailed 1977 prevalence estimates for all seven practices were presented in an earlier report.¹¹

One purpose of the 1983 AHPS was to provide data to help clarify the interrelationships of health practices among U.S. adults and to further investigate their relationships to health status. Public use data tapes are available at nominal cost from the National Center for Health Statistics. Analyses of these data are encouraged.

Some sex differences were observed in the relationship between selected health behaviors and various sociodemographic characteristics. A detailed consideration of these differences is beyond the scope of this report. Tables showing sex-specific prevalence for each of the seven health practices are available on request from the Division of Health Interview Statistics.

The statistics presented and discussed in this report are simple prevalence estimates, unadjusted for age or other

sociodemographic characteristics. Relationships between health practices and selected characteristics (education, for example) may be attributable, at least in part, to differences in the age composition of particular population subgroups. Terms such as "similar" and "the same" are used to indicate that no statistical significance exists between the statistics being compared. Terms that relate to differences (such as "greater" or "less") indicate that differences are statistically significant. The *t*-test with a critical value of 1.96 (0.05 level of significance) was used to test all comparisons that are discussed. Lack of comment regarding the difference between any two statistics does not mean that the difference was tested and found to be not significant.

Sleep

Data on sleeping practices of U.S. adults are shown in table 1. Approximately two-thirds of persons 20 years and

over reported getting 7 or 8 hours of sleep on the average, and about one-fourth reported sleeping 6 hours or less a night. Black persons were more likely to be at the extremes of the sleep range than white persons. Among black respondents, 34 percent reported sleeping 6 hours or less, compared with 23 percent of white respondents; and 13 percent of black respondents reported sleeping 9 hours or more, compared with 10 percent of white respondents. People aged 45–64 appear to get the least sleep, with approximately 28 percent reporting 6 hours or less. By contrast, 21 percent of adults under 35 years of age reported sleeping 6 hours or less.

Sleeping habits also were related to income and education. Thirty percent of persons with family incomes of less than \$7,000 reported sleeping 6 hours or less, and 21 percent of persons with family incomes of \$40,000 or more reported this behavior. Similarly, 29 percent of adults with less than 12 years of education slept 6 hours or less, in contrast to 21 percent of persons with more than a high school education.

Table 1. Total population 20 years of age and over and percent distribution by average number of hours of sleep a night, according to selected characteristics: United States, 1983

	Population		Ног	rs of sleep		
Characteristic	20 years of age and over ¹	Total ²	6 or less	7	8	9 or more
	Number in thousands		Pe	ercent distributior	n	
All persons 20 years of age and over	158,867	100.0	24.3	27.9	38.2	10.0
Sex						
Male	74.600	100.0	24.9	29.2	37.2	8.7
Female	84,266	100.0	23.8	25.9	39.1	11.2
Race ³						
White	138,695	100.0	23.1	28.2	39.0	9.7
All other	20,172	100.0	33.0	22.2	32.8	12.0
Black	16,716	100.0	34.3	20.3	32.6	12.7
Other	3,456	100.0	26.4	31.3	33.5	8.9
Hispanic origin ³						
lispanic	9,596	100.0	21.2	24.8	42.0	12.0
on-Hispanic	148,501	100.0	24.5	27.6	38.0	9.9
Age						
0–34 years	59,868	100.0	20.8	28.2	40.7	10.3
5-44 years	28.933	100.0	24.5	30.9	38.3	6.3
5–54 years	22,169	100.0	27.5	29.5	35.7	7.2
5–64 years	22,004	100.0	28.0	27.0	36.5	8.5
5–74 years	16,377	100.0	26.5	22.6	36.7	14.2
5 years and over	9,516	100.0	26.4	16.6	34.4	22.7
Income						
ess than \$7,000	18,355	100.0	30.0	19.6	35.3	15.1
7.000–\$14.999	29,272	100.0	25.8	23.7	38.4	12 1
15,000-\$24,999	36,146	100.0	23.6	28.3	38.2	9.9
25,000–\$39,999	36.373	100.0	22.5	31.8	38.3	7.4
40,000 or more	21,466	100.0	21.3	32.2	39.5	6.9
Education of individual						
ess than 12 years	41,916	100.0	28.9	20.7	36.4	14.0
2 years	60,122	100.0	24.1	27.5	38.9	9.6
fore than 12 years	55,799	100.0	21.1	32.6	38.8	7.4

¹Includes unknowns

²Excludes unknown hours of sleep

³Based on self-reported identification

Table 2. Percent distribution of persons 20 years of age and over by frequency of eating breakfast, according to selected characteristics: United States, 1983

	Frequency of eating breakfast					
Characteristic	Total ¹	Every day	Sometimes	Never		
		listribution	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
All persons 20 years of age and over	100.0	53.9	19.0	27.1		
Sex						
Male	100.0 100.0	52.9 54.9	19.3 18.8	27.8 26.4		
Race ²						
White	100.0	55.1	17.6	27.3		
NI other	100.0	46.1	28.4	25.5		
Black	100.0	46.0	28.8	25.2		
Other	100.0	46.8	26.2	27.1		
Hispanic origin ²						
lispanic	100.0	53.5	22.8	23.7		
Ion-Hispanic	100.0	54.0	18.8	23.7 27.2		
Age						
0-34 years	100.0	38.4	25.9	35.6		
5–44 years	100.0	45.3	22.7	32.1		
5–54 years	100.0	55.0	17.8	27.2		
5–64 years	100.0	69.3	11.6	19.1		
5–74 years	100.0	82.0	7.5	10.5		
5 years and over	100.0	91.6	4.0	4.4		
Income						
ess than \$7,000	100.0	55.7	19.4	24.9		
7.000–\$14,999	100.0	56.8	18.3	24.9		
15,000-\$24,999	100.0	52.8	19.4	27.8		
25,000-\$39,999	100.0	50.7	19.7	29.7		
40,000 or more	100.0	53.2	18.1	28.7		
Education of individual						
ess than 12 years	100.0	58.8	17.1	24.1		
2 years	100.0	50.1	20.4	29.5		
fore than 12 years	100.0	54.4	18.9	26.7		

*Excludes unknown breakfast-eating habits

²Based on self-reported identification

NOTE: See table 1 for population

Eating breakfast

Table 2 shows that more than one-half of U.S. adults eat breakfast every day, and more than one-fourth never eat breakfast. White respondents were more likely (55 percent) to eat breakfast every day than black respondents (46 percent). Breakfast eating habits were strongly related to age. The percent of persons who reported eating breakfast every day rose steadily from less than 40 percent of persons 20–34 years of age to more than 90 percent of persons 75 years and older.

Snacking

Data on snacking behavior are shown in table 3. Slightly more than one-third of respondents reported snacking every day. The remaining respondents were evenly divided among those reporting snacking sometimes and those never snacking. Persons of Hispanic origin were less likely to snack every day (30 percent) than were non-Hispanic persons (38 percent). Snacking is clearly related to age, with about 40 percent of the youngest age groups reporting daily snacking, and 27 percent of the elderly, aged 75 years and over, reporting this practice. Concomitantly, only 25 percent of persons in the youngest age group indicated that they never eat snacks compared with more than 50 percent of those 75 years and over.

Table 3. Percent distribution of persons 20 years of age and over by frequency of eating snacks, according to selected characteristics: United States, 1983

	Frequency of eating snacks					
Characteristic	Total ¹	Every day	Sometimes	Never		
	Percent distribution					
Il persons 20 years of age and over	100.0	37.0	30.6	32.4		
Sex						
ale	100.0 100.0	38.9 35.4	28.1 32.8	33.1 31.8		
Race ²						
Vhite	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	37.4 34.8 35.8 29.8	29.9 35.0 35.0 34.9	32.7 30.2 29.1 35.4		
Hispanic origin ²						
lispanic	100.0 100.0	29.6 37.5	34.4 30.3	36.0 32.2		
Age						
D-34 years	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	40.2 37.9 37.8 34.4 32.8 26.6	35.4 31.5 28.5 26.6 24.0 22.8	24.5 30.6 33.7 39.0 43.3 50.7		
Income						
ess than \$7,000	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	34.5 36.7 37.6 38.5 39.0	31.2 30.7 31.0 31.0 29.2	34.3 32.7 31.4 30.5 31.8		
Education of individual						
ess than 12 years	100.0 100.0 100.0	34.8 38.4 37.3	28.6 31.5 30.9	36.5 30.1 31.7		

'Excludes unknown snacking habits.

²Based on self-reported identification.

NOTE: See table 1 for population.

Physical activity

Table 4 shows that about 40 percent of adults considered themselves "more active" than others their own age, and 14 percent perceived their activity level to be lower than that of their contemporaries. Almost one-half of the persons in the population indicated that they were "about as active" as people the same age. Men more often reported being "more active" (44 percent) than women did (35 percent). Black persons more often reported being "less active" (18 percent) than white persons did (13 percent).

Perceived physical activity levels varied with age, income, and education. Thirty-six percent of persons 20–34 years of age reported being more active in contrast to 41 percent of persons 65–74 years and 49 percent of the 75 and over age group. Interestingly, reports of being less active were also more prevalent in the older age groups; 16 percent of the oldest group, compared with 13 percent of the youngest group said they were less active. Thus, persons in the older age groups were more likely to place themselves at the extremes of the physical activity spectrum. Young people aged 20–34 years were most likely to report their activity level to be about the same as their contemporaries (52 percent), and the elderly aged 75 years and over were least likely to classify themselves this way (35 percent).

More active physical activity levels were associated with both income and education. Among persons with incomes of less than \$7,000, 33 percent reported being more active in contrast to 46 percent of people in the highest income group. Similarly, about one-third of those persons who had not completed high school indicated that they were more active than their contemporaries, compared with 44 percent of people with post-high school education. The proportion of persons reporting themselves less active than their contemporaries declined correspondingly with both increasing income and education.

5

Table 4. Percent distribution of persons 20 years of age and over by physical activity level relative to persons of same age, according to selected characteristics: United States, 1983

	Physical activity level						
Characteristic	Total	More active	Same	Less active			
	Percent distribution						
All persons 20 years of age and over	100.0	38.8	47.4	13.8			
Sex							
Male	100.0 100.0	43.5 34.7	44.6 49.8	11.9 15.4			
Race ²							
White	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	39.3 35.5 35.5 35.4	47.5 46.9 46.2 50.6	13.2 17.6 18.3 14.1			
Hispanic origin ²							
Hispanic	100.0 100.0	38.1 38.9	47.9 47.4	14.0 13.7			
Age							
20-34 years	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	35.5 40.4 39.9 39.6 40.5 48.6	51.6 48.3 46.9 43.3 43.4 35.4	12.9 11.3 13.3 17.1 16.1 16.0			
Income							
Less than \$7,000	100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0	32.7 35.5 38.3 40.0 45.8	45.2 48.3 48.5 49.4 44.6	22.0 16.2 13.2 10.6 9.6			
Education of individual							
_ess than 12 years	100.0 100.0 100.0	33.3 38.1 43.9	48.0 49.7 44.5	18.8 12.2 11.6			

¹Excludes unknown physical activity level.

²Based on self-reported identification

NOTE See table 1 for population.

Alcohol consumption

Alcohol consumption classifications shown in table 5 were developed by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and were based on the number and frequency of drinks reported for the most recent 2-week period in which alcohol was consumed.¹² Quantity and frequency of alcohol consumed was converted into average number of ounces of ethanol consumed daily, and three categories of drinkerlighter, moderate, and heavier-were derived. About one-third of respondents reported never drinking more than 12 drinks in any single year and were therefore classified as lifetime abstainers. Only 7 percent of respondents said they had been drinkers at some time in their lives but had not had a drink in the past year. These persons were classified as former drinkers. Thirty percent of AHPS respondents reported consumption patterns that were indicative of "lighter drinking," defined as between 0.01 ounces and 0.21 ounces of ethanol a day. Ten percent of the people in the population were

classified as "heavier drinkers," indicating that they consumed one ounce or more of ethanol per day on the average (two or more drinks). Men and women differed markedly in their drinking habits, with 19 percent of men reporting lifetime abstention in contrast to 45 percent of women. Men were about four times more likely than women to be heavier drinkers (16 percent of men versus 4 percent of women). Among drinkers, men were twice as likely as women to have had five drinks or more on at least 1 day in the past year (50 percent of men compared with 23 percent of women).

Drinking habits also varied according to race and Hispanic origin. Black persons and members of other racial groups were significantly more likely to be lifetime abstainers (48 percent and 56 percent, respectively) than were white persons (31 percent). Among drinkers, black persons were less likely than white persons to report having had five drinks or more on at least 1 day in the past year (30 percent of black persons in contrast to 38 percent of white persons). Although Hispanic persons were more likely to be lifetime abstainers (46 percent) than were non-Hispanic persons (32 percent), Hispanic drinkers were more likely than non-Hispanic drinkers to have consumed five drinks or more in 1 day at some time during the past year (43 percent versus 37 percent, respectively).

Alcohol consumption was also strongly associated with age, education, and income. Younger people were more likely to drink, and they tended to drink more heavily than older people. About 26 percent of persons 20–34 years of age were lifetime abstainers, in comparison with 45 percent of persons 65–74 years and 61 percent of those 75 years and older. Among drinkers, 52 percent of the youngest group reported having had five drinks or more in 1 day, in contrast

to 13 percent of adults aged 65–74 years and only 5 percent of respondents 75 years and older. These findings are consistent with other research showing an apparent trend toward increased alcohol consumption in the younger age groups.¹³ Heavier drinking among young adults could indicate that young people drink more but reduce their alcohol consumption as they get older; however, lower lifetime abstention rates found for younger persons in the current analysis, combined with evidence from other studies that indicate alcohol consumption levels do not tend to decrease as people age,¹³ suggest that younger people are now drinking more than did earlier generations. In light of current knowledge about the harmful health and social consequences of heavy drinking, the findings of

Table 5. Percent distribution of persons 20 years of age and over by alcohol consumption, according to selected characteristics, and percent of persons who consumed 5 or more drinks in 1 day in past year: United States, 1983

	Alcohol consumption1					······································	
Characteristic	Total ²	Lıfetime abstainer	Former drinker	Lighter drinker	Moderate drinker	Heavier drinker	Percent of drinkers who consumed 5 or more drinks in 1 day
				Percer	nt distribution		
All persons 20 years of age and over	100.0	33.0	6.7	29.5	21.0	9.9	37.7
Sex							
Maie	100.0	18.5	9.0	28.5	27.7	16.2	49.9
Female	100.0	45.4	4.6	30.4	15.1	4.3	23.4
Race ³							
White	100.0	30.6	6.6	30.5	22.0	10.3	38.4
All other	100.0	49.6	7.2	22.8	13.8	6.6	30.9
Black	100.0	48.3	7.5	22.6	14.4	7.2	29.6
Other	100.0	55.8	6.0	23.8	10.6	3.9	37.6
Hispanic origin ³							
Ніѕраліс	100.0	46.1	4.1	23.3	18.0	8.5	42.6
Non-Hispanic	100.0	32.1	6.8	29.9	21.1	10.0	37.4
Age							
20-34 years	100.0	26.3	3.5	33.7	26.1	10.4	51.8
35–44 years	100.0	28.2	6.3	31.9	23.1	10.4	36.0
45–54 years	100.0	32.8	8.1	28.7	19.1	11.3	30.2
55-64 years	100.0	36.3	9.4	27.3	17.3	9.8	21.2
65–74 years	100.0	45.3	12.2	22.8	11.7	8.0	13.2
75 years and over	100.0	61.1	8.9	14.5	10.9	4.7	5.0
Income							
Less than \$7.000	100.0	47.6	9.4	20.1	15.2	7.7	42.5
\$7.000-\$14.999	100.0	38.8	9.0	25.6	18.3	8.3	40.4
\$15,000-\$24,999	100.0	32.6	7.0	29.8	21.1	9.5	39.9
\$25,000-\$39.999	100.0	25.3	5.2	34.8	23.6	11.0	37.3
\$40,000 or more	100.0	18.3	3.3	35.9	28.5	14.0	36.3
Education of individual							
Less than 12 years	100.0	47.3	10.9	21.0	13.1	7.8	35.3
12 years	100.0	32.1	6.1	31.8	20.6	9.5	38.0
More than 12 years	100.0	23.0	4.1	33.6	27.4	11.9	38.5

¹Measure developed by the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Categories based on ounces of ethanol consumed during a 2-week reference period within the past 12 months: Zero for abstainers: 0.01–0.21 ounces per day for lighter drinkers, 0.22–0.99 ounces per day for moderate drinkers; and 1.0 ounces or more per day for heavier drinkers. The conversion factors were: 0.4 ounces of ethanol per ounce of beer, .15 ounces per ounce of wine, and .45 ounces per ounce-of liguor

²Excludes unknown alcohol consumption level, and for last column also excludes drinkers for whom it is unknown whether or not they have 5 or more drinks in 1 day. ³Based on self-reported identification.

NOTE See table 1 for population

7

more prevalent and heavier alcohol consumption among the young should be a matter of considerable public health concern.

Drinking was also associated with education, with adults in the higher educational attainment groups being more likely to drink and to drink more heavily. The relationship between drinking and income was somewhat different. Persons at the lower income levels were less likely to drink than persons with higher incomes (48 percent of persons with incomes under \$7,000 were abstainers compared with 18 percent of persons with incomes of \$40,000 or more). They were also less likely to drink heavily on a regular basis (8 percent of the lowest income group, compared with 14 percent of the highest income group, being classified as heavier drinkers). However, the lowest income group was somewhat more likely to have had five drinks or more on at least 1 day in the past year (43 percent) than persons in the \$40,000 or more income category were (36 percent).

Smoking

Data on smoking status and number of cigarettes smoked are shown in table 6. In 1983, about 32 percent of U.S. adults currently smoked cigarettes, 45 percent had never smoked, and 23 percent had smoked but quit. A larger proportion of men (36 percent) currently smoked than women (29 percent). Men were more likely than women to have ever smoked cigarettes (65 percent of men had ever smoked compared to 46 percent of women), but they were also more likely than women to be former smokers. About 30 percent of all men were classified as former smokers (45 percent

Table 6. Percent distribution of persons 20 years of age and over by smoking status and percent distribution of current smokers by number of cigarettes smoked daily, according to selected characteristics: United States, 1983

		Smokin	g status		Number of cigarettes smoked daily				
Characteristic	Total	Never smoked	Former smoker	Current smoker	All current smokers ²	Less than 15	15-24	25-34	35 or more
All persons 20 years									
of age and over	100.0	45.2	22.5	32.4	100.0	28.4	44.8	13.4	13.4
Sex									
fale	100.0	34.7	29.5	35.7	100.0	23.1	43.8	15.6	17.5
emale	100.0	54.4	16.2	29.4	100.0	34.1	45.9	11.0	9.0
Race ³									
Vhite	100.0	44.5	23.5	32.0	100.0	24.4	46.1	14.7	14.4
Il other	100.0	50.0	15.1	34.9	100.0	53.6	36.8	4.9	4.7
Biack	100.0	48.2	14.7	37.1	100.0	54.7	36.0	4.8	4.5
Other	100.0	58.6	16.9	24.5	100.0	45.0	42.6	*5.9	*6.4
Hispanıc orıgin ³									
hspanic	100.0	57.2	16.5	26.4	100.0	56.4	34.1	4.8	4.6
ion-Hispanic	100.0	44.4	22.9	32.7	100.0	27.0	45.4	13.8	13.8
Age									
0-34 years	100.0	49.6	14.4	36.1	100.0	32.0	47.3	11.8	8.9
5-44 years	100.0	40.6	22.1	37.3	100.0	23.0	42.5	17.1	17.4
5-54 years	100.0	37.6	25.9	36.5	100.0	22.7	41.0	15.0	21.3
5-64 years	100.0	38.2	31.7	30.2	100.0	25.7	45.7	12.8	15.8
5-74 years	100.0	45.5	33.0	21.5	100.0	37.0	43.7	10.5	8.9
5 years and over	100.0	64.7	26.8	8.5	100.0	46.0	45.4	*5.9	*2.5
Income									
ess than \$7,000	100.0	48.2	16.4	35.4	100.0	34.4	42.5	11.7	11.3
7,000-\$14,999	100.0	43.2	21.8	35.0	100.0	30.5	48.0	10.7	10.8
15,000-\$24,999	100.0	44.3	21.9	33.8	100.0	27.7	45.0	14.0	13.3
25,000-\$39,999	100.0	45.2	23.8	31.0	100.0	23.7	44.3	15.5	16.5
40,000 or more	100.0	45.4	27.7	26.9	100.0	25.8	42.3	17.4	14.5
Education of individual									
ess than 12 years	100.0	41.2	22.1	36.7	100.0	28.1	44.7	12.0	15.3
2 years	100.0	42.1	21.8	36.2	100.0	27.9	45.8	13.6	12.7
More than 12 years	100.0	51.5	23.4	25.1	100.0	29.5	43.6	14.4	12.5

Excludes unknown smoking status

²Excludes unknown amount smoked.

³Based on self-reported identification.

NOTE: See table 1 for population.

of men who had ever smoked) compared with 16 percent of all women (36 percent of women who had ever smoked). Among current smokers, women appeared to smoke fewer cigarettes than men. Thirty-four percent of women smoked less than 15 cigarettes a day compared with 23 percent of men, and 18 percent of men smoked 35 cigarettes or more in contrast to 9 percent of women.

Smoking practices differed for black and white persons and for Hispanic and non-Hispanic persons. A higher proportion of black persons (37 percent) currently smoked cigarettes than white persons did (32 percent), but black smokers reported smoking fewer cigarettes per day than white smokers did about one-fourth of white smokers (24 percent) smoked less than 15 cigarettes daily, and over one-half of black smokers (55 percent) smoked this amount. A smaller proportion of Hispanic persons reported currently smoking cigarettes (26 percent) than non-Hispanic respondents did (33 percent). Among smokers, Hispanic persons were twice as likely (56 percent) as non-Hispanic persons (27 percent) to smoke less than 15 cigarettes per day. (See Technical notes for further discussion of Hispanic smoking practices.)

Smoking practices were also related to age. Prevalence of cigarette smoking was fairly similar in all age groups under age 55, but smoking prevalence in the older age groups was sharply lower. Among persons aged 55–74, this lower prevalence of current smoking was associated with much larger proportions of former smokers; approximately one-third of persons in this age group were former smokers. In contrast, the low smoking prevalence in the oldest group (9 percent) is attributable to the fact that they never started smoking (65 percent).

Smoking prevalence was associated with education and to some extent with income. Persons with more than 12 years of education were the least likely to smoke (25 percent) and the most likely to have never started (52 percent) of any education group. Smoking was inversely related to income level, declining from 35 percent of persons with incomes of less than \$7,000 to 27 percent of persons with incomes of \$40,000 a year or more.

Desirable weight

Desirable weight is presented using the 1960 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (MLIC) standards of desirable body weight for height.¹⁴ The MLIC desirable weight standards are based on the mortality experience of a group of life insurance policy holders. Because persons who obtain life insurance are not representative of the general population, the appropriateness of these standards for some population subgroups is unknown.¹⁵ The 1960 MLIC standards are slightly lower than

the more recent MLIC standards, published in 1983. Thus, data presented in this report somewhat overestimate the proportion of the population that is overweight according to 1983 standards. Both MLIC and NHIS data are based on self-reported height and weight (see Technical notes for a discussion of adjustments made for reporting differences). Self-reported height and weight data produce conservative estimates of the extent of overweight in the population because heavier people tend to report lighter body weights than are obtained by actual physical measurements.¹⁶

A variety of measures of overweight status are used both within NCHS and by outside researchers.¹⁵ A major source of data on the prevalence of overweight in the U.S. population is the Second National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES II). Data released from the NHANES II on overweight status are computed using measured height and weight and are expressed in terms of body mass index (BMI), calculated as weight divided by height squared. Although not identical, the proportions of the population defined as "20 percent or more overweight" using the BMI NHANES II cut-off points and the 1960 and 1983 MLIC standards are not substantially different.¹⁵ The 1960 MLIC standards were selected for the present analysis to maintain comparability with measures of overweight used in previously published research.¹¹

Overall, about 23 percent of U.S. adults reported height and weight measurements that placed them within 5 percent of their desirable body weight, whereas 16 percent of the population was 30 percent or more above desirable weight (see table 7). The proportion of Hispanic persons and non-Hispanic persons reporting desirable weight did not differ significantly. Young people were more likely to be of desirable weight than were older people. Almost 28 percent of persons in the youngest group were within 5 percent of desirable weight in contrast to 23 percent of persons 35–44 years of age and even lower proportions in the older age groups.

Women were more likely than men to be at the extremes of the desirable weight range. Twelve percent of women in contrast to 5 percent of men were in the most underweight category, and 18 percent of women compared with 13 percent of men were in the most overweight category. The proportion of persons 30 percent or more overweight differed significantly for black and white persons. About 26 percent of black persons reported weights in this range compared with 15 percent of white persons.

Extreme overweight was also associated with both income and education. People in the lowest income and education groups were about twice as likely to be 30 percent or more overweight compared with people at the high ends of the income and education ranges.

9

Table 7. Percent distribution of persons 20 years of age and over by body weight, according to selected characteristics: United States, 1983

				Body v	weight ¹			30 percent or more above desırable weight
Characteristic	Total ²	10 percent or more below desırable weight	5–9.9 percent below desırable weight	Plus or minus 4.9 percent of desirable weight	5–9.9 percent above desirable weight	10–19.9 percent above desırable weight	20–29.9 percent above desırable weıght	
All persons 20 years								
of age and over	100.0	9.0	8.4	22.8	12.9	20.1	10.8	16.0
Sex								
Male	100.0	5.2	6.3	21.7	16.1	24.0	13.2	13.4
Female	100.0	12.4	10.2	23.8	10.1	16.6	8.6	18.3
Race ³								
White	100.0	9.2	8.4	23.0	13.2	20.4	10.8	15.0
All other	100.0	8.0	8.3	21.5	10.8	17.6	11.0	22.8
Black	100.0	7.1	7.4	19.6	10.8	17.8	11.6	25.7
Other	100.0	12.4	12.3	30.7	10.8	16.9	8.2	8.7
Hispanic origin ³								
Hispanic	100.0	6.4	6.7	23.6	10.8	20.6	13.8	18.1
Non-Hispanic	100.0	9.2	8.5	22.7	13.0	20.0	10.6	15.9
Age								
2034 years	100.0	13.3	11.5	27.6	12.6	16.5	7.8	10.7
35–44 years	100.0	7.2	8.0	22.5	13.1	21.5	11.0	16.7
45–54 years	100.0	4.9	5.7	18.1	13.1	23.1	13.3	21.9
55–64 years	100.0	4.7	5.0	18.9	12.9	23.3	13.9	21.3
65–74 years	100.0	6.6	6.2	18.1	12.3	22.0	13.3	21.5
75 years and over	100.0	12.0	8.4	21.5	15.2	20.1	11.2	11.6
income								
_ess than \$7,000	100.0	10.4	8.8	20.6	11.1	18.0	10.5	20.7
57,000-\$14,999	100.0	9.3	7.9	21.9	12.8	18.4	10.9	18.8
\$15.000-\$24,999	100.0	9.0	8.2	22.7	12.0	21.0	11.4	15.6
\$25,000-\$39,999	100.0	8.1	8.5	23.5	14.1	20.8	10.4	14.6
40,000 or more	100.0	8.9	9.8	25.0	14.1	21.7	10.0	10.5
Education of individual								
ess than 12 years	100.0	7.6	6.2	18.8	11.1	20.7	13.0	22.5
12 years	100.0	9.0	8.2	23.0	12.8	20.3	10.9	15.8
More than 12 years	100.0	10.0	10.3	25.7	14.3	19.4	9.0	11.3

¹Desirable weight based on 1960 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company standards. NHIS data are self-reported, and estimates may vary from those that would be obtained if physical measurements were taken.

²Excludes unknown height or weight. ³Based on self-reported identification.

NOTE: See table 1 for population.

Trends

Table 8 shows changes between 1977 and 1983 in the proportion of persons reporting selected unfavorable health practices, expressed as a ratio of the proportion reporting a particular practice in 1983 to the proportion reporting the same practice in 1977. Thus, ratios greater than 1.00 represent increases in the prevalence of the (unfavorable) practice over the 6-year period, and ratios of less than 1.00 represent decreases.

In general, between 1977 and 1983 there appears to have been an increase in unfavorable health practices, except for a decline of approximately 10 percent in reported rates of current smoking and a slight decrease in rates of irregular eating habits represented by snacking (3 percent). Although most of the differences were not marked, there was an increase of 10 percent or more in the prevalence rates of 4 of the 7 unfavorable practices—sleeping 6 hours or less (12 percent); being less physically active than contemporaries (12 percent); weighing 30 percent or more above desirable weight (10 percent); and drinking five or more drinks in any 1 day (28 percent). The proportion of persons who never eat breakfast increased only slightly (4 percent).

Declines in smoking prevalence between 1977 and 1983 signify a public health accomplishment and demonstrate that progress in being made toward reaching the 1990 objective of reducing smoking prevalence in the U.S. population to below 25 percent.

It should be noted that increases in the proportion of persons who reported that they are less physically active than their contemporaries could be attributed as much to changing perceptions of the norms of physical activity as to changes in individual physical activity levels. In both 1977 and 1983,

the physical activity questions required that people assess their level of activity relative to others the same age, thereby measuring their perceptions of relative activity rather than any objective, quantifiable activity level. These perceptions, by definition, incorporate both an evaluation of individuals' own physical activity levels and those of their contemporaries. With recent increased publicity encouraging exercise and physical fitness, U.S. adults may perceive higher activity levels in the general population than in earlier years, even though their own physical activity levels may have remained constant or even increased slightly. The substantial increase in the proportion of persons who reported drinking five or more drinks is difficult to interpret because of differences in question wording and context between the two survey years. In 1977, respondents were asked if they had had five or more drinks at one sitting in the past year, whereas in 1983 people were asked about having five or more drinks in any 1 day. Also, unlike the 1977 question, the 1983 question on consuming five or more drinks followed one that asked respondents whether they had had nine or more drinks in any 1 day. These and other changes in the 1983 alcohol questions allow better estimates of the alcohol

Table 8. Changes between 1977 and 1983 in the proportion of U.S. adults ages 20 years and over, reporting selected health practices: Ratio of the proportion reporting the practice in 1983 to the proportion reporting the practice in 1977

	Sleeps 6 hours or less	Never eats		Less physically			30 percent o	
Characteristic		breakfast	Snacks every day	active than contemporaries	Had 5 or more drinks on any 1 day ¹	Current smoker	more above desirable weight ²	
	Ratio of the proportion reporting practice in 1983 to the proportion reporting practice in 1977							
All persons 20 years of age and over	1.12	1.04	0.97	1.12	1.28	0.90	1.10	
Sex								
Male	1.07	1.03	0.97	1.02	1.15	0.87	1.15	
Female	1.17	1.04	0.98	1.21	1.26	0.92	1.10	
Race ³								
White	1.10	1.05	0.98	1.11	1.28	0.90	1.12	
All other	1.21	0.96	0.93	1.15	1.21	0.86	0.99	
Black	1.23	0.91	0.96	1.16	1.12	0.88	1.04	
Other	1.17	1.33	0.81	1.24	2.08	0.84	0.77	
Hispanic origin ³								
Hispanic	0.87	1.10	0.87	0.92	1.33	0.79	0.96	
Non-Hispanic	1.14	1.03	0.98	1.14	1.26	0.90	1.12	
Age								
20–34 vears	1.03	0.99	0.93	1.29	1.20	0.90	1.07	
35–44 years	1.12	0.99	0.94	1.11	1.07	0.86	1.11	
45-54 years	1 20	1.12	1.03	1,11	1.13	0.92	1.25	
55-64 years	1.23	1.10	0.99	1.00	1.15	0.88	1.09	
65–74 years	1 16	1.13	1.12	1.10	1.42	1.00	1.14	
75 years and over	1.18	0.77	1.00	1.00	1.39	0.85	0.97	
Income ⁴								
Less than \$7,000	1.11	1.23	1.02	1.02	2.08	1.06	1.08	
\$7,000-\$14,999	1.21	0.99	0.99	1.08	1.54	0.93	1.14	
\$15,000-\$24,999	1.10	1.04	0.95	1.28	1.24	0.88	1.08	
\$25,000-\$39,999	1.08	1.01	0.95	1.19	1.12	0.83	1.16	
\$40,000 or more	1.10	1.02	1.01	1.25	0.99	0.79	1.11	
Education of individual								
Less than 12 years	1.14	1.03	0.97	1.14	1.60	0.98	1.07	
12 years		1.02	0.97	1.13	1.22	0.92	1.17	
More than 12 years		1.03	0.98	1.23	1.09	0.82	1.28	

The 1977 question asked about 5 or more drinks on any one occasion in the past year, while the 1983 question asked about 5 or more drinks on any 1 day in the past year.

²Desirable weight based on 1960 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company standards NHIS data are self-reported, and estimates may vary from those that would be obtained if physical measurements were taken

³Based on self-reported identification.

The income categories for 1983 shown here differ from the 1977 categories shown in Advance Data No 64. The 1983 income categories contain roughly the same proportion of the 1983 population as the 1977 categories contained of the 1977 population. The income categories for 1977 were less than \$5,000, \$5,000-\$9,999, \$10,000-\$14,999, \$15,000-\$24,999, and \$25,000 or more

consumption habits of the American people, but limit the ability to measure changes over time.

Overall, these findings, especially with regard to alcohol use, body weight, and physical activity, suggest the need for further assessment of the progress made toward the 1990 prevention objectives and of the public health education strategies designed to accomplish those goals. Progress has been made in the area of smoking reduction, but additional gains are needed to reach the 1990 goal. The recently completed 1985 NHIS Health Promotion and Disease Prevention survey will offer an opportunity to continue to monitor advances toward the national goals.¹⁷

References

¹N.B. Belloc and L. Breslow: Relationship of physical health status and health practices. *Prev. Med.* 1(3): 409–421, 1972.

²J.A. Wiley and T.C. Camacho: Life style and future health—evidence from the Alameda County study. *Prev. Med.* 9: 1–21, 1980.

³L. Breslow and J.E. Enstrom: Persistence of health habits and their relationship to mortality. *Prev. Med.* 9: 469–483, 1980.

⁴Fogarty International Center and American College of Preventive Medicine: *Preventive Medicine*, U.S.A.—*Proceedings of a National Conference on Prevention*. New York. Prodist, 1976.

⁵Institute of Medicine: Perspectives on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention in the United States. Washington, D.C. National Academy of Sciences, 1978.

⁶Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health: Disease Prevention and Health Promotion: Federal Programs and Prospects. Report of the Departmental Task Force on Prevention. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79–55071b. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1978.

⁷Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and the Surgeon General: *Healthy People: The Surgeon General's Report on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention*. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79–55071. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979a.

⁸Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and the Surgeon General: *Healthy People: The Surgeon General's Report on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention—Background Papers*. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79–55071a. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1979b.

⁹Public Health Service: Promoting Health, Preventing Disease, Objectives for the Nation. DHHS. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980.

¹⁰Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion: Promoting health/preventing disease. Public Health Service implementation plans for attaining the objectives for the Nation. *Public Health Reports*. Supplement to the September/October issue, 1983.

¹¹National Center for Health Statistics, C.A. Schoenborn and K.M. Danchik: Health practices among U.S. adults, United States, 1977.

Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics. No. 64. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 81–1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., Nov. 4, 1980.

¹²W.B. Clark and L. Midanik: Alcohol use and alcohol problems among U.S. adults, results of the 1979 national survey, in Alcohol Consumption and Related Problems. *Alcohol and Health Monograph*. No. 1. National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism. Rockville, Md., 1982.

¹³R.J. Glynn, G.R. Bouchard, J.S. LoCastro, and N.M. Laird: Aging and generational effects on drinking behaviors in men: Results from the Normative Aging Study. *Am. J. Public Health* 75(12): 1413–1419, 1985.

¹⁴Metropolitan Life Insurance Company: Overweight, its prevention and significance. *Stat. Bull. Metropol. Life Ins. Co.* New York. Metropolitan Life Insurance Company, 1960.

¹⁵National Institutes of Health: Health implications of obesity. National Institutes of Health Consensus Conference Development Statement. Vol. 5, No. 9, Feb. 11–13, 1985.

¹⁶M. Rowland and A. White: Personal communication. Hyattsville, Md., 1986.

¹⁷National Center for Health Statistics: Provisional data from the health promotion and disease prevention supplement to the National Health Interview Survey, United States, January–March 1985. Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics. No. 113. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 86–1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., Nov. 15, 1985.

¹⁸S.G. Haynes, B. Cohen, C. Harvey, and M. McMillen: Cigarette smoking patterns among Mexican-Americans, HHANES, Southwest United States, 1982–84. Paper presented at the 113th Annual Meeting of the American Public Health Association. Washington, D.C., Nov. 1985.

¹⁹National Center for Health Statistics: Current estimates, 1983. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 10, No. 154. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 86–1582. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1986.

Technical notes

Data presented in this report were obtained from household interviews of the National Health Interview Survey (NHIS). These interviews were conducted among a probability sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population of the United States. During 1983 approximately 106,000 persons living in about 41,000 households were included in the sample. The total noninterview rate for the NHIS was about 3.3 percent, including 2.0 percent attributable to respondent refusal and 1.3 percent attributable to failure to find an eligible respondent at home after repeated calls.

Questions about health practices were asked of a one-third subsample of all persons 18 years of age and over, or approximately 22,400 persons. This report is based on data for the approximately 21,450 subsample respondents who were 20 years of age and over. Self-reporting was generally required for these questions, but proxy responses were accepted when subsample persons were physically or mentally incapable of answering the questions for themselves. Persons with unknown health practice characteristics are excluded only from the analysis for that particular health practice. Item nonresponse for the data discussed in this report ranged from 0.2 percent for snacking to 3.1 percent for desirable weight.

The measure of desirable weight used in this report was based on the 1960 Metropolitan Life Insurance Company (MLIC) standards of desirable weights for men and women that were derived from the 1959 Build and Blood Pressure Study conducted by the Society of Actuaries. These standards are published in a Metropolitan Life Insurance Company booklet entitled Overweight: Its Prevention and Significance.14 Desirable weights were shown for small, medium, and large frames for men and women. For NHIS analyses, the midpoint of the desirable weight range for the medium frame category was used as the "desirable weight" for a particular height, with certain adjustments. The MLIC standards were developed based on weight in indoor clothing and height with 1-inch heels for men and 2-inch heels for women. The NHIS asked respondents to report their height without shoes and their weight without shoes. To compensate for these differences, the MLIC standards were adjusted by subtracting 2 pounds from the midpoint of the medium frame category for both sexes, and subtracting 1 inch from the height for men and 2 inches from the height for women.

Estimates for the white, black, and other populations, shown in table 1 of the text, are based on self-reported racial identifications. The Hispanic classification is also based on self-reporting. For detailed definitions of other sociodemographic terms used here, see appendix II in most Series 10 reports in the *Vital and Health Statistics* series. In accordance with new Government guidelines, the race and Hispanic origin designations shown in this report differ from those presented in the earlier *Advance Data* report on health practices of Standard errors, expressed in percentage points, of estimated percents, NHIS Alcohol and Health Practices Survey, 1983

Base of		Estimated percent						
percent in thousands	2 or 98	5 or 95	10 or 90	20 or 80	50			
50	5.5	8.5	11.7	15.6	19.5			
70	4.6	7.2	9.9	13.2	16.5			
100	3.9	6.0	8.3	11.0	13.8			
300	2.2	3.5	4.8	6.4	8.0			
500	1.7	2.7	3.7	4.9	6.2			
700	1.5	2.3	3.1	4.2	5.2			
1,000	1.2	1.9	2.6	3.5	4.4			
5.000	0.5	0.8	1.2	1.6	1.9			
10,000	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.1	1.4			
20,000	0.3	0.4	0.6	0.8	1.0			
30.000	0.2	0.3	0.5	0.6	0.8			
50,000	0.2	0.3	0.4	0.5	0.6			
100.000	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.3	0.4			

the U.S. population.¹¹ To calculate the ratios shown in table 8 of this report, the 1977 data were recomputed with race and Hispanic origin designations that resembled, as closely as possible, those shown here for the 1983 population.

Findings presented in this report regarding the smoking practices of Hispanic persons differ somewhat from findings presented elsewhere¹⁸ for Mexican-Americans living in the Southwest United States, collected in the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (HHANES). HHANES data showed a higher prevalence of current smoking among Mexican-American men and a lower prevalence among Mexican-American women than did unpublished sex-specific data from the 1983 NHIS for persons of Hispanic origin. Possible explanations for these discrepancies could include differences in sample design or interviewing procedures, cultural differences between Mexican-Americans and other Hispanic groups (NHIS includes all Hispanic groups), regional differences in smoking behavior, or differences in the age or educational composition of the two Hispanic samples. Analysis of these methodological issues is beyond the scope of this report.

Because the estimates shown in this report are based on a sample of the population rather than on the entire population, they are subject to sampling error. Standard errors appropriate for estimated percents of persons are shown in the table above. The statistics shown and discussed here are simple prevalence estimates, unadjusted for age or other sociodemographic characteristics.

To better understand the limitations of the estimates presented in this report, data users are encouraged to familiarize themselves with the survey design, the methods used in estimation, and the general qualifications of the data, which are described in appendix I of the 1983 Current Estimates report.¹⁹ Definitions of certain terms used in this report, but not specifically addressed in this section, and the questionnaire and flashcards used during 1983 are presented in appendixes II and III of that report.

NOTE: See list of references at end of text.



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 119 • May 14, 1986

Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Provisional Data from the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January–June 1985

by Owen T. Thornberry, Ph.D., Division of Health Interview Statistics, Ronald W. Wilson, M.A., and Patricia Golden, M.P.H., Division of Epidemiology and Health Promotion

The National Center for Health Statistics included the topic of health promotion and disease prevention as part of the 1985 National Health Interview Survey. This report presents provisional findings from the first 6 months of data collection on that topic. Provisional findings from the first 3 months of data collection have been previously published.¹

The 1985 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Survey was designed to monitor progress toward one of the major initiatives of the Department of Health and Human Services. This initiative is described in the 1979 Surgeon General's Report on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, *Healthy People.*² In that report, broad goals were established for the improvement of the health of Americans. The 1980 Public Health Service report, *Promoting Health/Preventing Disease: Objectives for the Nation,*³ details specific objectives necessary for attainment of those goals in each of 15 priority areas. The target date for achieving the objectives is 1990. This 1985 questionnaire will be used for data collection again in 1990 for the purpose of monitoring progress achieved in the intervening 5 years.

The 1985 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Survey is devoted primarily to the collection of baseline data on the following topics: general health (including nutrition), injury control and child health, high blood pressure, stress, exercise, smoking, alcohol use, dental care, and occupational safety and health. Those topics were selected after consultation with the Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (Assistant Secretary for Health) as well as with the agencies designated by the Assistant Secretary for Health as having "lead" responsibility for implementing and monitoring progress toward achieving the 1990 objectives. Within each agency, subject matter experts also were consulted during the development of the questionnaire.

The report presents estimated percents or percent distributions for all persons 18 years of age and over and for four age groups and both sexes. Generally, except for the questions on knowledge of health practices where "don't know" is a legitimate response, "don't know" and other inappropriate responses were excluded from the denominator in the calculation of the estimates. In most cases, the actual question asked of the respondent is shown along with the response categories. In a few cases, there has been minor paraphrasing or combining of questions. Each question is referenced to the item number on the questionnaire.

In general, the items in the questionnaire are about either individual health behaviors or knowledge of health practices. Most of the questions on knowledge of health practices have answers that are currently presumed correct and are indicated in bold type (question U.4 has 2 correct answers). For some questions, references are provided for selected publications that present related data from previous data collection by the National Center for Health Statistics.

¹National Center for Health Statistics, Provisional Data from the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Supplement to the National Health Interview Survey: United States, January-March 1985, Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics. No. 113. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 86-1250. Public Health Service, Hyattsville, Md., Nov. 15, 1985.

²Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health and Surgeon General: Healthy People-The Surgeon General's Report on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention-Background Papers, 1979. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-55071A. ³U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service: Promoting Health/Preventing Disease: Objectives for the Nation. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, 1980.

Selected findings

- General health habits: Most adults eat breakfast almost every day (55 percent), get 7-8 hours of sleep each night (66 percent), and have a usual place to go for health care (77 percent).
- Weight: Males are more likely to consider their weight "just about right" than are females (55 percent versus 42 percent). However, about one-quarter of males and onehalf of females are trying to lose weight, largely by eating fewer calories and/or increasing physical activity.
- Preventive exams for females: About one-half of females have had a Pap smear test (45 percent) and/or breast examination (50 percent) by a health professional within less than 1 year. The majority of women (88 percent) know how to examine their own breasts for lumps, but only one-third do so more than 6 times a year.
- Seat belts: Only about one-third of adults wear seat belts most of the time when in an automobile. Almost one-half of the adults with children under 5 years of age have been advised by health professionals about the importance of using child safety seats.
- Home safety: About two-fifths of homes do not have a working smoke detector. Most adults do not know the temperature of the hot water in the home (65 percent), and most adults do not know the temperature above which scald injuries will occur.
- High blood pressure: Three-quarters (74 percent) of adults have had their blood pressure taken by health professionals within the past year. Women are more likely than men to have their blood pressure taken and persons over 65 years more likely than persons in other age groups. The large majority of adults (80 percent or higher) are aware of three of the four principal risk factors associated with heart disease (smoking, cholesterol, and high blood pressure). Only about 60 percent are aware that diabetes is also a principal risk factor.
- Stress: One-half of adults reported experiencing at least a moderate amount of stress during the 2 weeks preceding the interview, with the lowest percent for the elderly (27 percent). In addition, almost one-half of the adults felt that stress had had some effect on their health in the past year.

- *Exercise:* Less than one-half (42 percent) of the adult population exercise on a regular basis and only one-quarter have done so for 5 or more years. A higher percent of adults in the younger than the older age groups engage in regular exercise. However, over four-fifths of adults consider themselves as active or more active than other persons of the same age. The majority are not knowledgeable regarding the specific requirements for exercise to strengthen the heart and lungs (frequency and duration of exercise and heart and breathing rate during exercise).
- Cigarette smoking: Although a continuation of the downward trend in cigarette smoking has been occurring since the 1964 Surgeon General's Report on Smoking and Health, almost one-third of adults still smoke (33 percent for males and 28 percent for females). The majority of adults (80 percent or higher) are aware of the major conditions associated with smoking (emphysema, chronic bronchitis, and cancer of the lung, larynx, and esophagus). The exception is bladder cancer, which only about one-third associate with smoking.
- Alcohol use: With the exception of cirrhosis of the liver, the adult population appears less knowledgeable about the effects of alcohol on health than the effects of smoking. Whereas 95 percent felt that heavy alcohol consumption increased the chances of getting cirrhosis of the liver, only about one-third recognized the association between heavy alcohol use and cancers of the throat (39 percent) and mouth (31 percent). Eight percent of adults were classified as heavier drinkers (2 or more drinks per day), 21 percent as moderate drinkers, and 24 percent as lighter drinkers (3 drinks or less per week). Twelve percent of adults admitted to driving at least once in the last year when they perhaps had had too much to drink and almost a quarter of young adults admitted to doing so.
- Dental care: Almost all persons are knowledgeable about the major preventive factors relative to tooth decay and gum disease. However, only 57 percent recognize tooth decay as the main cause of tooth loss in children and only 54 percent view gum disease as the main cause of tooth loss in adults.

Symbols

- ... Category not applicable
- 0 Quantity more than zero but 0.5 or less

3

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
				Percer	t of po	pulation		
	Total	100	100	100	100	100	100	100
	GENERAL HEALTH HABITS							
N.1.	How often do you eat breakfast?1 Almost every day Sometimes Rarely or never	55 20 25	41 27 32	46 23 31	62 17 21	87 6 8	54 20 26	56 19 24
N.2.	Including evening snacks, how often do you eat between meals?1 Almost every day Sometimes Rarely or never	39 32 29	42 37 21	41 33 26	37 29 34	30 26 44	40 29 30	37 34 29
N.3.	When you visit a doctor or other health professional for routine care, is eating proper foods discussed? Often	10 16 64 10	8 17 65 10	8 16 67 9	13 16 61 10	11 17 63 9	8 14 64 14	11 18 65 6
N.5.	In your opinion which of these are the two best ways to lose weight? Don't eat at bedtime Eat fewer calories Take diet pills Increase physical activity Eat no fat Eat grapefruit with each meal Don't know.	29 74 2 73 11 4 7	29 70 2 83 7 4 4	26 76 2 81 7 3 5	30 77 1 67 12 5 8	31 72 1 53 20 6 17	31 69 2 74 12 5 9	27 79 2 73 10 4 5
N.6.	Are you now trying to lose weight? ² (Yes)	37	35	42	41	26	27	46
N.7.	Are you eating fewer calories to lose weight? ² (Persons trying to lose weight (yes) in N.6) (Yes)	82	77	83	86	81	77	85
N.8.	Have you increased your physical activity to lose weight? ² (Persons trying to lose weight (yes) in N.6) (Yes)	60	74	63	51	40	62	59
N.9.	Do you consider yourself overweight, underweight, or just about right? (If overweight) Would you say you are very overweight, somewhat overweight, or only a little overweight?2,3 Very overweight Somewhat overweight Only a little overweight About right Underweight	8 17 21 48 6	5 13 18 56 8	9 19 22 45 4	11 21 22 41 4	6 14 20 52 7	3 13 20 55 8	12 21 22 42 4
N.10.	On the average, how many hours of sleep do you get in a 24-hour period? ¹ Less than 7 hours 7-8 hours 9 or more hours	22 66 12	21 65 14	25 68 7	22 68 10	21 58 21	23 66 11	22 65 13
N.11.	Is there a particular clinic, health center, doctor's office, or other place that you usually go to if you are sick or need advice about your health? ⁴ (Yes)	77	69	75	82	87	70	83
1.15.	About how long has it been since you had a Pap smear test? ⁵ (Females only) Less than 1 year 2 years	45 17 10 8 12 7	61 16 7 4 2 11	50 22 11 9 8 2	36 17 13 10 19 4	24 13 12 10 25 15	· · · · · · · · · · ·	45 17 10 8 12 7

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	All ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	GENERAL HEALTH HABITSCon.			Percer	t of po	pulation		<u> </u>
N.16a.	About how long has it been since you had a breast examination by a doctor or other health professional? ⁵ (Females only) Less than 1 year 1 year 2 years. 3-4 years. 5 or more years. Never.	50 18 10 7 8 7	61 16 7 4 2 9	51 21 10 8 7 2	44 17 12 9 13 6	38 14 11 8 14 15	· · · · · · · · · ·	50 18 10 7 8 7
N.16b.	Do you know how to examine your own breasts for lumps? (Females only) (Yes)	88	87	92	90	79		88
N.16c.	About how many times a year do you examine your own breasts for lumps? (Females only) 12 or more times	32 2 35 4 14 12	27 2 37 5 16 13	34 3 39 5 10 8	37 2 33 4 13 10	30 2 25 3 16 21	· · · · · · · · · ·	32 2 35 4 14 12
0.1a.	INJURY CONTROL AND CHILD SAFETY AND HEALTH Have you ever heard about Poison Control Centers? (Persons in families with children under 10 years of age) (Yes)	89	88	92	80	64	87	91
0.15.	Do you have the telephone number for a Poison Control Center in your area? (Persons in families with children under 10 years of age) (Yes)	60	56	67	45	21	58	62
0.3.	Have you heard about child safety seats, sometimes called car safety carriers, which are designed to carry children while they are riding in a car? (Persons in families with children under 5 years of age) (Yes)	99	99	99	96	95	98	99
0.4.	Did a doctor or other health professional ever tell you about the importance of using car safety seats for your children? (Persons in families with children under 5 years of age) (Yes)	47	51	44	36	29	40	52
0.10.	When driving or riding in a car, do you wear a seat belt ³ All or most of the time Some of the time Once in awhile Never Don't ride in car	32 17 15 35 1	31 18 16 34 0	36 18 14 32 0	30 17 14 37 1	29 15 14 41 2	31 16 15 37 1	33 18 14 34 1
	Does this home have any working smoke detectors? (Based on Items 0.11ac.) (Yes)	59	57	64	59	54	59	59
).12a.	Do you know about what the hot water temperature is in this home? (Yes)	35	24	39	43	33	46	25
0.13.	In the past 12 months, have you (or has anyone in your household) used a thermometer to test the temperature of the hot water here? (Yes)	4	3	5	4	3	4	3
).14.	Above what temperature will hot water cause scald injuries? 127 degrees or less	14 2 20 63	20 3 15 62	17 3 20 60	10 2 25 62	5 1 19 74	17 4 30 50	12 1 11 75

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	HIGH BLOOD PRESSURE			Percer	it of po	pulation		
P.1.	I am going to read a list of things which may or may not affect a person's chances of getting heart disease. After I read each one, tell me if you think it definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting heart disease.							
	Cigarette smoking Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	91 67 24 4 3 1 6	94 70 24 3 2 1 3	93 71 23 3 2 1 4	89 65 24 4 3 1 7	83 59 24 5 3 2 12	90 67 24 3 1 6	91 67 24 3 2 1 6
	Worry or anxiety Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	85 38 46 8 6 2 7	82 31 51 12 9 3 6	88 42 45 8 6 1 5	86 43 43 7 5 2 7	80 37 43 7 5 2 14	83 37 46 9 7 2 8	86 39 46 8 6 2 7
	High blood pressure Increases Definitely increases Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion	92 69 23 3 2 1 6	93 70 24 3 2 1 4	94 73 21 2 2 1 4	92 69 23 3 2 1 5	84 58 26 4 2 1 13	92 68 23 3 2 1 5	92 69 23 2 1 6
	Diabetes Increases Definitely increases Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	60 31 30 10 7 3 29	66 32 34 10 8 3 24	64 34 31 11 8 3 25	58 31 27 10 7 3 32	48 24 24 9 6 3 43	60 31 29 10 7 3 29	60 30 10 7 3 29
	Being very overweight Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Does not increase Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	94 70 23 1 1 4	95 73 22 2 2 1 3	96 74 22 1 1 2	94 71 24 2 1 1 4	87 60 27 3 2 1 10	93 69 24 2 1 1 4	94 71 23 2 1 1
	Overwork Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	71 31 40 19 13 6 9	77 32 46 16 12 4 6	78 34 44 15 11 4 7	66 30 36 24 16 8 10	57 25 32 24 15 9 19	68 28 40 23 15 7 9	74 33 41 16 11 5 9
	Drinking coffee with caffeine Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	50 12 38 30 23 7 20	53 11 42 31 25 6 16	53 12 41 29 24 5 18	50 13 36 30 21 8 21	41 11 30 28 18 10 31	47 11 37 33 25 8 20	53 13 40 27 21 6 20

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	HIGH BLOOD PRESSURECon.			Percer	t of po	pulation		
P.1.	I am going to read a list of things which may or may not affect a person's chances of getting heart disease. After I read each one, tell me if you think it definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting heart diseaseCon.							
	Eating a diet high in animal fat Increases	80 41 39 8 6 2 12	77 36 41 10 8 2 12	82 42 40 7 6 2 10	83 44 39 6 5 2 11	76 40 36 6 4 3 18	77 37 40 10 7 2 13	82 44 39 6 5 1 12
	Family history of heart disease Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	83 49 34 5 3 9	86 48 39 7 4 2 7	86 54 33 7 4 3 7	83 51 32 5 3 9	70 39 31 11 6 5 19	80 45 35 10 6 4 10	86 53 33 6 3 2 9
	High cholesterol Increases Definitely increases Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	87 54 33 4 3 1 9	89 52 37 5 4 1 6	89 56 33 4 2 1 7	87 57 30 4 2 1 9	76 47 29 5 3 2 18	85 51 34 5 3 2 9	88 56 32 3 2 1 9
P.2.	The following conditions are related to having a stroke. In your opinion, which of these conditions most increases a person's chances of having a stroke? Diabetes	4 77 12 6	6 74 15 5	4 80 12 5	3 80 10 7	4 75 9 12	5 75 14 7	4 79 11 6
P.3.	Which one of the following substances in food is most often associated with high blood pressure? Sodium (or salt) Cholesterol. Sugar Don't know.	59 25 9 8	57 27 11 5	64 23 7 6	60 24 7 9	49 24 12 15	56 25 11 8	61 25 7 8
9.12a.	About how long has it been since you last had your blood pressure taken by a doctor or other health professional?2,3 Less than 6 months	56 18 14 13	50 21 15 13	49 20 16 14	59 15 13 13	72 13 7 8	50 17 16 16	61 18 12 10
P.12b.		70	67	71	71	68	72	68
P.14.	Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health			3				5

See footnotes at end of table.

.

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section	1			Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	STRESS			Percer	t of po	pulation		
Q.1.	During the past 2 weeks, would you say that you experienced a lot of stress, a moderate amount of stress, relatively little stress, or almost no stress at all? A lot of stress A moderate amount of stress. Relatively little stress	20 31 22 25 2	23 34 24 18 1	24 38 20 17 1	18 30 22 28 2	11 16 21 46 5	18 33 22 26 2	22 30 22 24 2
Q.2.	In the past year, how much effect has stress had on your health? A lot Some Hardly any or none Don't know what stress is	13 31 54 2	12 34 53 1	15 34 49 1	15 28 55 2	9 21 65 5	10 29 60 2	17 32 49 2
Q.3a.	In the past year, did you think about seeking help for any personal or emotional problems from family or friends? (Yes)	16	23	21	10	4	12	20
Q.3b.	In the past year, did you think about seeking help for any personal or emotional problems from a helping professional or a self-help group? (Yes)	12	14	17	9	4	9	14
Q.4.	Did you actually seek any help? (Yes) From whom did you seek help? Family or friends Professional or self help group	8 7	12 7	9 11	4 6	2 3	5 5	10 9
R.2a.	EXERCISE In the past 2 weeks, have you done any of the following exercises, sports, or physically active hobbies ⁶ Walking for exerciseJogging or running Calisthenics or general exercise Biking Swimming or water exercises	43 12 25 11 9	46 24 38 16 15	42 13 28 13 10	43 4 17 8 5	42 1 11 5 2	39 15 25 11 10	47 9 25 11 8
R.3.	Do you exercise or play sports regularly? (Yes)	42	55	45	32	28	44	39
R.4.	For how long have you exercised or played sports regularly? Less than 1 year. 1-2 years. 3-4 years. 5 or more years. Do not exercise regularly.	6 6 3 26 58	8 7 4 35 45	6 7 4 26 55	4 5 3 19 68	2 4 3 18 72	3 5 3 32 56	8 7 4 20 61
R.5a.	Would you say that you are physically more active, less active, or about as active as other persons your age? ^{1,3} Is that (a lot more or a little more/a lot less or a little less) active? A lot more A little more About as active. A lot less A little less.	18 15 49 6 12	16 14 50 5 15	17 16 47 5 14	18 15 49 8 9	22 17 47 7 7	21 17 47 5 10	15 14 50 7 14
R.7a.	How many days a week do you think a person should exercise to strengthen the heart and lungs? Less than 3 days	6 40 38 16	8 52 34 6	6 50 34 10	4 31 44 21	3 16 46 35	6 41 37 15	5 39 39 16
₹.7Ь.	For how many minutes do you think a person should exercise on each occasion so that the heart and lungs are strengthened? Less than 15 minutes. 15 to 25 minutes. More than 25 minutes. Don't know.	6 23 52 19	4 22 67 8	4 26 58 12	7 24 43 26	9 20 27 44	5 21 55 19	7 25 49 20

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	All ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	EXERCISECon.			Percer	nt of po	pulation		
R.7c.	During those (<u>number in 7b</u>) minutes, how fast do you think a person's heart rate and breathing should be to strengthen the heart and lungs? Do you think that the heart and breathing rate should be No faster than usual	3 45 35 1 16	2 46 44 1 6	3 42 45 1 10	3 45 29 1 22	7 45 11 0 36	3 44 37 1 15	4 46 33 1 16
	SMOK ING							
	Cigarette smoking status (Based on Items S.1-3) Never Former Current (Includes unknown amount smoked) Less than 15 15-24	45 24 31 10 13 8	55 13 32 12 14 5	41 24 35 9 13 12	37 30 33 9 14 10	49 35 16 6 7 3	35 31 33 9 13 10	54 18 28 10 12 6
S.3.	On the average, about how many cigarettes a day do you now smoke? ⁷ (Current smokers) Less than 15 15-24 25 and over	32 41 27	40 44 17	27 39 34	28 41 30	38 45 17	28 41 31	37 42 21
S.4.	Tell me if you think cigarette smoking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems? Emphysema Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Definitely does not increase.	91 74 17 2 1 1 7	90 71 19 3 2 1 7	94 78 16 2 1 0 4	92 75 17 2 1 1 6	87 71 16 2 1 1 10	91 71 19 3 2 1 7	92 77 15 2 1 1 7
	Don't know/No opinion Bladder cancer Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	35 12 23 25 17 8 40	42 13 29 29 22 7 29	33 11 22 30 21 9 37	34 13 21 13 13 8 45	31 11 19 14 8 5 56	36 13 23 25 17 8 39	34 11 24 25 18 8 40
	Cancer of the larynx or voice box Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	88 56 31 3 2 1 9	91 61 30 4 3 1 5	92 60 32 2 1 6	86 54 33 2 1 11	76 47 30 4 2 2 20	87 54 32 4 3 1 9	89 58 30 3 2 1 9
	Cataracts Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	15 4 11 42 21 21 43	20 5 15 46 27 19 34	14 3 10 48 23 25 38	13 9 38 17 21 50	11 3 8 29 13 16 60	16 4 12 42 21 21 42	14 3 11 41 21 21 44

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	SMOKINGCon.			Perce	nt of po	opulation		
S.4.	Tell me if you think cigarette smoking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems?Con.							
	Cancer of the esophagus Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	80 43 37 6 4 2 14	84 46 38 6 5 1 10	83 46 37 6 4 2 11	77 40 37 6 4 3 16	69 36 33 6 3 25	78 42 37 7 5 2 15	81 44 37 5 3 2 14
	Chronic bronchitis Increases Definitely increases Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	86 54 32 5 3 2 9	89 57 33 5 3 1 6	89 58 31 4 3 1 6	85 53 33 5 3 2 10	76 44 32 5 3 2 19	85 52 33 5 4 2 10	87 56 31 4 2 2 9
	Gallstones Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase . Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	11 3 45 22 24 44	15 3 12 50 27 22 35	9 2 7 52 24 27 39	9 2 7 42 18 24 49	9 3 6 32 13 20 59	11 3 47 22 25 42	11 2 8 44 21 23 45
	Lung cancer Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	95 80 15 2 1 1	98 87 11 1 1 0 2	97 82 15 1 1 1 2	93 75 17 2 1 1 5	88 72 16 2 1 1 9	94 78 16 2 1 1	95 82 13 1 1 1
S.4.	Does cigarette smoking during pregnancy definitely increase, probably increase, probably not or definitely not increase the chances of(Persons under 45 years of age)					-		
	Miscarriage Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	73 34 40 13 10 3 14	77 36 41 12 9 3 11	70 31 38 13 10 3 17	· · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · • · · • · ·	73 33 40 10 9 2 17	74 35 39 15 10 4 11
	Stillbirth Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	65 27 37 15 11 4 20	69 29 40 14 11 3 17	60 25 35 17 12 5 23	· · · · · · · · · ·	···· ··· ···	63 26 37 13 11 3 23	66 29 37 17 12 5 17
	Premature birth Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	70 32 38 13 9 3 17	74 34 40 12 9 3 15	66 29 37 14 10 4 20	···· ···· ····	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	65 26 39 13 10 3 22	75 37 38 12 9 4 13

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	SMOK INGCon.	<u></u>		Perce	nt of po	opulation		
S.4 <i>.</i>	Does cigarette smoking during pregnancy definitely increase, probably increase, probably not or definitely not increase the chances of(Persons under 45 years of age)Con.					,		
	Low birth weight of the newborn Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Does not increase Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	80 45 35 7 5 2 13	83 47 36 7 5 2 11	78 43 35 8 5 2 15	···· ··· ···	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	75 36 39 8 6 2 17	85 52 33 7 4 2 8
S.5a.	If a woman takes birth control pills, is she more likely to have a stroke if she smokes than if she does not smoke? (Persons under 45 years of age) More likely Not likely Don't know.	64 6 30	65 7 28	62 6 32	 	•••• •••	54 7 39	73 6 21
T.1c.	ALCOHOL USE Have you had at least one drink of beer, wine or liquor during the past year? ¹ (Yes)	67	76	75	63	43	77	58
T.2.	In the past 2 weeks, on how many days did you drink any alcoholic beverages, such as beer, wine, or liquor?1,3 Did not drink in past year None	33 14 33 9 11	24 14 43 12 7	25 15 38 11 11	37 14 27 7 13	57 12 15 4 11	23 13 35 13 15	42 15 31 6 6
r.3.	In the past 2 weeks, on the days that you drank alcoholic beverages, how many drinks did you have per day, on the average?1,3 Did not drink in past year None 1 drink. 2 drinks. 3-4 drinks 5 or more drinks.	33 14 16 16 13 7	24 14 12 18 19 12	25 15 18 19 14 7	37 14 18 16 9 5	57 12 16 9 4 2	23 13 16 19 17 12	42 15 16 14 9 3
	Drinking Index (2-week daily drinking, based on items T.1-3) ⁷ Did not drink in past year None Light (.01 to .21 ounce absolute alcohol) Moderate (.22 to .99 ounce absolute alcohol) Heavier (1.00 ounces or more absolute alcohol)	33 14 24 21 8	24 14 27 27 8	25 15 29 23 8	37 14 23 17 8	57 12 14 11 6	23 13 24 27 13	42 15 25 15 3
.6.	During the past 12 months, on how many days did you have 9 or more drinks of any alcoholic beverage? 1 or more days	13 7	25 13	14 7	7 4	2 1	22 13	5 2
.7.	During the past 12 months, on how many days did you have 5 or more drinks of any alcoholic beverage? ¹ 1 or more days 10 or more days	26 14	43 24	30 15	16 8	5 3	39 23	15 5
.8.	During the past year, how many times did you drive when you had perhaps too much to drink? 1 time	4	7	5	1	0		2

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section		Age						Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	All ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	ALCOHOL USECon.			Perce	nt of p	opulation		
Т.9.	Tell me if you think heavy alcohol drinking definitely increases, probably increases, probably does not, or definitely does not increase a person's chances of getting the following problems?							
	Throat cancer Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	39 14 25 34 23 11 27	38 11 27 42 29 12 20	36 12 24 40 27 14 24	40 16 24 30 19 10 30	45 21 25 18 11 6 37	37 13 24 39 26 13 24	41 15 25 31 21 10 29
	Cirrhosis of the liver Increases Definitely increases Probably increases. Does not increase Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	95 80 15 1 1 0 4	96 80 16 1 1 0 3	97 83 13 1 0 0 2	95 80 14 1 0 0 4	89 72 17 1 1 0 10	94 78 17 1 1 0 4	95 82 13 1 0 0 4
	Bladder cancer Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	66 28 39 11 8 3 23	73 30 43 12 9 3 15	67 27 40 13 10 3 19	63 27 36 12 8 4 25	57 26 31 7 4 2 36	67 28 39 12 9 3 21	66 27 38 11 8 3 24
	Cancer of the mouth Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	31 10 21 37 24 13 32	30 7 23 45 31 15 25	28 9 19 43 28 15 29	32 12 20 31 19 12 36	38 16 22 19 12 8 43	28 9 19 42 27 15 30	34 12 22 32 21 11 34
	Arthritis Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	14 3 10 46 24 22 40	15 3 12 54 31 23 31	13 3 10 51 26 24 37	14 4 10 41 20 21 46	14 9 32 13 18 55	14 4 10 48 25 23 38	14 3 10 44 23 21 42
	Blood clots Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	34 9 25 31 18 13 35	45 11 34 30 20 10 25	33 9 24 36 22 15 31	28 9 20 31 16 14 41	27 9 18 21 10 11 52	33 9 24 35 20 15 32	35 10 25 27 17 11 38
	Does heavy drinking during pregnancy definitely increase, probably increase, probably not or definitely not increase the chances of(Persons under 45 years of age)							
	Miscarriage Increases. Definitely increases. Probably increases. Does not increase. Probably does not increase. Definitely does not increase. Don't know/No opinion.	86 47 38 4 1 10	89 53 36 4 3 1 7	82 41 41 5 4 1 13	· · · · · · · · · ·	···· ··· ··· ···	84 44 40 4 3 1 12	87 51 36 5 4 1 8

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	ALCOHOL USECon.			Perce	nt of p	opulation		
Т.9.	Does heavy drinking during pregnancy definitely increase, probably increase, probably not or definitely not increase the chances of(Persons under 45 years of age)Con.							
	Mental retardation of the newborn Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Does not increase Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	84 46 38 5 4 1 11	88 53 35 4 3 1 8	80 40 41 6 5 2 13	···· ··· ···	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	81 40 40 5 1 13	87 51 36 4 3 1 9
	Low birth weight of the newborn Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	85 46 39 4 3 1 11	87 50 37 4 3 1 9	83 42 41 4 3 1 13	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · ·	81 39 41 5 4 1 14	89 52 37 3 2 1 8
	Birth defects Increases Definitely increases Probably increases Probably does not increase Probably does not increase Definitely does not increase Don't know/No opinion	85 48 37 5 4 1 10	89 54 35 4 3 1 7	81 41 40 6 5 1 13	···· ··· ···	···· ··· ···	82 41 40 6 5 1 13	88 54 34 3 1 8
T.10.	Have you ever heard of Fetal Alcohol Syndrome? (Persons under 45 years of age) (Yes)	57	54	60			51	63
	DENTAL CARE							
U.1.	This next question is about preventing tooth decay. After I read each of the following, tell me if you think it is definitely important, probably important, probably not, or definitely not important in preventing tooth decay. Seeing a dentist regularly Important Definitely important Not important Probably not important Definitely not important	96 83 12 2 2 1	97 84 13 2 2 0	97 85 12 2 1 0	95 84 12 3 2 1	93 79 14 2 2 1	95 79 15 3 2 1	97 87 10 1 1 0
	Don't know/No opinion Drinking water with fluoride from early childhood Important Definitely important Probably important Not important Probably not important Definitely not important Don't know/No opinion	2 79 45 35 8 6 3 13	1 85 47 38 9 7 2 6	1 86 52 34 7 5 2 7	2 76 43 33 8 5 3 16	5 30 32 8 5 3 30	2 78 42 36 10 6 3 12	2 80 47 33 7 5 2 13
	Regular brushing and flossing of the teeth Important Definitely important Probably important Not important Probably not important Definitely not important Don't know/No opinion	98 90 8 1 0 2	99 93 6 1 0 0	99 93 6 0 0 1	97 88 9 1 0 2	95 81 14 1 0 4	97 88 10 1 0 0 2	98 91 7 0 0 1

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	A11 ages	18-29 years	30-44 years		65 years and over	Male	Female
	DENTAL CARECon.			Perce	nt of p	opulation		
U.1.	This next question is about preventing tooth decay. After I read each of the following, tell me if you think it is definitely important, probably important, probably not, or definitely not important in preventing tooth decayCon.							
	Using fluoride toothpaste or fluoride mouth rinse Important Definitely important Probably important Not important Probably not important Definitely not important Don't know/No opinion	90 61 29 4 3 1 7	96 74 22 2 2 1 2	94 66 28 3 3 1 3	86 53 34 5 4 2 8	76 43 33 5 3 2 19	89 58 31 4 3 1 7	90 63 27 3 2 1 6
	Avoiding between-meal sweets Important Definitely important Probably important Not important Probably not important Definitely not important Don't know/No opinion	89 59 30 6 5 2 4	90 57 33 8 7 1 2	92 63 29 5 4 1 2	90 61 28 6 4 2 5	83 54 29 6 5 2 11	88 56 32 8 6 2 4	91 62 28 5 4 1 4
U.2.	Now I'm going to ask about preventing gum disease. In your opinion, how important or not important is each of the following in preventing gum disease?							
	Seeing a dentist regularly Important. Definitely important. Probably important. Not important. Probably not important. Definitely not important. Don't know/No opinion.	95 83 12 2 2 1 3	97 85 12 2 2 0 1	97 85 11 2 1 1 1	94 83 11 2 2 1 3	91 76 15 2 1 1 7	94 79 15 3 2 1 3	96 87 10 1 1 0 2
	Drinking water with fluoride from early childhood Important. Definitely important. Probably important. Not important. Probably not important. Definitely not important. Don't know/No opinion.	65 33 32 17 12 5 18	74 37 37 17 13 4 9	67 34 33 20 15 5 13	62 31 30 16 11 5 23	53 25 28 11 7 4 36	63 30 33 19 14 5 18	67 35 32 15 11 4 18
	Regular brushing and flossing of the teeth Important Definitely important Probably important Not important. Probably not important Definitely not important Don't know/No opinion	96 83 13 1 1 0 3	98 87 11 1 1 0 1	98 87 10 1 1 0 2	95 82 14 1 1 1	91 73 18 2 1 0 7	96 81 15 1 1 0 3	96 86 10 1 1 0 3
	Using fluoride toothpaste or fluoride mouth rinse Important Definitely important. Probably important. Not important. Probably not important. Definitely not important. Definitely not important. Don't know/No opinion.	78 47 31 12 9 3 11	86 57 29 9 7 2 5	77 46 31 15 12 4 7	73 42 31 12 9 3 15	70 38 32 8 5 3 22	75 44 31 14 10 4 11	80 50 30 10 7 3 10
	Avoiding between-meal sweets Important. Definitely important. Probably important. Not important. Probably not important. Definitely not important. Don't know/No opinion.	81 50 31 11 9 3 7	84 48 35 13 10 3 4	82 50 32 13 10 3 5	80 52 28 11 7 3 9	77 48 29 8 5 3 15	79 46 33 13 10 3 8	83 53 30 10 7 3 7

Table 1. Provisional estimates of the percent of population with selected behaviors and knowledge from the 1985 National Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention, by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985--Con.

(Data are based on household interviews of the civilian noninstitutionalized population. The survey design, general qualifications, and information on the reliability of the estimates are given in technical notes.)

Section				Age				Sex
and item number	Health behaviors and knowledge	All ages	18-29 years	30-44 years	45-64 years	65 years and over	Male	Female
	DENTAL CARECon.			Perce	nt of po	opulation		
U.3.	In your opinion, which of the following is the main cause of tooth loss in children? Tooth decay Gum disease Injury to the teeth Don't know	57 9 30 4	55 9 34 1	56 8 35 2	60 9 26	61 9 17 13	55 10 30	60 7 29
U.4.	In your opinion, which of the following is the main cause of tooth loss in adults? Tooth decay	40 54 3 3	42 53 4 1	35 62 2 1	40 54 2 4	13 44 43 3 10	4 42 52 3 3	4 38 56 2 3
U.5a.	Have you ever heard of dental sealants? (Yes)	23	19	31	22	14	22	23
	OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH							
V.1a.	In your present job, are you exposed to any substances that could endanger your health, such as chemicals, dusts, fumes or gases? ³ (Currently employed persons) (Yes)	35	36	36	33	18	44	23
V.2a.	In your present job, are you exposed to any work conditions that could endanger your health, such as loud noise, extreme heat or cold, physical or mental stress, or radiation? ³ (Currently employed persons) (Yes)	36	37	41	33	13	42	29
V.3a.	In your present job are you exposed to any risks of accidents or injuries? ³ (Currently employed persons) (Yes)	41	45	40	38	27	52	27

¹National Center for Health Statistics, C. A. Schoenborn, and K. M. Danchik: Health Practices Among Adults: United States, 1977. <u>Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics</u>. No. 64. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 78-1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., Nov. 4, 1980.

²National Center for Health Statistics, A. J. Moss and G. Scott: Characteristics of persons with hypertension, United States, 1974. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 10, No. 121. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-1549. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1978.

³National Center for Health Statistics, C. A. Schoenborn, K. M. Danchik, and J. Elinson: Basic data from Wave I of the National Survey of Personnal Health Practices and Consequence, United States, 1979. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 15, No. 2. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 81-1163. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government <u>Printing Office, Aug. 1981</u>.

⁴National Center for Health Statistics, B. Bloom and S. S. Jack: Persons with and without a regular source of medical care, United States. <u>Vital and Health Statistics</u>. Series 10, No. 151. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85-1579.

⁵National Center for Health Statistics, A. J. Moss and M. H. Wilder: Use of selected medical procedures associated with preventive care, United States, 1973. Vital and Health Statistics. Series 10, No. 110. DHEW Pub. No. (HRA) 77-1538. Health Resources Administration. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Mar. 1977.

6National Center for Health Statistics, J. W. Choi: Exercise and Participation in Sports Among Persons 20 Years of Age and Over: United States, 1975. <u>Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics</u>. No. 19. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 78-1250. Public Health Service. Hyattsville, Md., March 15, 1978.

⁷National Center for Health Statistics: <u>Health, United States, 1985</u>. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85-1232. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Dec. 1984.

Technical notes

The National Health Interview Survey (NHIS) is a continuous, cross-sectional, nationwide survey conducted by household interview. Each week a probability sample of households is interviewed by personnel of the U.S. Bureau of the Census to obtain information on the health and other characteristics of each member of the household in the civilian noninstitutionalized population.

During the first 2 quarters of 1985, the sample consisted of approximately 18,300 households. The total noninterview rate was about 4 percent—about 3 percent of which was due to respondent refusal and the remainder primarily due to an inability to locate eligible respondents at home after repeated calls. Information was obtained for all household members for the core section of the questionnaire; although, for the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention questionnaire, one adult per family was randomly selected as the respondent. This procedure resulted in an additional nonresponse rate of about 8 percent. About 16,780 questionnaires were completed. A description of the survey design, methods used in estimation, and general qualifications of the NHIS data is provided in *The National Health Interview Survey Design, 1973–84, and Procedures, 1975–83* (see pp. 8–9).⁴

The estimated population for each of the demographic categories is shown in table I to allow readers to derive a provisional estimate of the number of people in the United States with a given characteristic. However, the estimates are based on a sample of the civilian noninstitutionalized population rather than on the entire population and are therefore subject to sampling error. Some estimates in table 1 are small for given characteristics. When an estimate or the numerator or denominator of a rate is small, the sampling error may be relatively high. Approximate standard errors for estimates in this report are shown in table II.

To expedite the early release of data from the Health Promotion and Disease Prevention questionnaire, it was processed separately from the NHIS core questionnaire. Thus, it has not been linked as yet with the core data. In addition, because of major changes in the sample design in 1985, both the estimates of behaviors and knowledge and the standard errors of the estimates shown in table II are provisional and will be modified when the final estimates based on the linked core are released. A final weighted data file covering the entire calendar year of data collection will be available in 1986.

The following Federal agencies provided partial funding for the 1985 Health Promotion and Disease Prevention Survey:

Office of the Assistant Secretary for Health

Office of Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Alcohol, Drug Abuse, and Mental Health Administration National Institute of Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism

National Institutes of Health

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute

- National Cancer Institute
- National Institute of Dental Research
- National Institute of Child Health and Human Development
- Health Resources and Services Administration

Centers for Disease Control

- Center for Prevention Services
- Center for Infectious Diseases
- Center for Environmental Health
- Center for Health Promotion and Education
- National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health

Table I. Provisional estimates of the civilian noninstitutionalized population by age and sex: United States, January-June 1985

			Age			S	ex
Selected populations	All ages	18–29 years	3044 years	45–64 _{years}	65 years and over	Male	Female
			Popula	ation in tho	usands		
Total adult population	170,520	48,461	50,677	44,486	26,896	80,564	89,955
Females	89,955	24,825	25,990	23,288	15,853		89,955
Population in families with children under 10 years of age	42,031	16,408	22,123	3,055	445	17,787	24,243
Population in families with children under 5 years of age	26,213	13,171	11,722	1,195	125	11.230	14,983
Currently employed population	107,104	34,639	40,645	28,557	3,263	59,103	48,001

⁴National Center for Health Statistics, M. G. Kovar and G. S. Poe: The National Health Interview Survey Design, 1973–84, and Procedures, 1975–83. *Vital and Health Statistics*. Series 1, No. 18. DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 85–1320. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Aug. 1985.

			Age				Sex
Estimated percent	All ages	18–29 years	30–44 years	45–64 years	65 years and over	Male	Female
			Standard erro	or in percentaç	je points		
5 or 95	0.18	0.36	0.34	0.37	0.42	0.28	0.24
10 or 90	0.25	0.49	0.46	0.51	0.58	0.39	0.33
15 or 85	0.30	0.59	0.55	0.61	0.69	0.46	0.40
20 or 80	0.34	0.66	0.62	0.68	0.78	0.52	0.45
25 or 75	0.37	0.71	0.67	0.74	0.84	0.56	0.48
30 or 70	0.39	0.76	0.71	0.78	0.89	0.60	0.51
35 or 65	0.40	0.79	0.74	0.81	0.92	0.62	0.53
40 or 60	0.41	0.81	0.76	0.83	0.95	0.64	0.55
45 or 55	0.42	0.82	0.77	0.85	0.96	0.65	0.55
50 or 50	0.42	0.82	0.77	0.85	0.97	0.65	0.56

 Table II.
 Standard errors, expressed in percentage points, of estimated percents for selected age and sex groups from the 1985 National

 Health Interview Survey Supplement on Health Promotion and Disease Prevention:
 United States, January–June 1985



From Vital and Health Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics

Number 120 • July 11, 1986

Physiotherapy Office Visits: National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey: United States, 1980–81

Cheryl Nelson, Division of Health Care Statistics

Physiotherapy office visits in the United States increased from 2.0 percent in 1975 to 4.6 percent in 1981 (figure 1). This report describes patient visits during 1980 and 1981 to officebased ambulatory care physicians in which physiotherapy services were ordered or provided (physiotherapy office visit). This information was obtained by combining the 1980 and 1981 results of the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey. This survey, a probability sample survey of office-based physicians, was conducted annually from 1973 through 1981 and again in



Figure 1. The percent of physiotherapy visits from 1975-81

1985 by the Division of Health Care Statistics of the National Center for Health Statistics.

Because the estimates presented in this report are based on a sample rather than on the entire universe of office visits, they are subject to sampling variability. A brief description of the sample design, guidelines for judging the precision of the estimates, and key terms used in the survey are provided in the Technical notes at the end of the report. The Patient Record form is shown in figure 2.

Patient characteristics

Physiotherapy office visit data according to patient demographic characteristics are presented in tables 1 and 2 and figure 3. From January 1980 through December 1981, ambulatory patients made 1.2 billion visits to non-Federal, officebased physicians practicing in the coterminous United States. Of this total, 56,023,000 (4.8 percent) had physiotherapy services ordered or provided. This amounted to an average of 48 physiotherapy visits per 1,000 office visits. Physiotherapy visits were most frequent among patients in age groups 15-64 years, and male rates substantially exceeded those for female patients in the age interval from the 15th to the 44th year. Variations in physiotherapy office visit rates as they occurred among selected racial or ethnic groups are examined in table 2. White persons, accounting for 90.7 percent of all physiotherapy visits, did not significantly differ from black persons and those of other races in physiotherapy visit rate. However, physiotherapy visit rates were more frequent among Hispanic patients.

Physician characteristics

Among office-based physicians, the general and family practice specialty (37.7 percent) led all other specialties in volume of physiotherapy office visits (table 3). However, when

If an individual a practice or an establishment by persons engaged in and far the purposes of eased to other persons or used for any other purp	Y Public Health S Office of Health Research, St National Center for He	atistics, and Technology	A No. 003	937	
1. DATE OF VISIT	NATIONAL	PATIENT F		ARE SURVEY	
2. DATE OF BIRTH J FEMALE 2 MALE	4. COLOR OR RACE 1. WHITE 2. BLACK 3. ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER 4. AMERICAN INDIAN/ ALASKAN NATIVE	5. ETHNICITY ¹ HISPANIC ORIGIN ² NOT HISPANIC	6. PATIENT'S CC REASON(S) FI MOST IMPORTAN	OMPLAINT(S), SYMPTOM OR <u>THIS</u> VISIT <i>(In patien</i> IT	llSi, OR OTHER t's own wordsj
7. MAJOR REASON FOR THIS VISIT /Check one/	8. DIAGNOSTIC SERVICI /Check all ordered or pro	ES THIS VISIT ovided j a 🗋 EKG	9. PHYSICIAN'S	DIAGNOSES	ED WITH ITEM 6s.
a CHRONIC PROBLEM CHRONIC PROBLEM, ROUTINE CHRONIC PROBLEM, FLAREUP CHRONIC PROBLEM, FLAREUP POST SURGERY/POST INJURY S NON ILLNESS CARE IROUTINE PRENATAL, GENERAL EXAM WELL BABY ETC)	2 LIMITED HISTORY/EXAM 3 GENERAL HISTORY/EXA 4 PAP TEST 5 CLINICAL LAB TEST 6 X RAY 7 BLOOD PRESSURE CHECK	M. 10 ENDOSCOPY 11 MENTAL STATUS EXAM 12 OTHER <i>(Specify)</i>	b OTHER SIGNIFIC	CANT CURRENT DIAGNOSES	
10. HAVE YOU SEEN PATIENT BEFORE?	provided at this vist. In	c names, record all new and sclude immunizing and de se	NONE continued medication nstizing agents/	is ordered, injected, admin	istered, or otherwise
L YES 2 NO IF YES, FOR THE CONDITION IN ITEM 947	2 FOR PRINCIPAL DIAGN	OSES IN ITEM 9.	b. FOR -	ALL OTHER REASONS	······································
3 YES 2 NO	3		3	······································	
4 FAMILY PLANNING	PY provided this visit [6] DIET COUNSELING 7] FAMILY/SOCIAL COUNSELING 8] MEDICAL COUNSELING 9] OTHER (Specify)	13. WAS PATIENT REFERRED FOR THIS VISIT BY ANOTHER PHYSICIAN?	2 RETURN A 3 RETURN IF 4 TELEPHON 5 REFERRED	N THIS VISIT If apply/ N-UP PLANNED T SPECIFIED TIME I NEEDED, P.R N. E FOLLOW-UP PLANNED I TO OTHER PHYSICIAN D TO REFERRING PHYSICIAN	15. DURATION OF THIS VISIT (Time actual) spent with physician)
	<u> </u>		7 ADMIT TO		Minutes

Figure 2. Patient record

stratified by age of the patient, general-and family practitioners' volume of physiotherapy office visits did not differ significantly from that of pediatric specialists for patients under 15 years of age and orthopedic surgery and dermatology specialists for patients 15-24 years of age.

Visit characteristics

Reason for visit

The principal reason for visiting the physician's office as expressed in the patient's own words is listed first in item 6 of the Patient Record. The patient's problem or complaint was classified and coded according to the Reason for Visit Classification for Ambulatory Care (RVC),¹ and divided into eight modules or groups of reasons, as shown in table 4. Reasons for visit classified as "symptoms" (symptom module) accounted for 72.3 percent of all physiotherapy office visits. As might be expected, symptoms of the musculoskeletal system accounted for 65.8 percent of all physiotherapy visits. Symptoms referable to the musculoskeletal system make up a large proportion of

¹National Center for Health Statistics, D. Schneider, L. Appleton, and T. McLemore: A reason for visit classification for ambulatory care. *Vital and Health Statistics.* Series 2, No. 78. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-1352. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Feb. 1979.

 Table 1.
 Number of office visits, number and percent distribution

 of physiotherapy visits, and physiotherapy visit rate, by patient's age

 and sex-age group: United States, 1980 and 1981

Patient age and sex	All office visits	Physio	siotherapy office visit.		
Both sexes	Number in t	housands	Percent distribution	Visit rate ¹	
All ages	1,160,922	56,023	100.0	48	
Less than 15 years 15–24 years 25–44 years 45–64 years 65 years and over	216,129 160,795 310,384 265,700 207,914	4,370 8,653 17,998 16,173 8,830	7.8 15.4 32.1 28.9 15.8	20 54 58 61 42	
Female					
All ages	699,718	30,958	55.3	44	
Less than 15 years 15–24 years 25–44 years 45–64 years 65 years and over	102,633 107,276 206,395 157,031 126,383	2,384 4,421 9,044 9,332 5,777	4.3 7.9 16.1 16.7 10.3	23 41 44 59 46	
Male					
All ages	461,204	25,065	44.7	54	
Less than 15 years 15–24 years 25–44 years 45–64 years 65 years and over	113,495 53,519 103,990 108,668 81,532	1,986 4,232 8,954 6,840 3,052	3.5 7.6 16.0 12.2 5.4	17 79 86 63 37	

¹Number of physiotherapy office visits per 1,000 office visits.

Table 2. Number of office visits, number and percent distribution of physiotherapy visits, and physiotherapy visit rate by patient's race and Hispanic origin of patient: United States, 1980 and 1981

Patient race and Hispanic origin	All office visits	Physio	therapy office w	visits
	Number in t	housands	Percent distribution	Visit rate ¹
All patients	1,160,922	56,023	100.0	48
Race				
White	1,037,590	50,803	90.7	49
Black	110,546	4,565	8.1	41
Other ²	12,786	655	1.2	51
Hispanic origin				
Hispanic	53,337	3,455	6.2	65
Non-Hispanic	1,107,585	52,568	93.8	47

¹Number of physiotherapy office visits per 1,000 office visits.

²Includes Asian, Pacific Islander, American Indian, and Alaskan Indian.

the 20 most common principal reasons for visit with 18.8 percent being classified as some type of "back" symptom (table 5). For general and family practice and orthopedic surgery specialties, the top 10 principal reasons for visit are presented in table 6. The reader is cautioned that the rankings presented may be somewhat artificial because some estimates may not be statistically different from other near estimates due to sampling variability. Detailed tabulations of reasons for visit data have been published.¹



Figure 3. Physiotherapy visit rate by sex and age of patient: United States, 1980 and 1981

Principal diagnosis

The principal diagnosis, the first-listed diagnosis in item 9 on the Patient Record, was classified according to the International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM).² As can be seen from tables 7 and 8, the principal diagnoses for physiotherapy office visits are primarily from two ICD-9-CM major classifications: Diseases of the musculoskeletal system and connective tissue (710-739) and Injury and poisoning (800-899).

Major reason for visit

Item 7 of the Patient Record represents the major reason for visit as determined by the physician. Data in table 9 show that a greater proportion of physiotherapy visits were made for acute problems.

²Commission on Professional and Hospital Activities: International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification. Ann Arbor. Edwards Brothers, Inc., 1978.

Table 3. Distribution of physiotherapy office visits by selected specialties and patient's age: United States, 1980 and 1981

				Patient age		
Physician specialty	All patients	Less than 15 years	15–24 years	25–44 years	45–64 years	65 years and over
			Number in	thousands		
All physiotherapy office visits	56.023	4,370	8,653	17,998	16,173	8,830
			Percent di	stribution		
All physiotherapy office visits	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
General and family practice	37.7	30.4	32.9	39.3	39.8	38.9
Internal medicine.	10.7	*2.3	*4.3	7.0	12.8	24.8
Pediatrics	3.1	32.9	*2.3	*0.5	-	-
General surgery	4.4	*1.4	*4.5	5.7	4.5	*2.8
Obstetrics and gynecology	2.7	-	*3.7	5.5	*1,1	*0.3
Orthopedic surgery	21.4	14.8	22.3	23.3	23.6	16.2
Cardiovascular disease	*0.6	-	*0.5	*0.3	*0.8	*1.1
Dermatology	8.5	*10.0	23.1	7.5	4.0	*3.9
Urology	*0.9	-	*0.3	*0.8	*1.3	*1.2
Psychiatry	*0.3	*0.3	*0.1	*0.3	*0.5	*0.3
Neurology	*0.3	•0.7	*0.2	*0.3	*0.4	*0.2
Ophthalmology	1.6	*3.6	*1.3	*1.1	*1.1	*3.0
Otolaryngology.	*0.6	*0.1	*1.0	*0.7	*0.3	*0.8

Table 4. Number and percent distribution of physiotherapy office visits by patient's reason for visit: United States, 1980 and 1981

 Table 5.
 Number and percent distribution of physiotherapy office

 visits by the 20 most common principal reasons for visit:
 United States, 1980 and 1981

Reason for visit	,	therapy visits
	Number in thousands	Percent distribution
All physiotherapy office visits	56,023	100.0
General reason for visit		
Symptom module Disease module Diagnostic screen/preventive module Treatment module Injury/adverse effect module Test result module Administrative module Uncodable Residual	40,483 3,290 1,698 3,199 6,458 *63 *78 683 *71	72.3 5.9 3.0 5.7 11.5 *0.1 *0.1 1.2 *0.1
Symptom module and RVC ¹ code		
All physiotherapy office visits(s001-s999)	40,483	100.0
General symptoms (s001-s099) Psychological-mental (s100-s199) Nervous (s200-s259) Cardiovascular-lymphatic (s260-s299) Eyes and ears (s300-s399) Respiratory (s400-s499) Digestive (s500-s639) Genitourinary (s640-s829) Skin, nails, and hair (s830-s899) Musculoskeletal (s900-s999)	2,722 *169 1,438 *162 1,054 1,820 1,072 1,242 4,158 26,645	6.7 *0.4 3.6 *0.4 2.6 4.5 2.6 3.1 10.3 65.8

¹Based on National Center for Health Statistics, D. Schneider, L. Appleton, and T. McLemore: A reason for visit classification for ambulatory care. *Vital and Health Statistics.* Series 2, No. 78. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79–1352. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Feb. 1979.

Rank	Most common principal reason for visit and RVC ¹ code	Physiotherapy office visits		
		Number in thousands	Percent distribution	
	All physiotherapy visits	56,023	100.0	
1	Back symptoms (s905)	6,110	10.9	
2	Low back symptoms (s910)	4,408	7.9	
3	Neck symptoms (s900)	3,416	6.1	
4	Knee symptoms (s925)	3,047	5.4	
5	Shoulder symptoms (s940)	2,408	4.3	
6	Acne or pimples (s830)	1,388	2.5	
7	Postoperative visit (t205)	1,301	2.3	
8	Leg symptoms (s920)	1,192	2.1	
9	Foot and toe symptoms (s935)	1,043	1.9	
10	Hand and finger			
	symptoms(s960)	903	1.6	
11	Hip symptoms (s915)	802	1.4	
12	Skin rash (s860)	798	1.4	
13	Headache (s210)	797	1.4	
14	Arm symptoms (s945)	734	1.3	
15	Ankle symptoms (\$930)	685	1.2	
16	Psoriasis	645	1.2	
17	Pain, site not referable to a specific body system (s055)	610	1.1	
18	Skin lesion (s005)	601	1.1	
19	Injury/back	591	1.1	
20	Symptoms of unspecific			
20	joints(\$970)	575	1.0	

¹Based on National Center for Health Statistics, D. Schneider, L. Appleton, and T. McLemore: A reason for visit classification for ambulatory care. *Vital and Health Statistics.* Series 2, No. 78. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79–1352. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Feb. 1979.

Table 6.	Number and percent distribution of physiotherapy office
visits by 1	the 10 most common principal reasons for visit for selected
specialtie	s: United States, 1980 and 1981

Rank	Most common principal reason for visit and RVC ¹ code	Physiotherapy office visits		
	General and family practice	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	
•••	All physiotherapy visits	21,115	100.0	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Back symptoms(s905)Low back symptoms(s910)Neck symptoms(s900)Shoulder symptoms(s940)Knee symptoms(s925)Leg symptoms(s922)Foot and toe symptoms(s935)Headache(s210)Physical medicine andrehabilitationrehabilitation(s915)	3,227 1,966 1,351 1,016 766 *430 *416 *398 *396 *355	15.3 9.3 6.4 4.8 3.6 *2.0 *1.9 *1.9 *1.8	
	Orthopedic surgery			
	All physiotherapy visits	12,004	100.0	
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Knee symptoms	1,774 1,222 1,212 994 768 655 *297 *280 *279 *279	14.8 10.2 10.1 8.3 6.4 5.5 *2.5 *2.3 *2.3 *2.3	

¹Based on National Center for Health Statistics, D. Schneider, L. Appleton, and T. McLemore: A reason for visit classification for ambulatory care. Vital and Health Statistics. Series 2, No. 78. DHEW Pub. No. (PHS) 79-1352. Public Health Service. Washington. U.S. Government Printing Office, Feb. 1979.

Prior visit status

More than 60 percent of all physiotherapy visits to officebased physicians were by patients who had seen the physician before for problems that had previously been treated by the physician ("old patients" with an "old problem"). This was true for all age groups except for patients less than 15 years old who had a majority of visits classified as "old patients" with "new problem."

Disposition

Data on disposition show that the majority of physiotherapy office visits involved some type of followup, with "return at specific time" being the most frequent disposition decision (table 10).

Table 7. Number and percent distribution of physiotherapy office visits by major diagnostic groups: United States, 1980 and 1981

Principal diagnosis and ICD-9CM ¹ code	Physiotherapy office visits		
	Number in thousands	Percent distribution	
All physiotherapy office visits	56,023	100.0	
Infectious and parasitic			
diseases	865	1.5	
Neoplasms	*292	*0.5	
Endocrine, nutritional, and metabolic			
diseases and immunity			
disorders (240–279)	*333	*0.6	
Mental disorders (290–319)	548	1.0	
Diseases of nervous system and			
sense organs	2,203	3.9	
Diseases of circulatory system	o 404		
	2,404	4.3	
Diseases of respiratory system	1.801	3.2	
Diseases of digestive	1,601	3.2	
system	695	1.2	
Diseases of genitourinary	000	1.4	
system	1.390	2.5	
Diseases of skin and subcutaneous	.,		
tissue	5,435	9.7	
Diseases of musculoskeletal system	-		
and connective tissue (710-739)	17,801	31.8	
Symptoms, signs, and ill-defined			
conditions	844	1.5	
Injury and poisoning (800–999)	17,456	31.2	
Supplementary			
classification	2,691	4.8	
Other diagnosis ²	535	1.0	
Unknown diagnosis ³	730	1.3	

¹Based on Public Health Service and Health Care Financing Administration: International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 80-1260. Public Health Service. Washington, U.S. Government Printing Office, Sept. 1980. ²Includes diseases of the blood and blood-forming organs (280-289); complication of pregnancy, childbirth, and the puerperium (630-676); congenital anomalies (740-759); and certain conditions originating in the perinatal period (760–779). ³Includes blank diagnosis, noncodable diagnosis, and illegible diagnosis.

Duration of visit

Duration of visit is that amount of time spent in face-toface contact between physician and patient. It does not include time spent waiting to see the physician; time spent receiving care from sources other than the physician without the presence of the physician; or time spent reviewing patient records, test results, and so forth. Data in table 10 indicate that the duration of more than 60 percent of the physiotherapy office visits were between 6 and 15 minutes with a mean duration of 15 minutes.

Table 8.	Number and percent of physiotherapy office visits by the
20 most	common principal diagnoses: United States, 1980 and 1981

Rank	Most common principal diagnosis and ICD-9-CM ¹ code	Physiotherapy office visits	
		Number in thousands	Percent distribution
	All physiotherapy visits	56,023	100.0
1	Sprains and strains of other and unspecific parts		
•	of back	4,281	7.6
2 3	Sprains and strains of sacroiliac region(846) Other and unspecific disorders	3,221	5.7
4	of back	2,889	5.2
5	giands(706) Other disorders of soft	2,244	4.0
6	tissues	2,105	3.8
7	allied syndromes (726) Osteparthrosis and allied	1,706	3.0
8	disorders	1,653	3.0
-	disorders (722)	1,418	2.5
9	Spondylosis and allied disorders	1,324	2.4
10	Other and unspecified arthropathies	976	1.7
11	Other disorders of synovium, tendon, and bursa (727)	960	1.7
12	Sprains and strains of knee and leg	940	1.7
13	Psoriasis and similar disorders	930	1.7
14	Other disorders of cervical region(723)	855	1.5
15	Sprains and strains of ankle and foot (845)	736	1.3
16	Contusion of lower limb and of other unspecific site (924)	665	1.2
17	Rheumatoid arthritis and other inflammatory		
18	polyarthropathies (714) Disorders of muscle, ligament,	661	1.2
	and fasci	635	1.1
19	Essential hypertension (401) Other and unspecified	634	1.1
20	disorders of joint (719)	593	1.1

¹Based on Public Health Service and Health Care Financing Administration: International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (ICD-9-CM). DHHS Pub. No. (PHS) 80-1260. Public Health Service. Washington, U.S. Government Printing Office, Sept. 1980.

Table 9.	Number and percent distribution of physiotherapy office
visits by	major reason for visit: United States, 1980 and 1981

Major reason for visit	Physiotherapy office visits	
	Number in thousands	Percent distribution
All physiotherapy office visits	56,023	100.0
Acute problem Chronic problem/routine Chronic problem/flareup Postsurgery or postinjury Nonillness care ¹	24,796 14,235 7,312 8,149 1,531	44.2 25.4 13.1 14.6 2.7

 $^{1}\,$ Includes, for example, routine prenatal care, general examination, and well-baby examination.

Table 10. Number and percent distribution of physiotherapy office visits by prior visit status, disposition, and duration of visit: United States, 1980 and 1981

Prior visit status, disposition, and duration of visit	Physiotherapy office visits	
	Number in thousands	Percent distribution
All physiotherapy office visits	56,023	100.0
Prior visit status		
New patient Old patient New problem Old problem	8,863 47,161 12,449 34,712	15.8 84.2 22.2 62.0
Disposition ¹		
No followup planned Return at specific time Return if needed Telephone followup planned Referred to other physician Return to referring physician Admit to hospital Other	3,696 37,007 13,374 1,924 944 *355 *301 *47	6.6 66.1 23.9 3.4 1.7 *0.6 *0.5 *0.1
Duration		
0 minute ²	1,058 6,016 16,822 18,011 12,001 2,115	1.9 10.7 30.0 32.2 21.4 3.8

 ^1May not add to 100.0 because more than 1 disposition was possible. $^2\text{Represents}$ office visits in which there was no face-to-face contact between the patient and the physician.

Technical notes

Source of data and sample design

The estimates presented in this report are based on the findings of the National Ambulatory Medical Care Survey (NAMCS), a sample survey of office-based care conducted annually from 1973 through 1981 and again in 1985 by the National Center for Health Statistics. The target universe of NAMCS is composed of office visits made by ambulatory patients to non-Federal and noninstitutional physicians who are primarily engaged in office-based, patient-care practice. Excluded from the survey are visits to physicians practicing in Alaska and Hawaii, as are visits to anesthesiologists, pathologists, and radiologists.

NAMCS uses a multistage probability sample design that involves a step sampling of primary sampling units (PSU's), physicians' practices within PSU's, and patient visits within physicians' practices. The physician sample (5,805 physicians for 1980 and 1981) was selected from master files maintained by the American Medical Association and the American Osteopathic Association. Those members of the sample who proved to be in scope and cligible participated at a rate of 77.3 percent. Responding physicians completed visit records for a systematic random sample of office visits made during a randomly assigned weekly reporting period. Telephone contacts were excluded. During 1980 and 1981 responding physicians completed 89,447 visit records on which they recorded 97,796 drug mentions. Characteristics of the physician's practice, such as primary specialty and type of practice, were obtained during an induction interview. The National Opinion Research Center, under contract to the National Center for Health Statistics, was responsible for the field operations of the survey.

Sampling errors and rounding

The standard error is a measure of the sampling variability that occurs by chance because only a sample, rather than the entire universe, is surveyed. The relative standard error of an estimate is obtained by dividing the standard error by the estimate itself and is expressed as a percent of the estimate. In this report, any estimate that exceeds a relative standard error of 30 percent is marked with an asterisk. Approximate relative standard errors of selected aggregate statistics are shown in tables I and II.

The determiniation of statistical inference is based on a two-sided *t*-test with a critical value of 1.960 (0.05 level of confidence). Terms relating to differences, such as "exceeded" or "fell below" indicate that the differences are statistically significant. Terms such as "similar" or "roughly equal" mean that no statistical significance exists between the estimates being compared. In the tables of the report, estimates have been rounded to the nearest thousand. For this reason, detailed estimates do not always add to totals. Table I. Approximate relative standard errors of estimated number of office visits based on all physician specialties: NAMCS, 1980 and 1981

Estimated number of office visits	Relative standard error
Number in thousands	Percent
200*	*44,8
400*	*31.7
450*	*30.0
1,000	20.2
2,000	14.5
5,000	9.5
10,000	7.1
20,000	5.6
50,000	4.4
100,000	3.9
200,000	3.6
500,000	3.5

EXAMPLE OF USE OF TABLE: An aggregate of 30,000,000 visits has a relative standard error of 5.0 percent or a standard error of 1,500,000 visits (5.0 percent of 30,000,000).

 Table II.
 Approximate relative standard errors of estimated number of office visits based on an individual physician specialty: NAMCS, 1980 and 1981

Estimated number of office visits	Relative standard error
Number in thousands	Percent
200*	*46.7
450*	*31.5
500*	*30.0
1,000	21.5
2,000	16.0
5,000	11.1
10,000	9.0
20,000	7.7
50,000	6.8

EXAMPLE OF USE OF TABLE: An aggregate of 7,000,000 visits has a relative standard error of 10.0 percent or a standard error of 700,000 visits (10 0 percent of 7,000,000).

Definitions

An office is a place that physicians identify as a location for their ambulatory practice. Responsibility for patient care and professional services rendered in an office resides with the individual physician rather than with an institution.

A visit is a direct personal exchange between an ambulatory patient seeking health care and a physician, or staff member working under the physician's supervision, who provides the health services.

An *acute problem* is a morbid condition with a relatively sudden or recent onset (within 3 months of the visit).

A chronic problem is a morbid condition that existed for 3 months or longer before the visit.

Symbols

- --- Data not available
- ... Category not applicable
- Quantity zero
- 0.0 Quantity more than zero but less than 0.05
- Z Quantity more than zero but less than 500 where numbers are rounded to thousands
- Figure does not meet standard of reliability or precision (more than 30-percent relative standard error)
- # Figure suppressed to comply with confidentiality requirements

*U.S. Government Printing Office: 1993 - 301-019/80022

Vital and Health Statistics series descriptions

- SERIES 1. **Programs and Collection Procedures** These reports describe the data collection programs of the National Center for Health Statistics. They include descriptions of the methods used to collect and process the data, definitions, and other material necessary for understanding the data.
- SERIES 2. Data Evaluation and Methods Research These reports are studies of new statistical methods and include analytical techniques, objective evaluations of reliability of collected data, and contributions to statistical theory. These studies also include experimental tests of new survey methods and comparisons of U.S. methodology with those of other countries.
- SERIES 3. Analytical and Epidemiological Studies These reports present analytical or interpretive studies based on vital and health statistics. These reports carry the analyses further than the expository types of reports in the other series.
- SERIES 4. Documents and Committee Reports These are final reports of major committees concerned with vital and health statistics and documents such as recommended model vital registration laws and revised birth and death certificates.
- SERIES 5. International Vital and Health Statistics Reports These reports are analytical or descriptive reports that compare U.S. vital and health statistics with those of other countries or present other international data of relevance to the health statistics system of the United States.
- SERIES 6. Cognition and Survey Measurement These reports are from the National Laboratory for Collaborative Research in Cognition and Survey Measurement. They use methods of cognitive science to design, evaluate, and test survey instruments.
- SERIES 10. Data From the National Health Interview Survey These reports contain statistics on illness; unintentional injuries; disability; use of hospital, medical, and other health services; and a wide range of special current health topics covering many aspects of health behaviors, health status, and health care utilization. They are based on data collected in a continuing national household interview survey.
- SERIES 11. Data From the National Health Examination Survey, the National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys, and the Hispanic Health and Nutrition Examination Survey – Data from direct examination, testing, and measurement on representative samples of the civilian noninstitutionalized population provide the basis for (1) medically defined total prevalence of specific diseases or conditions in the United States and the distributions of the population with respect to physical, physiological, and psychological characteristics, and (2) analyses of trends and relationships among various measurements and between survey periods.
- SERIES 12. Data From the Institutionalized Population Surveys Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these surveys are included in Series 13.
- SERIES 13. Data From the National Health Care Survey These reports contain statistics on health resources and the public's use of health care resources including ambulatory, hospital, and long-term care services based on data collected directly from health care providers and provider records.

- SERIES 14. Data on Health Resources: Manpower and Facilities Discontinued in 1990. Reports on the numbers, geographic distribution, and characteristics of health resources are now included in Series 13.
- SERIES 15. Data From Special Surveys These reports contain statistics on health and health-related topics collected in special surveys that are not part of the continuing data systems of the National Center for Health Statistics.
- SERIES 16. Compilations of Advance Data From Vital and Health Statistics – Advance Data Reports provide early release of information from the National Center for Health Statistics' health and demographic surveys. They are compiled in the order in which they are published. Some of these releases may be followed by detailed reports in Series 10–13.
- SERIES 20. Data on Mortality—These reports contain statistics on mortality that are not included in regular, annual, or monthly reports. Special analyses by cause of death, age, other demographic variables, and geographic and trend analyses are included.
- SERIES 21. Data on Natality, Marriage, and Divorce These reports contain statistics on natality, marriage, and divorce that are not included in regular, annual, or monthly reports. Special analyses by health and demographic variables and geographic and trend analyses are included.
- SERIES 22. Data From the National Mortality and Natality Surveys— Discontinued in 1975. Reports from these sample surveys, based on vital records, are now published in Series 20 or 21.
- SERIES 23. Data From the National Survey of Family Growth These reports contain statistics on factors that affect birth rates, including contraception, infertility, cohabitation, marriage, divorce, and remarriage; adoption; use of medical care for family planning and infertility; and related maternal and infant health topics. These statistics are based on national surveys of childbearing age.
- SERIES 24. Compilations of Data on Natality, Mortality, Marriage, Divorce, and Induced Terminations of Pregnancy – These include advance reports of births, deaths, marriages, and divorces based on final data from the National Vital Statistics System that were published as supplements to the Monthly Vital Statistics Report (MVSR). These reports provide highlights and summaries of detailed data subsequently published in Vital Statistics of the United States. Other supplements to the MVSR published here provide selected findings based on final data from the National Vital Statistics System and may be followed by detailed reports in Series 20 or 21.

For answers to questions about this report or for a list of reports published in these series, contact:

Data Dissemination Branch National Center for Health Statistics Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Public Health Service 6525 Belcrest Road, Room 1064 Hyattsville, MD 20782 (301) 436–8500

DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH & HUMAN SERVICES

Public Health Service Centers for Disease Control and Prevention National Center for Health Statistics 6525 Belcrest Road Hyattsville, Maryland 20782

OFFICIAL BUSINESS PENALTY FOR PRIVATE USE, \$300 PRESORTED SPECIAL FOURTH CLASS RATE POSTAGE & FEES PAID PHS/NCHS PERMIT NO. G-281

ı.

1

1 3 1

٨